# **Engreen Environmental** Consultants Ltd.

Title: **Environmental Risk and** 

**Impact Assessment** 

**Client: Woolley Bros (Wholesale** 

Meats) Limited-Rother

**Valley Abattoir** 

Date: October 2020

Report

P179-R02-F1

Reference:

**Submitted to:** 

**Environment Agency** 

**Main Contributors:** 

**Edward Bennett** 

Issued by:

David Green

MA, C Eng, FIChemE

| Report Issue History |                |                                       |  |  |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Report<br>Number     | Date           | Comments                              |  |  |
| P179-R02-ID1         | June 2020      | Internal Draft                        |  |  |
| P179-R02-D1          | September 2020 | Final draft issued for client review. |  |  |
| P179-R02-F1          | 19.10.20       | Final for EA Issue                    |  |  |
|                      |                |                                       |  |  |
|                      |                |                                       |  |  |

Report Ref: P179-R02-F1

# **CONTENTS**

| 1   | Introduction         |  |    |  |  |  |
|-----|----------------------|--|----|--|--|--|
| 1.1 | Background           |  |    |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | General Approach     |  |    |  |  |  |
| 1.3 | B Detail of Approach |  |    |  |  |  |
| 1.4 | Repor                | t Format   | 2  |  |  |  |
| 2   | Screen               | ning Assessment                                      | 3  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | Metho                | odology  | 3  |  |  |  |
| 2.2 | Scree                | ning Assessment Tables                               | 3  |  |  |  |
|     | 2.2.1                | Normal Operations                                    | 3  |  |  |  |
|     | 2.2.2                | Abnormal Operations / Accident Situations            | 5  |  |  |  |
| 3   | Enviro               | onmental Risk Assessment                             | 9  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | Introd               | uction   | 9  |  |  |  |
| 3.2 | Recep                | otors  | 9  |  |  |  |
| 3.3 | Enviro               | onmental Risk Assessment Methodology                 | 10 |  |  |  |
|     | 3.3.1                | Key Policies and Procedures                          | 11 |  |  |  |
| 3.4 | 4 Risk Assessments   |  |    |  |  |  |
|     | 3.4.1                | Introduction   | 12 |  |  |  |
|     | 3.4.2                | Table Key  | 12 |  |  |  |
|     | 3.4.3                | Assessment Tables                                    | 12 |  |  |  |
| 4   | Detail               | ed Operational Impact Assessment                     | 17 |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | Introd               | uction   | 17 |  |  |  |
| 4.2 | Impac                | ets Assessed   | 17 |  |  |  |
|     | 4.2.1                | Waste  | 17 |  |  |  |
|     | 4.2.2                | GWP and POCP   | 17 |  |  |  |
| 4.3 | Waste                |  | 18 |  |  |  |
|     | 4.3.1                | Waste Streams  | 18 |  |  |  |
| 4.4 | Globa                | l Warming and Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential | 19 |  |  |  |
|     | 4.4.1                | Introduction   | 19 |  |  |  |
|     | 4.4.2                | Assessment   | 19 |  |  |  |
| 5   | Concl                | usions   | 20 |  |  |  |
| 5.1 | Summary 2            |  |    |  |  |  |

## 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Background

Woolley Bros are applying for Variation of their Environmental Permit that allows them to operate a red meat abattoir in Holbrook to the South East of Sheffield.

As part of the Permit Variation application process, the site is required to demonstrate how potential environmental risks and impacts of varied operations have been identified and quantified. This 'Environmental Risk and Impact Assessment' (ERA) is a systematic evaluation of these potential risks and impacts. The methodology and results for this assessment process are presented in this report, which should be read in conjunction with the other permit application documents.

## 1.2 General Approach

This ERA follows the basic structure as required by the Environment Agency's gov.uk guidance for undertaking Environmental Risk Assessments including the old H1 Annexes where required. In summary, the approach to evaluating and reporting potential risks and impacts addresses the following possible impacts:

- Amenity litter / vermin / mud / fire;
- Odour:
- Noise:
- Fugitive Air Releases dust / bioaerosols;
- Surface Water;
- Groundwater;
- Air;
- Waste Produced;
- Global Warming Potential (GWP) / Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential (POP).

### 1.3 Detail of Approach

For varied activities only, impact and risk assessments for both normal operations and for reasonably foreseeable accident and abnormal conditions has been carried out below. The following definitions are used for assessing possible impacts under the different scenarios or operating conditions:

**Normal** (N) Routine activity on site

Abnormal (A) Planned, or reasonably foreseeable, deviations from normal operating conditions Emergency (E) Unplanned deviations from normal operating conditions (accident situations)

The initial step is a screening assessment to screen out the processes and ancillary operations that, even under the reasonably foreseeable abnormal and emergency conditions identified, would be incapable of causing a significant environmental impact. Those aspects that do not screen out fall into two categories for more detailed evaluation:

- Emissions under normal operations for which a detailed assessment of environmental impacts is required.
- Normal, abnormal and accident scenarios for which there is a need to carry out a detailed environmental risk assessment.

# 1.4 Report Format

This Environmental Risk and Impact Assessment is set out as follows:

- Introduction;
- Screening Assessment;
- Environmental Risk Assessments;
- Environmental Impact Evaluations;
- Conclusions and Improvements.

# **2 Screening Assessment**

## 2.1 Methodology

For the initial screening assessment, the potential risks and impacts of both normal operations and abnormal/accident situations have been considered. Tables 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 below set out these initial screening assessments to determine which combinations of operations and potential impacts warrant further assessment.

Where it is considered that there is minimal or no potential for an impact to occur, a brief explanation has been provided for each impact criterion and activity. For those potential risks and impacts that cannot immediately be screened out and which, therefore, require further evaluation:

- 'RA' is placed in the relevant box where further evaluation for assessing environmental risk has been undertaken in Section 4 of this report, for normal operations, abnormal operations or accident situations;
- 'DA' is placed in the relevant box where more detailed evaluation of emissions is required and has been undertaken in Section 5 of this report.

## 2.2 Screening Assessment Tables

### 2.2.1 Normal Operations

| <b>Table 2.2.1:</b> S                           | Table 2.2.1: Screening Assessment – Normal Operations  |   |  |   |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
|   |  | Activity  |  |   |   |  |  |
| Impact  |  | Drainage and Effluent<br>Control                    | 8  | Waste / ABP Transfer<br>and Collection  | Refrigeration   | Dispatch vehicles  |  |
| Amenity<br>(litter /<br>vermin / mud<br>/ fire) | HACCP system in place<br>to prevent and prevent<br>and control pests.<br>No other plausible<br>amenity issues. | No plausible amenity issues.                        | Designated waste / ABP storage areas. As a consequence, there is an insignificant additional risk of litter nuisance.  HACCP system in place to prevent and prevent and control pests. | Waste / ABP removed<br>from site in enclosed or<br>covered vehicles,<br>minimising potential for<br>litter. | Equipment subject to planned preventative maintenance. No plausible amenity issues. | No plausible amenity issues.   |  |
| Odour   | No additional plausible issues due to enclosed nature of process.  | No plausible odour issues due to nature of process. | RA   | RA  | No plausible odour issues due to nature of process.                                 | Product dispatched in refrigerated vehicles, therefore, no plausible odour issues. |  |

| <b>Table 2.2.1:</b> S                    | Table 2.2.1: Screening Assessment – Normal Operations                                       |  |  |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
|  |   |  | Activit  | ty   |   |  |
| Impact                                   | Production  | Drainage and Effluent<br>Control   | Waste / ABP storage  | Waste / ABP Transfer<br>and Collection   | Refrigeration   | Dispatch vehicles  |
| Noise                                    | No plausible noise issues due to internal and enclosed nature of production operations.     | Negligible potential for<br>noise associated with<br>new drainage<br>infrastructure    | Storage activity – no noise  | RA   | Equipment subject to planned preventative maintenance. No plausible noise issues.                     | RA   |
| Fugitive Air<br>Releases <sup>1, 2</sup> | No potential for relevant fugitive emissions.   | No potential for relevant fugitive emissions.  | No potential for relevant fugitive emissions.  | No potential for relevant fugitive emissions.  | No potential for relevant fugitive emissions.   | Vehicle routes concreted.<br>No potential for relevant<br>fugitive emissions.                          |
| Surface<br>Water                         | Contained systems. No potential for releases to surface water under normal operations.      | Contained systems. No potential for releases to surface water under normal operations. | No potential for releases to surface water under normal operations since yard areas concreted. | RA   | Contained systems. No potential for releases to surface water under normal operations.                | RA   |
| Groundwater                              | for releases to ground  | No potential for releases to ground water under normal operations.                     | No potential for releases to ground water under normal operations since yard areas concreted.  | No potential for releases<br>to ground water under<br>normal operations since<br>yard areas concreted. | Internal and contained operations. No potential for releases to ground water under normal operations. | No potential for releases<br>to ground water under<br>normal operations since<br>yard areas concreted. |
| Air                                      | No point source<br>emissions to air except<br>for trivial releases from<br>domestic boiler. | No point source emissions to air.  | No point source emissions.   | No point source<br>emissions except from<br>vehicles.  | No point source emissions to air.   | No point source<br>emissions to air except<br>from site vehicles.                                      |
| Waste<br>Production                      | DA  | No waste production.   | No further waste production.   | No further waste production.   | No waste production.  | No waste production under normal operations.   |
| GWP / POP                                | DA  | No point source or fugitive emissions  | No point source or fugitive emissions  | No point source or fugitive emissions which site have control over.                                    | No point source or fugitive emissions   | No point source or fugitive emissions which site have control over.                                    |

### Notes:

<sup>1 –</sup> Excluding odour and Noise (dealt with separately).

<sup>2 –</sup> Releases to air that are not from point source emissions e.g. dust, leaks.

# 2.2.2 Abnormal Operations / Accident Situations

| Table 2.2.2: Scre                            | Table 2.2.2: Screening Assessment – Abnormal Operations / Emergency (Accident) Situations   |                                  |   |  |   |                              |  |  |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|
|  | Activity  |                                  |   |  |   |                              |  |  |
| Impact                                       | Production  | Drainage and Effluent<br>Control | Waste / ABP storage   | Waste / ABP Transfer<br>and Collection   | Refrigeration   | Dispatch<br>vehicles         |  |  |
| Amenity (litter /<br>vermin / mud /<br>fire) | HACCP system in place to prevent and control pests.  Production area fitted with smoke / fire detection equipment. No other plausible amenity issues. | No plausible amenity issues.     | HACCP system in place to prevent and control pests.  No other plausible amenity issues. | HACCP system in place<br>to prevent and control<br>pests.<br>No other plausible<br>amenity issues. | Equipment subject to planned preventative maintenance. No plausible amenity issues. | No plausible amenity issues. |  |  |
| Odour  | No plausible<br>odour issues  | RA                               | RA  | RA   | RA  | No plausible amenity issues. |  |  |

| Table 2.2.2: Scre            | ening Assessment –  | Abnormal Operations / 1                        | Emergency (Accident) Situations               |   |                                 |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--|
|                              | Activity  |  |   |   |                                 |  |
| Impact                       | Production  | Drainage and Effluent<br>Control               | Waste / ABP storage                           | Waste / ABP Transfer<br>and Collection        | Refrigeration                   | Dispatch<br>vehicles   |
| Noise                        | No plausible noise issues due to internal and enclosed nature of production operations. | Negligible potential for noise associated with | Storage activity – no noise                   | RA  | RA                              | RA   |
| Fugitive Air<br>Releases1, 2 | No potential for relevant fugitive emissions.   | No potential for relevant fugitive emissions.  | No potential for relevant fugitive emissions. | No potential for relevant fugitive emissions. | RA                              | Vehicle routes<br>concreted. No<br>potential for<br>relevant |
| Surface<br>Water             | RA  | RA   | RA  | RA  | Internal and contained systems. | RA   |
| Groundwater                  | RA  | RA   | RA  | RA  | Internal and contained systems. | RA   |

| Table 2.2.2: Scre   | ening Assessment –   | - Abnormal Operations / ]             | Emergency (Accident) Situations       |   |   |   |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|
|                     | Activity   |                                       |                                       |   |   |   |
| Impact              | Production   | Drainage and Effluent<br>Control      | Waste / ABP storage                   | Waste / ABP Transfer<br>and Collection                              | Refrigeration   | Dispatch<br>vehicles  |
| Air                 | No point source<br>emissions to air<br>except for trivial<br>releases from<br>domestic boiler. | No point source emissions to air.     | No point source emissions.            | No point source<br>emissions except from<br>vehicles.               | No point source emissions to air.   | No point<br>source<br>emissions to<br>air except from<br>site vehicles.               |
| Waste<br>Production | RA   | RA                                    | RA                                    | RA  | Equipment subject to planned preventative maintenance. No plausible prolonged fault issues that could lead to significant waste production. | RA  |
| GWP/POP             | DA   | No point source or fugitive emissions | No point source or fugitive emissions | No point source or fugitive emissions which site have control over. | No point source or fugitive emissions   | No point<br>source or<br>fugitive<br>emissions<br>which site<br>have control<br>over. |

| Table 2.2.2: Scre | creening Assessment – Abnormal Operations / Emergency (Accident) Situations  Activity |                                  |                     |  |               |                      |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------|----------------------|
| Impact            | Production  | Drainage and Effluent<br>Control | Waste / ABP storage | Waste / ABP Transfer<br>and Collection | Refrigeration | Dispatch<br>vehicles |

### Notes:

- 1 Excluding odour and Noise (dealt with separately).
- 2 Releases to air that are not from point source emissions e.g. dust, leaks.

## 3 Environmental Risk Assessment

#### 3.1 Introduction

The screening assessment above has identified several possible scenarios where normal operations, abnormal operations or emergency (accident) situations might have the potential to lead to an environmental impact. Those scenarios marked with 'RA' in the screening assessment are assessed more fully in this section.

The further evaluation methodology utilised within this report is set out below and has been based on principles outlined on the Environment Agency's .gov website. The assessment has been undertaken by identifying the potential sensitive receptors and applying the risk scoring mechanism detailed in section 3.3 below.

## 3.2 Receptors

Table 3.1 below details the identified sensitive receptors within a 2 kilometre radius (unless otherwise specified) of the proposed installation boundary. Only the closest receptor is each direction is listed.

| Table 3.1: Summary of Sensitive Receptors Identified |   |   |  |                             |  |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Nature of  | Receptor  | Direction   | Approximate Distance<br>from the Proposed<br>Installation<br>Boundary <sup>3</sup> | Plan Reference <sup>7</sup> |  |
| Residential*   |   | NNW   | c. 125 metres  | R1                          |  |
|  |   | NE  | c. 1.49 km   | R2                          |  |
|  |   | ESE   | c. 1.18 km   | R3                          |  |
|  |   | SSE   | c. 740 metres  | R4                          |  |
|  |   | SW  | c. 840 metres  | R5                          |  |
| Educational*   |   | WNW   | c. 820 metres  | R6                          |  |
| Industrial / Co                                      | mmercial /  | N   | c. 20 metres   | R7                          |  |
| Offices*   |   | Е   | c. 430 metres  | R8                          |  |
|  |   | S   | c. 30 metres   | R9                          |  |
|  |   | W   | c. 25 metres   | R10                         |  |
| Nature and<br>Conservation                           | Deciduous<br>Woodland<br>Biodiversity<br>Action Plan<br>Priority<br>Habitat | S & E   | Adjacent   | R11                         |  |
|  | other habitat a Woodland we   | nd Heritage Conservation Screening Report has identified a number of receptors within a 2km radius of site. Local Wildlife Sites and Ancient ere identified as part of the search results and shave been taken into a when undertaking the risk assessment below. |  |                             |  |
| Water  | Land Drain  | NE  | c. 380 metres  | R12                         |  |
| Resource   | Rother<br>Valley Lake   | Е   | c. 260 metres  | R13                         |  |

| Table 3.1: Sum  | Table 3.1: Summary of Sensitive Receptors Identified |  |  |                             |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Nature of Receptor  |  | Direction  | Approximate Distance<br>from the Proposed<br>Installation<br>Boundary <sup>3</sup> | Plan Reference <sup>7</sup> |  |  |
|   | Pond   | S  | c. 325 metres  | R14                         |  |  |
|   | Pond   | SW   | c. 250 metres  | R15                         |  |  |
| Water Re<br>Ground  | sources –<br>lwater <sup>1</sup>                     | Site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. It is anticipated the underlying geology will have variable permeability. |  |                             |  |  |
| Highways and Transportation  – Rother Valley Way <sup>2</sup> |  | W  | Adjacent   | R16                         |  |  |
| Air Quality Management<br>Areas <sup>5</sup>                  |  | Within Sheffield Citywide AQMA– Declared Pollutants - Nitrogen Dioxide NO <sub>2</sub> & Particulate Matter PM <sub>10</sub>               |  |                             |  |  |

#### Notes:

- \*: Closest receptor identified;
- $1: Groundwater\ Source\ Protection\ Zones\ /\ NVZ\ status\ identified\ using\ the\ MAGIC\ Website,\ September\ 2020.$
- 2: Closest local road network only;
- 3: Distance shown measured using Ordnance Survey data provided by Promap;
- 4: AQMA locations reviewed through DEFRA's website September 2020
- 5: Locations shown on Sensitive Receptor Plan, Report Ref P179-R06-F1

## 3.3 Environmental Risk Assessment Methodology

The risk assessment has been undertaken for each potential environmental risk identified in the tables set out in section 2.2 above for normal operations, abnormal operations and accident situations. The risk classification assigned has been evaluated by assessing the likelihood of an incident occurring and the severity of impact should it occur, using the following methodology.

| Table 3.2 | Table 3.2 - Probability of an event occurring |   |  |  |  |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Score     | Description                                   | Definition                                    |  |  |  |
| 1         | Very Low                                      | Extremely unlikely to occur (<1 per 10 years) |  |  |  |
| 2         | Low   | Unlikely to occur (<1 per year)               |  |  |  |
| 3         | Moderate                                      | Could occur (1 per year)                      |  |  |  |
| 4         | High  | Could occur frequently (>1 per year)          |  |  |  |
| 5         | Very High                                     | Could occur continuously                      |  |  |  |

| Table 3.3 | Table 3.3 - Severity of impact should the event occur |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Score     | Description   | Definition   |  |  |  |
| 1         | Very Low  | Negligible impact  |  |  |  |
| 2         | Low   | Minor impact (contained in localised area on site & recoverable) |  |  |  |
| 3         | Moderate  | Medium impact (contained within site boundary & recoverable)     |  |  |  |
| 4         | High  | Major impact (spread off site &/or difficult to recover)         |  |  |  |
| 5         | Very High   | Major impact (spread off-site & long term/permanent damage)      |  |  |  |

#### **Risk Assessment:**

The Probability (P) and Severity (S) scores assigned to each item are then multiplied together to provide a total risk assessment score (R):

$$P \times S = R$$

Scores are considered to be high or low risk using the following risk classification:

< 10 – Low Risk – Insignificant

≥10 – High Risk - Significant Risk

Where the residual risks are found to be significant a more detailed assessment will be undertaken, or improvements to mitigate the risks will be recommended within the conclusions section of this report.

## 3.3.1 Key Policies and Procedures

The procedures and policies in place at the site to minimise the potential for environmental risk and form part of the Environmental Management System are summarised within the report referenced P179-R04-F1. These procedures, along with the identified impact control measures, have been taken into consideration when calculating the residual risk.

## 3.4 Risk Assessments

#### 3.4.1 Introduction

The tables set out below detail the risk assessments undertaken based on the methodology outlined above, for those activities and associated impacts where a '**RA**' has been recorded in Tables 2.2.1 and 2.2.2.

### 3.4.2 Table Key

- P = Probability
- S = Severity (Impact / Consequence)
- R = Risk Level
- 1 = All contingency planning requirements are dealt with in the Environmental Accident Management Plan and associated procedures;
- 2 = No account of Health and Safety risk assessments (human receptors) have been considered.
- 3 = Applicable operating conditions: N Normal; A Abnormal; E Emergency (accident).

#### 3.4.3 Assessment Tables

| Table 3.4.1: Activity – Production   | Table 3.4.1: Activity – Production   |                    |   |     |            |      |  |  |
|--|--|--------------------|---|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| Identification of Potential Risks <sup>1</sup>   |  |                    | Control Measures  |     | Assessment |      |  |  |
| Environmental Risk and   | Initiating Event   | Condition          | Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup>   | Res | idual      | Risk |  |  |
| Receptors  |  | N/A/E <sup>3</sup> |   | P   | S          | R    |  |  |
| Surface Water Wash down waters, leaks or spills to ground, ground water, sewer and surface water. The closest surface watercourse is a pond c.250 metres to the South West.  | Building containment failure leading to significant spillage of materials, including wash waters that escape off site. | A/E                | Production areas cleaned down by dedicated cleaning teams. Yard areas concreted and laid to fall to drainage system. Drains discharge to combined sewer. Regular monitoring of site infrastructure as part of EMS.    | 1   | 5          | 5    |  |  |
| Groundwater Leaks or spills to ground, ground water  Building containment failure leading to significant spillage of materials, including wash waters that escape off site. Materials enter ground through hardstand/drain leaks |  | A/E                | Production areas cleaned down by dedicated cleaning teams.  Yard areas concreted and laid to fall to drainage system.  Drains discharge to combined sewer.  Regular monitoring of site infrastructure as part of EMS. |     | 5          | 5    |  |  |
| Waste Production   | <b>duction</b> Production breakdowns leading to wastage. A/E   |                    | Production equipment maintained as part of planned preventative maintenance programmes and under contracts where appropriate.   |     | 2          | 6    |  |  |

| Table 3.4.2: Activity – Drainage ar  | nd Effluent Control   |  |   |     |       |      |
|--|---|--|---|-----|-------|------|
| Identification of Potential Risks <sup>1</sup>   |   |  | Control Measures  |     |       | nt   |
| Environmental Risk and   | Initiating Event  | Condition                                | Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup>   | Res | idual | Risk |
| Receptors  | Receptors N/A/E <sup>3</sup>  |  |   | P   | S     | R    |
| Odour - Humans.  The closest human occupied receptors are c. 20 m from the installation boundary to the N. The closest residential receptors are c.125 to the NNW. | Drain blockages left to degrade giving rise to odours.                    | A/E                                      | New production areas cleaned down daily.  | 3   | 2     | 6    |
| Surface Water Fugitive emissions to ground, ground water, sewer and surface water. All process effluent discharges to sewer network and none to surface water.     | emissions to ground, vater, sewer and surface ss effluent discharges to   |  | New drainage systems on site comprises foul/effluent drains for domestic and process effluent. Drains will form part of infrastructure monitoring programme within existing EMS.  Housekeeping measures to ensure site kept clean and tidy.  Drainage plan on site. | 1   | 5     | 5    |
| Groundwater Fugitive emissions to ground, ground water.  | Failure of drainage containment leading to loss of materials from drains. |  | Regular monitoring of site infrastructure as part of EMS.   |     | 5     | 5    |
| Waste Production   | Wastes materials generated due to drain blockages.                        | New production areas cleaned down daily. | 3   | 2   | 6     |      |

13

| Table 3.4.3: Activity – Waste & ABP Storage  |   |  |   |   |      |   |  |
|--|---|--|---|---|------|---|--|
| Identification of Potential Risks <sup>1</sup>   |   | Control Measures   | Assessment  |   | nt   |   |  |
| Environmental Risk and Initiating Event Condition  |   | Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup>  | Residual Ri   |   | Risk |   |  |
| Receptors  |   | N/A/E <sup>3</sup>   |   | P | S    | R |  |
| Odour - Humans.  The closest human occupied receptors are c. 20 m from the installation boundary to the N. The closest residential receptors are c.125 to the NNW. | The closest human occupied receptors are c. 20 m from the installation boundary to the N. The closest residential receptors are |  | ABP removed from site approximately every 48 hours as a minimum. Trailers covered at the end of the working day. Implementation of Odour Management Plan on site. |   | 3    | 6 |  |
| Surface Water       Spillage / leaks.       A/E         Fugitive emissions to surface water.       A/E   |   | Site areas concreted, laid to fall to drainage system. Drains discharge to combined sewer. Spill kits provided. Site Areas inspected as part of EMS. |   | 2 | 6    |   |  |

| Table 3.4.3: Activity – Waste & ABP Storage          |  |                    |   |      |               |   |  |
|--|--|--------------------|---|------|---------------|---|--|
| Identification of Potential Risks <sup>1</sup>       |  | Control Measures   | Assessment  |      |               |   |  |
| Environmental Risk and                               | Initiating Event   | Condition          | Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup>   | Resi | Residual Risk |   |  |
| Receptors  |  | N/A/E <sup>3</sup> |   | P    | S             | R |  |
| Groundwater Leaks or spills to ground, ground water. | Spills or leaks from waste vessels. Failure of the concrete yard area or leaks in drains serving the yard areas, resulting in pollutants entering ground water | A/E                | Yard areas clean down daily.  Regular monitoring of site infrastructure  Spill cleaned by yard staff. Spill kits provided. Areas inspected as part of EMS | 2    | 4             | 8 |  |
| Waste Production                                     | Spillages during transfer / failure of containment will lead to spills of materials which may need to be cleaned up and disposed of as waste.                  | A/E                | Waste areas inspected as part of EMS  |      | 2             | 6 |  |

| Table 3.4.4: Activity – Waste & ABP Transfer and Collection   |   |   |   |      |       |      |  |
|---|---|---|---|------|-------|------|--|
| Identification of Potential Risks <sup>1</sup>  |   |   | Control Measures  |      |       | nt   |  |
| Environmental Risk and  | Initiating Event  | Condition   | Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup>   | Resi | idual | Risk |  |
| Receptors   |   | N/A/E <sup>3</sup>  |   | P    | S     | R    |  |
| Odour - Humans.  The closest human occupied receptors are c. 20 m from the installation boundary to the N. The closest residential receptors are c.125 to the NNW.  | give rise to odorous releases, particularly if Vehicles covered before leaving site   |   | Waste / ABP removed from site every 48 hours as a minimum  Vehicles covered before leaving site  Spills/Leaks cleaned. Spill kits provided. Areas inspected as part of EMS  | 2    | 3     | 6    |  |
| Noise - Humans.  The closest human occupied receptors are c. 20 m from the installation boundary to the N. The closest residential receptors are c.740m to the SSE. | Noise from site due to vehicles moving / unloading / loading, including the sound vibrating parts. Noise is more likely to be an issue in still conditions.  Noise from poorly maintained vehicles. | N/A/E   | Drivers instructed not to rev engines unnecessarily or accelerate excessively when leaving the site.  Vehicles maintained under service contracts to minimise the potential of noise emissions from vibrating parts.  Site speed limit. |      | 2     | 8    |  |
| Surface Water Fugitive emissions to ground, ground water, sewer and surface water.  | Spillages / leaks   | lages / leaks  A/E  Site areas concreted, laid to fall to drainage system.  Drains discharge to combined sewer.  Spill kits on site  Areas inspected as part of EMS |   | 3    | 2     | 6    |  |
| Groundwater Leaks or spills to ground, ground water   | Spills or leaks from waste / ABP vessels. Failure of the hardstand or leaks in drains serving the Yard areas, resulting in pollutants entering ground water   | A/E   | Site areas concreted, laid to fall to drainage system.  Drains discharge to combined sewer.  Spill kits on site  Areas inspected as part of EMS   | 2    | 4     | 8    |  |

| Table 3.4.4: Activity – Waste & AB             | Table 3.4.4: Activity – Waste & ABP Transfer and Collection   |   |                                      |        |      |   |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------|------|---|
| Identification of Potential Risks <sup>1</sup> |   | Control Measures  | Assessment                           |        | nt   |   |
| Environmental Risk and                         | Initiating Event  | ent Condition Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup> Resid |                                      | dual l | Risk |   |
| Receptors                                      |   | N/A/E <sup>3</sup>  |                                      | P      | S    | R |
|  | Spillages during transfer / failure of containment will lead to spills of materials which may need to be cleaned up and disposed of as waste. | A/E   | Waste areas inspected as part of EMS | 3      | 2    | 6 |

| Table 3.4.5: Activity – Refrigeration   | Table 3.4.5: Activity – Refrigeration Systems                                   |  |  |              |   |      |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--------------|---|------|--|--|--|
| Identification of Potential Risks <sup>1</sup>  |   |  | Control Measures   |              |   | nt   |  |  |  |
| Environmental Risk and  | g .   |  | Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup>  | Residual Ris |   | Risk |  |  |  |
| Receptors   |   | N/A/E <sup>3</sup>   |  | P            | S | R    |  |  |  |
| Odour - Humans.  The closest human occupied receptors are c. 20 m from the installation boundary to the N. The closest residential receptors are c.125 to the NNW.  | human occupied c. 20 m from the ndary to the N. The tital receptors are         |  | Refrigeration systems maintained as part of planned preventative maintenance programme under contract.                               |              |   | 4    |  |  |  |
| Noise - Humans.  The closest human occupied receptors are c. 20 m from the installation boundary to the N. The closest residential receptors are c.740m to the SSE.  Noise from the refrigeration units.  Poorly maintained fans, pumps, compressors. |   | Refrigeration equipment maintained as part of planned preventative maintenance programme by site engineers and under service contract to ensure minimal noise potential from moving and vibrating parts.  Refrigeration systems housed internally. | 2  | 4            | 8 |      |  |  |  |
| Fugitive Releases to Air Gaseous Refrigerants used on site  | Leak from the refrigeration systems resulting in escaped gases into atmosphere. | A/E  | Refrigeration systems maintained as part of planned preventative maintenance programme by site engineers and under service contract. | 1            | 4 | 4    |  |  |  |

| Table 3.4.6: Activity – Delivery, Site and Dispatch Vehicles |   |                    |   |      |      |      |
|--|---|--------------------|---|------|------|------|
| Identification of Potential Risks <sup>1</sup>               |   | Control Measures   | Asse  | ssme | nt   |      |
| Environmental Risk and                                       | tal Risk and Initiating Event Condition Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup> |                    | Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup>   | Resi | dual | Risk |
| Receptors  |   | N/A/E <sup>3</sup> |   | P    | S    | R    |
| receptors are c. 20 m from the                               |   | N/A/E              | Drivers instructed not to rev engines unnecessarily or accelerate excessively when leaving the site.  Vehicles maintained under service contracts to minimise the potential of noise emissions from vibrating parts.  Site speed limit. | 3    | 2    | 6    |

| Table 3.4.6: Activity – Delivery, Si  | te and Dispatch Vehicles  |                    |   |          |   |     |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------|---|----------|---|-----|--|--|
| Identification of Potential Risks <sup>1</sup>                                    |   |                    | Control Measures  |          |   | ent |  |  |
| Environmental Risk and  | Initiating Event  | Condition          | Risk Management Controls <sup>2</sup>   | Residual |   |     |  |  |
| Receptors   |   | N/A/E <sup>3</sup> |   | P        | S | R   |  |  |
| Surface Water Leaks or spills to ground, ground water that lead to surface water. | Delivery / collection vehicle containment failure or collision leading to significant spillage of materials, including vehicle fuels and oils.  | A/E                | Provision of spill kits at delivery and collection points.  Vehicles maintained under service contracts.  All site roads covered by concrete.  Site speed limit.  Interceptors in surface drainage system  Regular monitoring of site infrastructure as part of EMS | 2        | 4 | 8   |  |  |
|   | Fuel / oils leaking from parked vehicles.  A/E  Provision of spill kits at delivery and collection points.  Vehicles maintained under service contracts.  All site roads covered by concrete.  Site speed limit.  Interceptors in surface drainage system  Regular monitoring of site infrastructure as part of EMS |                    | Vehicles maintained under service contracts. All site roads covered by concrete. Site speed limit.  | 2        | 4 | 8   |  |  |
| Groundwater Leaks or spills to ground, ground water                               | Delivery / collection vehicle containment failure or collision leading to significant spillage of materials, including vehicle fuels and oils.  | A/E                | Provision of spill kits at delivery and collection points.  Vehicles maintained under service contracts.  All site roads covered by concrete.  Site speed limit.  Regular monitoring of site infrastructure as part of EMS  | 2        | 4 | 8   |  |  |
|   | Fuel / oils leaking from parked vehicles.  A/E  |                    | Provision of spill kits at delivery and collection points.  Vehicles maintained under service contracts.  All site roads covered by concrete.  Site speed limit.  Regular monitoring of site infrastructure as part of EMS  |          | 4 | 8   |  |  |
| Waste Production  | Failure of vehicle containment will lead to spills of materials which need to be cleaned up and disposed of as waste.   | A/E                | Site speed limit.   | 1        | 4 | 4   |  |  |

16

Report Ref: P179-R02-F1

# 4 Detailed Operational Impact Assessment

#### 4.1 Introduction

As set out in the screening assessment above a number of activities are deemed as requiring a detailed assessment of their impacts under normal operations. This section of the report sets out the assessment methodology and the impact calculations for each of these aspects.

#### 4.2 Impacts Assessed

The Tables 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 in Section 2 set out the initial screening assessment to determine which combinations of operations and potential impacts warrant further assessment. The screening assessment has identified the following emissions that require detailed assessment of their potential impacts:

- Waste generated from the production process;
- GWP / POCP from the production process and boilers.

The methodologies used to undertake these detailed assessments have been provided in further detail below.

As stated above in Section 1.2, the approach in determining impacts follows the detailed guidance provided and in the old H1 annexes. In this particular instance, it was not felt necessary to use the H1 software tool: several potential impacts were shown by the ERA not to require further assessment and the wastes are almost exclusively sent for recovery which attracts an insignificant evaluation.

#### 4.2.1 Waste

The waste streams produced from the site's new operations have been established within the Installation Information report. The identified waste streams have then been assessed using the scoring system provided on the Environment Agency's .gov website <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/select-a-waste-recovery-or-disposal-method-for-your-environmental-permit#">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/select-a-waste-recovery-or-disposal-method-for-your-environmental-permit#:~:text=incineration%20(with%20energy%20recovery)%20%2D,%2D%20D3%2C%20D12%</a>

permit#:~:text=incineration%20(with%20energy%20recovery)%20%2D,%2D%20D3%2C%20D12%20%2D%20score%2017.

#### 4.2.2 GWP and POCP

The Global Warming Potential (GWP) and Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential (POCP) have been calculated from direct emissions from the facility and the indirect emissions from the use of energy detailed within the Installation Information report. The GWP and POCP have been calculated using factors provided within the Environment Agency's H1 Annex H guidance note where available. Where other factors have been used, these have been referenced accordingly.

## 4.3 Waste

#### 4.3.1 Waste Streams

Table 4.1 below identifies the waste streams produced on-site and scores them based on the system outlined on the Environment Agency's .Gov website. Animal By-Product (ABP) have been included within the assessment below for completeness.

| <b>Table 4.1: </b> | Table 4.1: Waste Inventory and Assessment |  |  |   |                                      |  |   |
|--------------------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| E.W.C /<br>Waste   | Origin                                    | Nature of the<br>Wastes                                      | Annual Volumes (t / yr)  | Waste hierarchy -<br>Recovery / Disposal Option<br>(Description and Code) | Nature of<br>the Waste<br>.Gov Score | Disposal /<br>Recovery<br>Option . Gov<br>Score                | Score<br>(Volume x<br>Nature x<br>Option) |
| 02.02.02           | Animal By-<br>Product                     | Production   | Unknown. Anticipated to be a slight increase on current levels due to expanded cutting / processing operations.                            |   | expected to                          | further due to min<br>be produced and<br>t for recovery        | *   |
| 20.03.01           | General<br>Waste                          | Canteen wastes,<br>other non-<br>hazardous waste<br>streams. | Unknown. Anticipated to be a slight increase due to domestic facilities provided within the new building / expanded processing operations. |   | Considered                           | further due to unkr<br>insignificant due<br>ipated to be produ | e to minimal                              |
| 15.01.01           | Paper &<br>Cardboard                      | Mostly packaging waste                                       | Unknown. Anticipated to be a slight increase on current levels due to expanded cutting / processing operations.                            |   |                                      | further due to unka<br>material sent for<br>gnificant.         | •   |

A review of wastes will be undertaken as required in the timescales specified in the Environmental Permit to provide a complete assessment of waste recovery. The review will be able to quantify any increases in wastes produced because of expanded site operations.

## 4.4 Global Warming and Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential

#### 4.4.1 Introduction

Both the direct emissions from the facility and the indirect emissions from the use of energy have global warming potential (GWP) and these need to be calculated along with the Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential (POCP) of the site.

#### 4.4.2 Assessment

The table below outlines the GWP and POCP of the site based on the estimated energy consumption provided within the P179-R01-F1 – Installation Information Report.

This application relates to proposed increases in production capability at the site and the energy consumption values have been estimated from the existing annual values. The annual energy quantities used to derive GWP and POCP values and their derivation are listed in Report P179-R01-F1 and are:

• Electricity = 2175 MWh

Emission factors have been applied where necessary to the energy data which has then been converted to show GWP as a tonnes / year (t / yr) CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent. The calculations and references to the applicable factors have been shown in the table below along with a summary of the total GWP.

| Table 5.1 – Global Warming Potential Assessment |  |                     |                   |                              |                               |   |                                   |                      |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Energy<br>Source                                | Quantity of<br>Fuel Used                               | Delivered<br>Energy | Primary<br>Energy | GWP CO <sub>2</sub> (tonnes) | N₂O (GWP t<br>CO₂ equivalent) | VOC (GWP as t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent) | Total GWP (t / yr CO <sub>2</sub> | Total POCP (kg / yr) |
|   |  | (MWh)               | (MWh)             |                              |                               |   | Equivalent)                       |                      |
| Electricity                                     |  | 2260                | 5424              | 900                          |                               |   | 900                               |                      |
| Reference Fact                                  | ors  |                     |                   |                              |                               |   |                                   |                      |
| Electricity                                     | Electricity converted to primary energy factor of 2.4; |                     |                   |                              |                               |   |                                   |                      |
|   | Electricity conve                                      | erted to CO₂ a      | apply EA's H1 fa  | ctor 0.166 t /               | MWh Primary                   |   |                                   |                      |

# 5 Conclusions

# 5.1 Summary

The H1 - Environmental Screening Assessment has identified those processes and activities on site that have the potential to create an environmental impact on identified environmentally sensitive receptors, under normal, abnormal and emergency (accident) scenarios.

The results Environmental Screening Assessment has been summarised in Table 5.1 below.

| Table 5.1 Assessment Summary   | Table 5.1 Assessment Summary                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Possible Impact  | Significance / Further Assessment                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amenity (litter / vermin / mud / fire)   | Insignificant impact, no further assessment required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Odour  | Insignificant impact, no further assessment required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noise  | Insignificant impact, no further assessment required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fugitive Air Releases  | Insignificant impact, no further assessment required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface Water  | Insignificant impact, no further assessment required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Groundwater  | Insignificant impact, no further assessment required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air  | Insignificant impact, no further assessment required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste Produced   | Insignificant impact, no further assessment required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Global Warming Potential (GWP) / Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential (POP). | Values calculated.                                    |  |  |  |  |  |