From: Murphy, Laurence < laurence.murphy@sedalcol.com>

Sent: 24 April 2019 16:12

To: Wigglesworth, Simon B

Cc: Fornasero, Enrico

**Subject:** RE: Duly Making Questions - Response

**Attachments:** Section 5 - Supporting Information - Sedalcol EP Variation Issue 2 April 2019.pdf;

Sedalcol UK - Assessment of Fugitive Emission Risks.pdf; Sedalcol UK - Assessment

of Noise Risks.pdf; EAI Report - extension GT03 - 15\_05\_2018.pdf

Simon,

I would imagine the email was discarded due to its size, as the one today was, however I only received the notification for today's email so I must apologise.

As requested please find attached the main documents, we had intended to send over a copy of the Citric Acid Completion Report that covers what has been decommissioned and removed from the Citric Acid structure, however this in itself is a 13MB document. I am not sure how much of this information will be required by yourself and would think we can carry on without this? If you feel you would like a copy then we will happily transfer via dropbox.

We will request our finance team to take care of the payment asap and would expect this to be done no later then tomorrow.

Thanks for your understanding once again!

## Regards,

#### Laurence

From: Wigglesworth, Simon B <simon.wigglesworth@environment-agency.gov.uk>

Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2019 3:46 PM

**To:** Murphy, Laurence <laurence.murphy@sedalcol.com> **Cc:** Fornasero, Enrico <enrico.fornasero@sedalcol.com>

Subject: RE: Duly Making Questions - Response

# Laurence

Thanks for your reply. For some reason I did not see that email.

With regard to additional files – please either send each email < 10 MB or if too many I can ask PSC to liaise a dropbox

The 3.2 assessment has been done at your initial permit and varied in multiple applications. Any deviation would require an impact assessment – eg temperature increase impacts on river. I am hoping this is not the case as this is a significant piece of work

### Based on your final activities list then the costs are as follows:

The charging is based on no changes to main ethanol chemical activity and (assumed) – no changes to effluent treatment activity.

- Combustion Activity 1.1 Scheduled activity added for first time to installation. This requires new application fee as defined in Table 1.10.1 i.e. £19,103
- Removal of Citric Acid Chemical Activity without removal of land minor technical variation of chemical activity – defined in Table 1.4.2 i.e. £4,940
- Minor change to Food and Drink Activity ventilation points and additional particulate emissions /H1 assessment—minor technical variation of Part B activity i.e. £1,050 as defined in Table 1.18.
- Habitat Assessment there are European sites within 5 km of the site and there are potential increases to impacts due to updated combustion facility emissions. This covers habitat assessment to be sent to Natural England. i.e. Table 1.19.2 charge of £779.

Charging scheme see below

• <a href="https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/790217/EP">https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/790217/EP</a> R-charging-scheme-with-schedule-consolidated-2019.pdf

Additional charge to be paid= £25,872- £14,819 = £11,053.

Please ensure charge is paid via PSC Application Form F methods of payment.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/695318/Form-EPF-Application-for-an-environmental-permit-Part\_F1.pdf

Appreciate if you could provide all technical responses and costs now by the <u>end of this week to ensure duly making</u> *If you need help just give me a ring.* 

Best regards

Simon Wigglesworth.

From: Murphy, Laurence [mailto:laurence.murphy@sedalcol.com]

Sent: 24 April 2019 15:26

To: Wigglesworth, Simon B <simon.wigglesworth@environment-agency.gov.uk>

Cc: Fornasero, Enrico < enrico.fornasero@sedalcol.com >

Subject: FW: Duly Making Questions - Response

Hi Simon,

Please find below the email sent previous, I hope it finds you well.

Unfortunately the files are too large to send through to your system, we can make the files available on a file exchange website or simply send multiple emails. Could you let us know your preference?

With regards the charge discrepancy we are not sure as to the applicable charges, could you provide some guidance/figures so we can settle this matter? We have paid the initial figure of £14819 on the 21.01.2019 as guided by Darren Leng.

I have approached the modelling company and will update you in due course.

# Thanks,

### **Laurence Murphy**

Technical Engineer / Health, Safety & Environment



Denison Road, Selby, UK, YO8 8AN Tel: +44 (0)1757 215 000 Mob: +44 (0)7487 528 194 Laurence.Murphy@Sedalcol.com

From: Fornasero, Enrico <enrico.fornasero@sedalcol.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 4, 2019 1:12 PM

**To:** Wigglesworth, Simon B < <a href="mailto:simon.wigglesworth@environment-agency.gov.uk">simon.wigglesworth@environment-agency.gov.uk</a>

**Cc:** Murphy, Laurence < <u>laurence.murphy@sedalcol.com</u>>

Subject: FW: Duly Making Questions - Response

Dear Simon,

In order to provide answers to the duly making questions, please find attached:

- Updated copy of section 5 of our permit variation request containing the answers to the first 6 points of your document (SEDALCOL EPR/KP3030TZ/V008 Duly Making Questions)

- Citric Acid plant demolition report produced by external contractor Vertase (1720SED Citric Plant Completion Report ISSUE1 150518)
- Noise and Fugitive Emissions risk assessment (Assessment of Fugitive Emissions Risks, Assessment of Noise and Risks)
- Noise assessment report forwarded to the Selby Council for the planning application (EAI Report extension GT03 15\_05\_2018)

Please see within this updated permit variation request our assessment with regards adherence to large combustion plant directive / BAT; please indicate if our interpretation of the directive and BAT is correct in this case.

We are waiting on a response with regards updated modelling for habitat assessment as raised in question 7 and will forward this to yourselves post haste.

We do ask one question with regards Table S3.2 W1 flows and emission limit values, current maximum discharge temperature is set at 30°C, how is this determined to begin with?

We hope to have answered all of the questions raised, however please let us know if you require any further information from ourselves.

Kindest regards,

#### **Enrico Fornasero**

Technical Department / Environment



Selby YO8 8AN UK Tel: 00 44 175 7215004 Mob: 00 44 7495 076576

E-mail: enrico.fornasero@sedalcol.com

## **Laurence Murphy**

Technical Engineer / Health, Safety & Environment



Denison Road, Selby, UK, YO8 8AN Tel: +44 (0)1757 215 000 Mob: +44 (0)7487 528 194 Laurence.Murphy@Sedalcol.com

We assure and require compliance with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) EU 2016/679. Contents scanned and protected by updated antivirus.

This message has been scanned and no issues were discovered. Click  $\underline{\text{here}}$  to report this email as spam

Information in this message may be confidential and may be legally privileged. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify the sender immediately, delete it and do not copy it to anyone else.

We have checked this email and its attachments for viruses. But you should still check any attachment before opening it.

We may have to make this message and any reply to it public if asked to under the Freedom of Information Act, Data Protection Act or for litigation. Email messages and attachments sent to or from any Environment Agency address may also be accessed by someone other than the sender or recipient, for business purposes. Click here to report this email as spam

We assure and require compliance with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) EU 2016/679. Contents scanned and protected by updated antivirus.

This message has been scanned and no issues were discovered. Click here to report this email as spam