

All responses by question (please note, questions may not be listed in order but by type)

<b>Response number</b>	<b>Question 1: Prior to attending the event, how much existing knowledge did you have of the flood risk in Guildford? (1 = not much, 5 = a lot)</b>	<b>Question 2: How would you rate the need for a flood alleviation scheme in Guildford? (1 = not needed at all, 5 = very much needed)</b>	<b>Question 8: How useful did you find the event? (1 = not very useful, 5 = very useful)</b>	<b>Question 9: How useful did you find the display material? (1 = not very useful, 5 = very useful)</b>
1	3	5	3	3
2	5	5	4	4
3	4	5	5	5
4	4	4	5	4
5	3	4	4	4
6	4	5	4	4
7	5	5	5	3
8	3	5	4	4
9	4	5	5	5
10	4	5	3	4
11	4	5	5	5
12	5	5	5	5
13	3	5	4	4
14	4	5	3	3
15	4	5	4	4
16	4	5	3	3
17	5	5	2	N/A
18	2	3	2	2
19	5	5	3	3
20	3	4	4	4
21	5	3	3	3
22	2	5	4	4
23	4	4	4	4
24	5	5	4	4
25	5	3	4	4
26	5	5	5	3
27	2	4	3	3
28	5	5	5	4
29	5	5	5	5
30	1	5	5	5
31	5	5	3	3
32	5	5	3	3
33	4	5	4	4
34	5	5	4	5
35	4	5	5	5
36	4	5	4	4
37	5	5	3	4
38	3	2	5	5
39	5	5	3	3
40	3	4	3	3

41	4	1	3	3
42	4	5	5	5
43	3	3	3	3
44	5	3	3	2
45	5	3	1	1
46	3	5	4	4
47	3	3	3	3
48	5	5	4	4
49	4	5	5	5
50	1	4	5	5
51	5	5	5	5
52	1	5	N/A	N/A
53	5	5	5	5

Response number	Question 3: Apart from reduced flood risk, what other benefits would you like to see included in the scheme?	Other (further option as part of Question 3, respondents asked to specify)
1	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	Reduced flooding in Guildford would enable building houses in Bedford Wharf and Crown Court areas
2	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	Access to the river for pedestrians and cyclists. Also a place for seating to become a community meeting place.
3	Other	I think anything other than doing the basics of flood reduction is extremely unlikely to happen due to fiscal constraints.
4	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); Better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A
5	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	soft passageways for wildlife in and out of the water
6	Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green space	If it is not possible to protect property (particularly privately-owned property) very near the river adequately by the scheme, suggestions as to practical improvements households could take to improve their flood safety.
7	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	Joined up approach to surface water plan. Continuous access along both sides of the Wey as part of a green corridor along each bank.

<b>8</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A
<b>9</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths), More green open space	N/A
<b>10</b>	Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); Better connections between the town centre and the river	More developable areas
<b>11</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A
<b>12</b>	Environmental improvements; improved active travel routes; Better connections between the town centre and the river	Work together with Thames Water to create a buffer lake near Shalford that also is used for grey water provision
<b>13</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A
<b>14</b>	Improved active travel routes; More green open space; better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A
<b>15</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	Long range vision for exceptional events. Emergency plans for moving around if floods occur.
<b>16</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths)	N/A
<b>17</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>18</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); Better connections between the town centre and river	N/A
<b>19</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A
<b>20</b>	Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	Better cycle routes
<b>21</b>	Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); Better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A

<b>22</b>	Environmental Improvements (e.g. fish passes); More green open space	More street furniture - benches
<b>23</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A
<b>24</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A
<b>25</b>	Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths)	Raise the Shalford - Guildford cycle path at the rowing club so it no longer floods - every other year currently
<b>26</b>	N/A	Protection for the Surrey Hills which floods extensively
<b>27</b>	Environment improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river.	N/A
<b>28</b>	Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths)	Greater maintenance and management of flood assets and fundamental dredging attended too annually. EA Wey Dredger sold 2021!!
<b>29</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Better connections between the town centre and the river	Better maintenance and management of existing flood elevation infrastructure
<b>30</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	Environmental improvements; Improved active travel routes
<b>31</b>	Improved active travel routes; More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and river	It's so important to open up the riverside area – it's completely separated from the town - it could be so much better.
<b>32</b>	Improved Active travel routes; More Green open space; Better connections between town centre and the river	N/A
<b>33</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	A specific meeting for residents at risk for flood proofing their homes, escape routes etc.
<b>34</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	Road traffic vehicle amelioration - the river runs the risk of pollution from roadways

<b>35</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	Riverside walks and cycle ways preferred.
<b>36</b>	Environmental Improvements (e.g. fish passes); More green open space	N/A
<b>37</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. foot paths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	N/A
<b>38</b>	Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths);	N/A
<b>39</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes);	N/A
<b>40</b>	Wider consideration of other business premises on Walnut Tree Close;	N/A
<b>41</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); More green open space ;	N/A
<b>42</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green open space ;Better connections between the town centre and the river ;	N/A
<b>43</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths);Better connections between the town centre and the river ; More green open space ;	N/A
<b>44</b>	Better connections between the town centre and the river ;	N/A
<b>45</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river; I'd like to see effective alleviation upstream from Guildford- especially the introduction of beavers. I do not like the walls proposed under the current thinking;	N/A
<b>46</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); Better connections between the town centre and the river;	N/A
<b>47</b>	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river;	N/A
<b>48</b>	Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); Better connections between the town centre and the river; More appreciation and use of the river as a	N/A

	positive attraction and advantage for Guildford;	
49	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green open space; Opening up riverside sites for appropriate development to improve image of Guildford from the river and enable more building on brownfield sites rather than greenfield or greenbelt.;	N/A
50	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river ;Improved water quality	Environmental improvements and water quality. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] The River Wey is central to Guildford's existence, but it is suffering from raised levels of nitrates and e-Coli. This is detrimental to those that use the water, for example, the rowing and kayaking clubs, recreational paddle boarders, wild swimmers, tourist and visitors that use barges and boats on the river or who specifically visit the town to see the river.
51	More green open space ; Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths);Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes)	More green open space; 1) especially in commercial and new-build multi-occupancy sites to open up flood water storage for surface water and river flood; 2) Increase environmentally sustainable use of the riverbanks; 3) Reduce occupancy adjacent to the river to minimise or reduce sewage levels in a flood scenario; 4) As the River Wey is actually a navigation as it passes through Guildford Town, it floods with little notice in Guildford, more green open space will reduce the impact of river flood
52	Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green open space; Better connections between the town centre and the river	More green open space. Which everyone, including wildlife would benefit from!
53	Environmental improvements (e.g. fish passes); Improved active travel routes (e.g. footpaths); More green open	More storage of flood water to hold it back from flooding the town, eg build new buildings with a flood

	space; Better connections between the town centre and the river; More storage of flood water to hold it back from flooding the town	water storage underneath as in the Bedford Road car park.
--	---	---

Response number	Question 4: Of the above, which is most important to you? Why?
1	Better connection between the town centre and the river. Plus access to new housing that I have mentioned above.
2	More green space
3	Fish passes would be great.
4	N/A
5	environmental improvements, better connection
6	In view of the increasing frequency of flooding where I live [REDACTED] I am naturally most concerned about avoiding flooding to my property and my ability to gain safety if my access is cut off by flood water.
7	Green access corridor along each bank.
8	Active travel
9	More green open space
10	More development/ Investment
11	N/A
12	Flood management
13	Improved active travel routes
14	Better connection between the town centre and the river.
15	Exceptional events which would threaten residents' homes. A riverside which is a better community asset, adding value.
16	N/A
17	N/A
18	Improved active travel routes
19	All important
20	Cycling
21	Better connections between river and town centre
22	Environmental improvements
23	More green open space
24	N/A
25	Active travel
26	Protection for the Surrey Hills which floods extensively
27	Active travel
28	Statutory dredging points need drastic attention.
29	Better maintenance of existing water course flood elevation changes weirs and river.
30	Attractive flood defences rather than the concrete/metal walls, better community open spaces
31	Better connections between the town centre and the river
32	Improved active travel routes
33	Safety and protective measures.
34	Improved active travel routes
35	Open riverside and the SGF benefits - it is a holistic plan
36	The safety of fish and their value to the local habitat
37	Environmental Improvement
38	Just because

39	Connectivity- it would be great to see another crossing over the river and have the town feel more cohesive
40	I work in the offices on [REDACTED] and no consideration seems to have been given to the flood risk which I assume will only increase if a finite amount of water is diverted from elsewhere.
41	Fish passage as it is more important to open up the River Wey to fish migration. River is failing many elements of WFD.
42	Environmental improvements (including greenspace of value to biodiversity) because without a healthy, ecologically functional river and surrounding floodplain we barely have a river at all. Whilst a biodiverse river can provide so many additional benefits for health, recreation, amenity and placemaking to the surrounding area and our town.
43	Natural measures to reduce flooding (more wetlands, also helps to reduce soil runoff / nutrient pollution) and increase biodiversity
44	The scheme should consider upstream control of water entering the town. The equipment is already in place but unused!
45	Beavers
46	Using the footpaths along the river for travel (by foot or bicycle) will create better connections between the town and the river as well as improving the Environment by removing some road traffic.
47	Environmental improvements with a focus on sustainability
48	The last
49	Probably the last although they are all important.
50	What mitigation will the development consider to prevent further pollution of the river due to run-off, as it progresses to completion and subsequently, from roads and hard surfaces that lie within and/or border the development. (I note in the minutes of the FAS & SGF 19 May 2022 agenda item 6 concerns were raised that the "FAS must not worsen surface water flooding but looks for improvements".)
51	I am deeply pleased and grateful that this is being addressed again, however I was somewhat disappointed with what I saw of the initial proposal and hope that it is just early days: 1) Few lessons seem to have been drawn or learnt from the approach made in previous studies; 2) The route does not appear to have included basic insights learnt from the previous study, even though this company also performed the previous study; 3) Potential errors seem to exist in the proposal, these should typically have been overcome in an early desktop study, basic input such as from viewing the existing survey taken from about 6 years ago, looking at a map, etc which could be done as part of a desktop study, or even walking the route! neither does it reflect the experience I would hope the project team has; 4) Previous residents community input appears to have either been ignored or put secondary to commercial input; 5) Given the number of bends suggested in the wall, there seems to have been little consideration for the basic order of magnitude as a concept of economics in flood alleviation scheme, which is usually the main driver of its feasibility. Even without a cost estimation being performed, key cost drivers like bends should surely be considered early on?; 6) This proposal appears to need a better understanding of the impact combined with surface water, increased sewage levels with new developments and any ground water issues at the very edge of the bank; 7) This proposal appears to need better understanding of the impact of the banks on each other, e.g. West bank on the East bank; 8) An opportunity appears to have possibly been missed in that a different company have not been given the chance to undertake this study, given that this current contractor could not make their plan viable in the last assessment study, plus this contractor will be less likely

	than a fresh contractor to be able to draw on fresh experience and new approaches, (a reputable, competent contractor , as I'm sure these are, will likely have reached back inhouse to draw on what experience and expertise they had already in the last study).
52	Long awaited improvements !!
53	I like the idea of a flood defence wall. It needs to be high and strong enough to hold back the flood water.

Response number	Question 5: Please tell us your thoughts about the scheme and what you would like to see in the design options
1	Permanent plus demountable barriers (if needed) through the town centre.
2	In keeping with the town, no huge ugly walls.
3	I think that until there is more information regarding the cost implications and funding it is pointless to speculate on design as it will be a matter of what we can afford.
4	N/A
5	Scheme much needed given climate change. Barrier materials to be sympathetic and varied - no need for uniformity
6	I think your principles and approach is right and I recognise the problems of the varied circumstances along the river, but obviously I would prefer a solution that is as harmonious with the surroundings as possible.
7	More two stage channel and less reliance on walls. Ensure developers deliver sections of a coordinated and joined up scheme.
8	Better active travel location, better access to the river, better environmental elements
9	Children having input. Please feel free to contact [...]
10	N/A
11	N/A
12	I think it is focussed too much on the town, you should expand the flood plain near Shalford to act as a buffer and also other upstream buffers.
13	Permanent flood walls, with pleasant design seem to enhance the look of the riverside
14	If possible, flood defences that reflect the grain of the town centre landscape and do not stand out. Support the concept of defending the defined corridor along the river and mitigating the anticipated effects of climate change. Must be coordinated with any Town Centre masterplan (GBC)
15	The seating designs look marvellous. Protecting the flood plain at Riverside Park Burpham. Only building flood-proof houses in the zone
16	The design does not account for flooding of Walnut Tree Close around the student accommodation by the rail bridge. Riverview is potentially sterilised for redevelopment because there is no 'safe means of escape' because the public highway floods (or is shown as flooding on the flood map)
17	N/A
18	Speedier action
19	It is a mistake to avoid embankments to channel water through Guildford to other towns and villages downstream.
20	I am all in favour
21	Consideration for current businesses already situated. [REDACTED], this would majorly affect us in every way. [REDACTED]


	<p>Also all your flood defences are concrete from the diagram you are proposing.</p> <p>a concrete wall would be detrimental.</p>
22	Children having input. A good-looking solution
23	Some use of local material (e.g. Bargate Stone) to reflect some of the historic character of the town.
24	N/A
25	Low carbon solutions using minimal energy to build
26	N/A
27	Would like the scheme to enhance - not prohibit - use of the river towpaths
28	Consultation with navigation authority National Trust, terraced sides, mooring points for boats. Flood defences and dredging undertake on whole length waterway.
29	Does not deal with neglect and mismanagement of Wey catchment.
30	N/A
31	I loved the designs including benches and seating - things which make residents want to spend time by the riverside. To be honest I thinking the building overlooking the river e.g. Crown Court and the Bedford Road car park should be demolished and the whole area opened up. Surrey Police station should be demolished too - it's an eyesore. Put some integrated flood barriers/seating in and nice walkways.
32	Space - how will it benefit/hinder business at pub. Will we be able to use part of the space for business development?
33	N/A
34	Glad to see Guildford trying to enhance the use and quality of the river
35	Comfortable with the plan so far
36	I like the look of the benches - either forming part of the wall or set in front of it. It needs to be useful yet attractive.
37	It looks comprehensive be good to see more green spaces
38	None
39	We live in a property bordering the current temporary defence and have benefitted from it several times - thank you. I trust in the environment agency to alleviate flood risk in the most suitable way in this area.
40	Wider consideration of other business premises on Walnut Tree Close, especially the Riverview Business Park GU1 4UX
41	I think the scheme should be cancelled. looking at the flood maps, not a large area of Guildford is affected by flood risk. public money could be much better elsewhere to reduce flood risk.
42	<p>"I appreciate multifunctional land use and opening up the river as well as reducing flood risk. I would not want the only reference to biodiversity to be upstream fish passes. I would prefer more use of soft landscaping and creation of an unbroken or continuous green corridor at least along the outer edge of more mixed use or surfaced amenity areas. Would also like to see natural flood management opportunities upstream reviewed and more work on multifunctional opportunities to simultaneously improve storage and habitats albeit in addition to the town centre works and without relying upstream containment to solely provide flood alleviation. i.e. do the town works but anything upstream might remain an additional win too.</p> <p>Opportunities for wildlife e.g. we have swans nesting regularly nearby is there an opportunity to safeguard some nesting areas. Lighting controls (a survey of existing light pollution first) to maintain some dark areas of preferably create more dark(er) areas for bats etc. Opportunities to divert and filter out pollution from town roads into the river."</p>

<b>43</b>	If it addresses the above then good
<b>44</b>	Use up stream flow control as primary function
<b>45</b>	As above
<b>46</b>	It is very important that the scheme is referred to and given great weight in the updated Guildford Local Plan (Strategies and Sites) in order to make sure areas along the flood plain are kept available for the defences to be installed over the coming years. This should include areas that might be used for upstream catchment.
<b>47</b>	Somewhat positive
<b>48</b>	<p>██████████ my prime concern is that the scheme should ensure that properties on either side of the river are adequately protected from flooding but, as far as possible, that the river can still be seen and enjoyed. While demountable defences might ensure that, I am concerned that insufficient warning of flood might be received to get the defences up in time. (There was an occasion some years ago, on Christmas Eve, when not even the lowest level of flood alert had been received by the time the river was over the bank and right across Millmead into riverside properties, resulting in significant damage to many of them, and to properties on both sides of the river through the town and further down river.</p> <p>There is also the problem of how far the defences are used to keep roads open for access to the town or important services. If the defences are, for instance, put down the middle of Millmead, or on the pavement on the Borough Council side of the river, that would prevent access to the Council Offices and to the properties beyond, and to the Yvonne Arnaud Theatre. If they are put right on the river bank, however, that could cause the river to flood over to the Theatre side, rather than, as often happens, the flood tops the bank, but stops somewhere in the carpark. Putting defences on both sides of the river could stop that, but would have to be provided on both sides for the whole of the area prone to flooding and, presumably, beyond since it would channel a raised water level downstream to hitherto unaffected areas.</p>
<b>49</b>	I would like the scheme to provide areas of access to the river which could be allowed to flood if necessary, without causing damage to buildings along the river.
<b>50</b>	1. How will the development impact on the quality of the water that passes along The Wey through Guildford and beyond? So does the plan include any provisions to improve the condition of the river that flows through the town both in terms of its chemistry and levels of bacteria (e.g. e-Coli)? (Note the Water Rangers at ZeroCarbon, Guildford, conduct chemical tests along The Wey every month and e-Coli test every week from Godalming to Slyfield Sewerage Treatment Works). 2. Provisional plans proposed four compensatory floodplain storage areas e.g. Broadford. Are these still required? 3. How will the development meet biodiversity net gain requirements and at what point will this element be added to the plans?
<b>51</b>	To be kept up to date with the project, To have reassurance that the inputs to the models is that of the local community's and all the Authorities specialists understanding of the behaviour and impacts in a flood. To have better understanding of the latest community flood action strategy, plans and communications that are in place in the meantime. Reassurance that planning office are fully up to speed with intents so that development does not impede this scheme and that all new developments have the scheme incorporated

	into their plan and construction in some form to cover the cost and interfaces with adjoining plots
52	Whether anything will be done & invested into the scheme
53	Will any trees need to be felled to make room for the flood defence?

Response number	Question 6: Is there anything else you would like to know about the scheme?	Question 7: Is there anything else you would like to tell us?
1	Funding is an issue. 4 years ago the EA said 'delivering upstream storage is facing considerable technical environmental and cost issues. Therefore, it is unlikely to go ahead'. This statement is no longer there as the document have been updated in 2024.	Creating proper reservoirs not pumping facilities could create recreational parks that could bring in revenue in both the construction and maintenance of the scheme. An example is in eco-park in Kolkata (India). Link could be found by searching the internet for 'New Town Eco-Park'.
2	N/A	N/A
3	Who is paying for it? Given the fiscal problems within GBC, SCC, Central government and the Environment Agency we need far more information on where the money is coming from.	N/A
4	N/A	N/A
5	Is the financial contribution of GBC likely to scupper the project?	N/A
6	N/A	N/A
7		Guildford urgently needs a community infrastructure Levy Plan (CIL). This is crucial to provide a mechanism for developers to contribute.
8	More about the (?)	N/A
9	No thanks	N/A
10	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A
12	When are you going to do it?	It needs to be more ambitious you could also divert water through town via a big pipeline.
13	N/A	Take care to prevent flooding within Friary Passage - connecting riverside to North Street, and basements of shops in Friary Street.
14	Have you considered water storage in the catchment area south of Guildford - to reduce water flow through town at times of flood?	N/A
15	Access to the 1% and scenario maps. How secure the housing in the new Weyside urban village will be on the bank.	I think the residents just outside the 1% scenario are very anxious e.g. Margaret Road, Bowers Lane.
16	N/A	N/A
17	Most importantly - what all the visual impact will be. Will it	N/A

	improve/retain the present charms or restrict views of the river and its enjoyment.	
18	N/A	N/A
19	N/A	See attached drawing and notes.
20	Much of the problem comes from upstream. What steps are being considered for going upstream, e.g. Shalford Meadows to act as a flood reservoir.	N/A
21	We would like to be fully consulted as a prominent neighbour that will be majorly affected by the plans. The opening up of the riverside is a benefit to us but the flood gate options proposed have to fit in with our business and not harm it. A detrimental affect arrow concrete walls right next to us!	We have walking and car access plus full enjoyment across Millbrook car park that cannot be removed. We would be concerned about the affect of building next door and also during the build [REDACTED] this would be extremely harmful to our business if the car park was closed. How is this going to be financed? Guildford Borough Council is BANKRUPT.
22	N/A	N/A
23	N/A	N/A
24	N/A	N/A
25	Please consider monitoring the fish ladders on an occasional basis in year 1 to assess if any fish are using them	N/A
26	N/A	N/A
27	N/A	N/A
28	Timescale/Phasing/ (?) Navigation planning	The EA need to address management or lack of it to Godalming Scheme including dredging of river basin backwards and upstream but done back in 2016 !!!
29	When will the 12 statutory dredging points along the length of the river Wey catchment be dredged? No dredging since 2017. Dredger owned by EA sold in 2020.	The scheme does not appear to take into account the river Wey along its full length and causing of flooding elsewhere
30	N/A	N/A
31	It's crazy that you can't walk from one end of the river to another	N/A
32	N/A	N/A
33	N/A	N/A
34	No	Much improved use of the towing path in WTC/ Woodbridge meadows since the towing path was upgraded to a solid surface and banks of nettles removed.
35	We need to fast track to planning so we can at last make progress after	The scheme needs progressing. The planning needs progressing. Delivery

	decades (arguably a century) doing nothing.	requires a different skill set and needs to be programmed in tandem.
36	Not at the moment as it seems you'll be at the experimental stage for a long time. Surely this is fairly urgent?	You need to advertise events such as these more widely. Perhaps tell local residents associations etc.
37	N/A	N/A
38	No	No
39	No	No
40	why does it stop where it does?	No
41	how are you going to achieve on-site biodiversity net gain/ habitat improvement?	This expensive scheme should be cancelled, minimal reduction in flood risk and highly expensive. Guildford town centre not particularly high risk in terms of area. Flood risk scheme could be better situated elsewhere which would be much better value of public money.
42	Sequence of works and opportunities within each section. Assessment of views and access to the river - i.e. avoiding hiding too much of the river behind a flood wall. Will the scheme include new bridges from the new cycle and paths e.g. to Dapdune island	That about covers it.
43	Overall to improve river water quality - the Wey is pretty dire in terms of nutrient & E. coli pollution	
44	Use existing up stream control	Yes study old maps
45	No	No
46	Looking forward to the next presentation	No
47	More information on how this scheme might affect flow downstream and some assessment on how climate change/urbanisation of the local area has an impact on flood risk.	Where is the best place to find more information on, current flood mitigation in Guildford and well and future flood risk assessments?
48	The type of defences envisaged. The maximum level of flooding the defences are to be designed to safeguard against .Any other supplementary approaches to be considered e.g. preventing any further development of building on the flood plains; restrictions on the nature of foundations of buildings to be built out into the river underwater (such as the Debenhams building, now St Mary's Wharf, and the Yvonne Arnaud were)and narrowing	This has been a problem for centuries and is only likely to get worse in the light of climate change, so it needs to be resolved as quickly as adequate consultation will permit!

	the course of the river and thus raising its level.	
49	I think the presentations, including examples of work that has been done elsewhere, were very useful in showing what the solutions for Guildford could look like.	No
50	No	N/A
51	No	N/A
52	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████ The council, every few years would go along the ditch, making sure it was clear. It ██████████</p> <p>██████████ runs from the A3. It's not been looked at for years. It continually runs ██████████</p> <p>then out to the road. Causing damage on its flow, more often now with constant rain. I have videos of it!! Also the so-called pathway, from Raymond crescent to Pentreath is impassable. Again, not been maintained by GBC for years!!</p>	N/A
53	No thankyou	N/A

Response number	Question 8: How did you find out about the event?	Question 9: Was the timing of the event suitable for you? If not, please let us know what day or time of the week would be preferable for future events.	Question 10: Was the location easy for you to get to? Or do you have suggestions for other venues?
1	Email	Yes ██████████	Yes
2	Guildford Borough Council	Yes	Yes
3	Flyer through door	Timing fine ██████████	Location great ██████████
4	Social media/ Other (Guildford Dragon news)	A weekend event would be more useful	Venue was fine. G Live or a school location.
5	Email	██████████ daytime fine for me.	Very easy
6	GBC residents newsletter/ Card through door	Fine - ██████████	Very easy
7	Email	Yes	Yes
8	Email	Yes	Yes
9	Social media	Yes good to go before end 5!	Yes ██████████
10	Word of mouth	Yes	Yes

11	Social media	Yes	Yes
12	Social media/ Word of mouth	Yes	Yes
13	Word of mouth	Yes	Yes
14	Farmers market/ BBC Radio Surrey	Yes	Yes
15	GBC residents newsletter/ Word of mouth	It was good, and pertinent! The theatre is at risk.	Yes, I came by bus
16	Word of mouth	N/A	N/A
17		N/A	Yes
18	GBC residents newsletter	Yes	Yes
19	Word of mouth	It was suitable	Yes
20	GBC residents newsletter	Yes	N/A
21	Flyer as prominent neighbour!	Yes	Yes
22	Word of mouth	Yes	Yes
23	Email	Yes	Good location
24	N/A	Fine on timing	Yes
25	Council member	Later in evening or weekends for working people	Yes
26	Email	Yes	Yes
27	Email	Yes	Yes
28	Social media	Yes	Yes
29	Social Media	Yes	Yes
30	Friend works at EA	Yes - suitable	Yes - [REDACTED]
31	Social Media	An evening session would be more helpful for most people.	Yes
32	Email/GBC Residents letter	N/A	N/A
33	Email	Normally an evening meeting would be better	Yes
34	Flyer through door	It coincided with the Walnut Riverside Public Exhibition	Yes
35	Email	Fine	Yes
36	Handed flyer in café	I don't work, so any time	
37	Email	Yes	Yes
38	Poster	Yes	Yes
39	Leaflet	N/A	N/A
40	Word of mouth	No	No
41	Email	evening	N/A
42	Email	Yes all day was helpful	Yes but shame there are no cycle racks at the theatre
43	Word of mouth	N/a	N:a
44	Dragon	Ok [REDACTED]	Should have been in council offices

45	Email	Yes	Yes
46	Email	Yes	Yes
47	Word of mouth	N.a	N.a
48	Leaflet put through the door	Fine [REDACTED]	Perfect [REDACTED]
49	Email	It worked for me and I understand went on later to allow for people coming in after work.	The location was easy for me and accessible.
50	Social media	Yes the timing of the event was suitable	Yes this location was easy for me to get to
51	Leaflet through the door	till 8pm may be better suited for workers	Yes, or Cricket Pavilion, or Salvation Army Hall
52	Our new Raymond Crescent RA	I didn't know anything about it	N/A
53	A card put through my letterbox	It was fine	It was suitable

Response number	Question 11: Would you be interested in attending online/virtual events in the future?	Question 12: Do you have any further feedback about this event?
1	Yes	I am attaching some notes that may be useful in thinking about private/developer contribution to the project of creating reservoirs with theme parks. These could bring a substantial contribution that the councils now lack.
2	Yes	N/A
3	Yes	No
4	Yes	Not at the moment
5	Yes - I prefer face to face events	N/A
6	I prefer face to face	N/A
7	Yes	Thank you
8	No	N/A
9	Yes	Thank you
10	Yes	N/A
11	Yes	N/A
12	Yes	Keep it going also get more politicians involved
13	Yes	N/A
14	Yes	Would have preferred more detail on the feasibility work done so far; likely costs and likelihood of any scheme being built.
15	Yes	Very helpful staff, loved the interactive map
16	N/A	N/A
17	N/A	N/A

18	Possibly depending on rate of progress	N/A
19	Yes	See attached
20	N/A	N/A
21	N/A	N/A
22	Yes	N/A
23	Yes	N/A
24	Maybe	N/A
25	Possibly	N/A
26	Yes	N/A
27	Yes	N/A
28	Yes	Feedback is provided but EA need to address the wider concerns over financing to carry out its statutory duties.
29	Yes	The River Wey along its full length requires correct maintenance and management. Weirs downstream of Guildford are out of action causing river to remain high in town centre (Stoke Hall, Bowers Cockweirs)
30	Yes	N/A
31	Yes	N/A
32		N/A
33	Yes	N/A
34	No	N/A
35	Yes	N/A
36	No	Again, advertise more widely. But I'm glad I saw it - thank you.
37	Yes	No
38	No	No
39	Yes	N/A
40	Yes	N/A
41	yes virtual	N/A
42	Depends on availability and subject	It was a good event
43	Yes	N/A
44	Yes	Yes have I Internet access be able to pull up relevant data
45	Yes	No
46	Yes	No
47	Yes, very	N/A
48	I'd prefer face to face	N/A
49	Yes	No
50	Yes	Yes please destroy on completion of the project
51	Yes please	N/A
52	No	N/A
53	Yes please	Not sure what you want here.