

1. Provide the original copy of the WAMITAB award certificate for your Technically Competent Manager, Stuart Thompson.

Reason: this information is missing in your application submission.

See evidence 1

2. Provide a site plan (drawn to an appropriate scale) that shows the boundary area of your site as well as the relevant features around your site, including any designated sites, Air Quality Management Area, sensitive receptors etc. see below

3. Provide site plan(s) that shows the monitoring locations for noise, dust and odour and incorporate them in the relevant management plan for the site.

Reason: although you provided site layout plans, they were not drawn to appropriate scale to enable easy identification of key features around your site. There is also no site plan that shows the locations of the monitoring points.

See evidence 2

The locations of the monitoring points were discussed on location with the Senior Environment Officer, Darren Smith, on the 17th December 2020.

- 1) Noise - See attached the noise monitoring proposal 16426-SP1 to be completed mid-January 2021.
- 2) Weather station and Mcert dust monitor – see attached Saxon works area map
- 3) Odour – as with most installations, olfactory monitoring will occur daily around the site perimeter and any issues raised, investigated and recorded in the daily log, this will be on the SharePoint or My Compliance software system.

4. Provide a Site Condition Report together with baseline monitoring data that meet the requirements of the H5 guidance/template.

Reason: this information is missing in your application submission.

The H5 will be submitted once the site condition investigation has been completed – see attached 4 site condition bore holes. (email)

5. Submit a revised Non-Technical Summary that provides a good/clear description of all activities that you are have proposed to operate at the site, including treatment of construction and demolition waste.

Reason: although you provided the NTS, it did not cover all activities that you have proposed to undertake at the site.

See attached – NTSv2

6. Amend the title of the Odour Management Plan (OMP) as it is bearing both OMP and Dust Management Plan.

Reason: the title of this document needs amending as it is somehow misleading.

See attached 6 - Doc 11 - Odour Management Plan v2

7. Provide a Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) that considers the combined impact of your proposed site operations. You must undertake the assessment in line with BS4142 and submit any corresponding model input files with your response.

Reason: the need for a Noise Management Plan is generally derived from the NIA. While you suggested in Section 1.0 of your Noise Management Plan that 'the assessment used the evaluation method as outlined in BS 4142: 2014 Method for rating industrial noise affecting mixed residential and industrial areas', the NIA was not submitted with the application.

See attached 2 - noise monitoring proposal 16426-SP1

8. Submit a habitat assessment report that considers the potential impacts of your proposed site operations on designated sites that are located within 2km/10km from your site and pay an appropriate add-on fee of £779.

Reason: there are designated sites that are located within appropriate screening distance from your site. The potential impacts of your proposed operations on these sites need to be considered.

Bacs to be sent Wednesday PSCAPPJOHN002

9. Pay the appropriate application fee for the waste operation activity that relates to treatment of construction and demolition waste. This is 50% of the appropriate application fee for physical treatment of non-hazardous waste - charge reference - 1.16.12 (£3,965) – see Charging Scheme.

Reason: The treatment of construction and demolition waste is a different activity from the IBA treatment installation activity. These two activities are not directly associated and as such an appropriate fee is required.

Bacs to be sent Wednesday PSCAPPJOHN003

I have included Darren on this response as I am sure he will be able to shed light on any concerns you may have. Darren has been associated with the site for a very long time and will continue to be so as the site is re-developed.

I have included some photographs to help you understand the location and site set up. The site is a disused brickwork. All the kilns and most of the buildings were removed previously and I have included the site ecological report for this period. The original application included another report, and a 3rd report will be produced in mid-January. The 3rd report is concerned with the demolition of the 85meter chimneys, which remain standing but in poor condition (see pics 3).

The site poses very little impact on the local area due to its location and geographic setting. It is in a very big hole with very high sides around.