



Greenhill Lake

Environmental Permit Application

Noise and Vibration Management Plan

April 2020 (Version 2)

Prepared on behalf of Mick George Ltd





Document control

Document:	Noise and Vibration Management Plan		
Project:	Greenhill Lake		
Client:	Mick George Limited		
Job Number:	A114610		
File Origin:	\\southampton14\Data\Projects\Mick George (G05059)\^Bishops Bowl\A114610 (Greenhill Lake Inert Disposal Permit)\Reports		
Revision:	Version 2		
Date:	May 2020		
Prepared by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
Michael Jones	Michael Jones		
Description of revision: Update to Sections 1.1.3 and 2.1.2 in response to email query from Elisabeth Platts from the Environment Agency on 02/04/2020.			



Contents

1.0 Introduction 1

2.0 Risk Assessment 3

3.0 Operational Techniques..... 4

4.0 Monitoring Techniques 6

5.0 Action Plan and Complaints Procedure..... 9

Appendices

Appendix A – Complaints Reporting Form



1.0 Introduction

1.1.1 Owing to the nature of the operations carried out at the installation, there will be emissions of noise arising from site works undertaken by Mick George Limited (MGL). LF Acoustics’ Noise assessment dated October 2019 was produced to assess the noise levels associated with the proposed importation of materials to complete the infilling of the site and to provide an improved restoration profile.

1.1.2 Calculations of the likely worst case noise levels associated with the operation of the infilling works undertaken by LF Acoustics indicate that the noise levels associated with the vehicle movements would be remain below the current planning condition limits and therefore acceptable. Condition 17 of the current permission is as follows:-

"17. The level of noise from the site shall not exceed the following noise limits at residential properties at the specified locations. All measurements shall be made in accordance with the methodology of 5228-1:2009 and its subsequent amendments. Where access to the nearest sound sensitive property is not possible, measurements shall be undertaken at an appropriate location and corrected to establish the noise levels at the nearest sound sensitive property.

<i>Location</i>	<i>Noise Limit LAeq, 1 hour</i>
<i>Greenhill Farm</i>	<i>51dB</i>
<i>Walworth Farm</i>	<i>47dB</i>
<i>Model Farm</i>	<i>47dB</i>
<i>Residential Properties to east of B4451</i>	<i>53dB</i>

Reason: *In order to protect the amenity of the area and nearby residents."*

1.1.3 Figure 2 of the Noise Impact Assessment gives the location of the residential properties identified in Condition 17 of the planning permission. Grid References for all locations of receptors, fixed and mobile plant, and site traffic mentioned in this management plan are given in the Noise Impact Assessment.

1.1.4 Background noise monitoring was undertaken (please see LF Acoustics’ NIA), which were used to derive the appropriate site noise limits for the planning condition specified above. Assessing the noise levels from the proposed operations thus ensures that the infilling operations would not result in any significant adverse noise impacts when assessed against the requirements of the planning condition and BS 4142:2014+A1:2019.



Greenhill Lake – Noise and Vibration Management Plan

1.1.5 The main sources of noise associated with the infilling operations would be as follows:-

- Heavy Goods Vehicles arriving at and leaving the site;
- A single bulldozer/excavator; and
- Reversing signals fitted to any mobile plant.

1.1.6 The risk assessment has concluded that the generation of vibration as a result of operations at the installation will not be significant due to the distances from residential properties and is therefore given no further consideration.

1.1.7 This procedure outlines the management techniques that will be used at the installation to minimise emissions of noise and vibration.



2.0 Risk Assessment

- 2.1.1 The measures necessary to control noise have been considered in the context of the installation setting, the proximity of sensitive receptors and the proposed operations that will be carried out.
- 2.1.2 Mitigation measures will be implemented within the lake complex to ensure that restoration operations do not adversely impact on the occupants of surrounding properties. Measures adopted could include the provision of boundary bunding and the provision of appropriate buffer zones between working areas and the neighbouring properties. Grid References for all locations of receptors, fixed and mobile plant, and site traffic mentioned in this management plan are given in LF Acoustics' Noise Impact Assessment.
- 2.1.3 Should the controls identified be considered inadequate once the infilling operations commence (e.g. due to a change in phasing), then an action plan will be drawn up by site management detailing the actions to be taken, responsibilities and timescales.
- 2.1.4 Further details of the risk assessment can be found in the LF Acoustics Noise assessment dated October 2019.



3.0 Operational Techniques

3.1 Management Responsibility

- 3.1.1 The site manager will have responsibility for ensuring that nuisances and hazards arising from the site due to noise and vibration are minimised.

3.2 Liaison with Neighbours

- 3.2.1 Regular liaison will be maintained with neighbours to ensure they are notified in advance of activities, which may give rise to increased noise levels.

3.3 Training

- 3.3.1 All installation personnel will be trained in the need to minimise installation noise and will be responsible for monitoring and reporting excessive noise when carrying out their everyday roles.

3.4 Operational Hours

- 3.4.1 Except in an emergency, in order to minimise disturbance to neighbours, waste disposal operations involving the use of mobile plant and equipment and the importation of waste will not be carried on outside the permitted operational hours of 07:00 – 18:00 hours Mondays to Fridays and 07:00 – 13:00 hours on Saturdays.

3.5 Noise Suppression Equipment

- 3.5.1 It is proposed to use “white noise / sound” reversing alarms or intelligent alarms on mobile plant that can only be heard in the immediate vicinity of the machine.

3.6 Selection of Plant and Equipment

- 3.6.1 During the selection process for new plant and equipment, consideration will be given to the need to meet all legislation and statutory guidance on noise levels and to minimise levels of noise from selected equipment.
- 3.6.2 If older items of plant are found to give rise to unacceptable noise levels, consideration will be given to their replacement with quieter designs.



3.7 Positioning of Plant and Equipment

3.7.1 When positioning noisy equipment, consideration will be given to the proximity of receptors and the prevailing wind direction.

3.8 Maintenance of Plant and Equipment

3.8.1 All plant and equipment in use at the installation will be regularly maintained to minimise noise resulting from their operation.

3.9 Modification to Plant and Equipment

3.9.1 If an item of plant is found to generate unacceptable noise levels, consideration will be given to modifying the equipment to incorporate noise suppression equipment.

3.10 Reversing Alarms

3.10.1 White noise / sound warning signals will be utilised on vehicles on site to minimise the impact on local receptors.

3.11 Sound Barriers

3.11.1 Whilst the assessment did not indicate any adverse noise impacts with appropriate controls and mitigation measures implemented, should noise levels be identified to be unacceptable in the vicinity of receptors barriers may be constructed around operational areas and acoustic screening erected around fixed plant. Grid references shown in the Noise Impact Assessment.

3.12 Speed Limits

3.12.1 The imposition of a speed limit for vehicles on site will reduce noise associated with high engine speeds and excessive braking.

3.13 Vehicle Circulation Routes

3.13.1 Vehicles using the installation will travel across designated routes that have been designed and located to minimise nuisance and hazard to both internal installation users and, receptors located outside the installation boundary.



4.0 Monitoring Techniques

4.1 Monitoring of Meteorological Conditions

4.1.1 Wind speed and direction will be routinely monitored and in certain circumstances i.e. when filling close to receptors, this will enable potential noise problems to be predicted and necessary remedial action, such as modifications to the method of working, to be planned and implemented.

4.2 Regular Inspection/Monitoring

4.2.1 The site manager will ensure that regular inspections are made of the installation and its perimeter in order to identify any unacceptable or unexpected sources of noise and to establish whether noise is apparent at the perimeter of the installation. Particular attention will be paid to the active filling area, and the perimeter of the installation, which is close to sensitive receptors.

4.3 Quantitative Noise Monitoring

4.3.1 Quantitative noise monitoring will be carried out if it is identified that problems are being caused, following receipt of a justified complaint and to demonstrate conformance with any noise levels imposed by the planning consent.

4.3.2 Noise monitoring would normally be carried out during normal working hours on a weekday between 07:00 – 18:00 hours.

4.3.3 Noise measurements would normally be made at the following five locations identified within the noise assessment which supported the planning application:-

- Greenhill Farm;
- Walworth Farm;
- Model Farm; and
- Residential Properties to east of B4451.

4.3.4 The monitoring positions used would be at publicly accessible locations as close to each property as possible, where the noise levels monitored were considered to be representative of those at the adjacent dwellings. Grid references shown in the Noise Impact Assessment.

4.3.5 At each location, two non-concurrent 15 minute attended noise measurements would be made, whilst the site was operational.

Greenhill Lake – Noise and Vibration Management Plan

- 4.3.6 The measurements would be made at a freefield location (at least 3.5 metres from the property facade) and a height of 1.2 - 1.5 metres above ground level. Where it was necessary to make measurements adjacent to a property façade or other reflecting surface (i.e. at a distance of 1 metre from the façade or fence), a correction of -3dB(A) would be made to the measured values to convert between façade and equivalent freefield levels.
- 4.3.7 The measurements would be made using a Sound Level Meter designed to a minimum Class 2 specification in accordance with BS-EN 61672, which would be field calibrated before and after each exercise using a suitable acoustic calibrator. Should the two calibration levels drift by more than 0.5 dB, the measurements would be discarded and the exercise repeated.
- 4.3.8 The surveys would normally be carried out during dry conditions and when wind speeds averaged less than 5 m/s, to ensure any interference on the microphone was minimised.
- 4.3.9 Measurements would only be taken during periods of normal operation (e.g. excluding periods of plant maintenance and breakdowns) and when the site was fully operational. For each measurement, the following parameters shall be recorded:-
- measurement position;
 - LAeq, 15 minute LA90 and LMax,F noise levels;
 - weather conditions, wind speeds and direction;
 - activities being carried out on site; and
 - other influences on noise levels.
- 4.3.10 Where the measurements obtained were clearly influenced by noise from other sources (e.g. road traffic), if possible, the extraneous noise would be paused out of the measurement using the pause function on the sound level meter (only possible if the events are isolated) and a note made, or a note made to the effect that the other sources of noise were identified to be the principal noise source. If the latter were the case, a note would be made regarding the audibility of operations within the site and professional judgement used to evaluate whether the noise levels measured attributable to the operation of the site were within the noise limits.
- 4.3.11 The measured noise levels would be assessed against the noise limits specified within Condition 17 of the planning permission.
- 4.3.12 Where the measurements indicate that the noise limits were exceeded from site operations, the source of the noise should be identified and the operator should seek to minimise noise from that source, using Best Practicable Means, to reduce noise levels below the limits specified above. The mitigation, which could include reduction at source or by additional bunding for



example, should be agreed in writing with the Environment Agency and Minerals Planning Authority and implemented within a period of 8 weeks of the monitoring exercise. Following completion of the works, the measurement exercise would be repeated to ensure that the limits are achieved, and further works carried out if required.

- 4.3.13 Records of each noise monitoring exercise would be available for inspection within the site office within a period of 14 days from completion.



5.0 Action Plan and Complaints Procedure

- 5.1.1 If a noise problem is noticed or a complaint received, it will be immediately reported to the site manager and the MGL main office and logged on the central register.
- 5.1.2 The source of the problem will then be investigated, normally by a visit to the complainant's property within a period of 48 hours of the complaint being received. The manager would undertake a subjective assessment of the noise giving rise to the complaint and undertake remedial action where necessary to reduce the noise.
- 5.1.3 Should the site manager/central office consider the complaint to be justified, the EA would be informed of the complaint within a period of 7 days of the complaint having been received and a noise monitoring exercise carried out in accordance with the above scheme, within a period of 2 weeks of the complaint.
- 5.1.4 In the event that noise derived from the site giving rise to the complaint is justified and the noise levels found to be above the appropriate noise limits, action will be taken without delay. The remedial action will be related to the meteorological conditions and the high sensitivity receptors. The following remedial action may be appropriate:-
- Relocate operations pending change in wind direction;
 - Relocate plant to less sensitive locations;
 - Construct or erect acoustic bunds, barriers or screens;
 - Replace noisy plant and equipment with quieter models;
 - Undertake maintenance on equipment that will reduce noise levels; and
 - Modify plant to incorporate noise suppression equipment.
- 5.1.5 Each complaint would be logged using the central complaints form provided in Appendix A, which will include:
- The results of inspections and monitoring carried out by installation personnel;
 - Wind speed and direction;
 - Problems including date, time, duration, prevailing weather conditions and cause of the problem;
 - Complaints received including address of complainant;
 - Details on the corrective action taken, and any subsequent changes to operational procedures; and



- An evaluation of the effectiveness of the techniques used.
- 5.1.6 The complaints log will be held within the site office / central register and made available to the EA upon request.



Appendices



Appendix A – Complaint Reporting Form

Greenhill Lake – Noise and Vibration Management Plan



Noise complaint report form	Date:	Ref. No.
Name and address of complainant		
Tel no. of complainant		
Time and date of complaint		
Date, time and duration of offending noise		
Weather conditions (e.g., dry, rain, fog, snow)		
Wind strength and direction (e.g. light, steady, strong, gusting)		
Complainant's description of noise (e.g., hiss, hum, rumble, continuous, intermittent)		
Has complainant any other comments about the offending noise?		
Any other previous known complaints relating to installation (all aspects, not just noise)		
Any other relevant information		
Potential noise sources that could give rise to the complaint		
Operating conditions at the time offending noise occurred		
Action taken:		
Final outcome:		
Form completed by	Signed	