



APPENDIX B

Air Quality Assessment Results Tables: Ecological Receptors



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. OPERATION PHASE AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT RESULTS TABLES: ECOLOGICAL RECEPTORS	2
1.1. Impacts on Ecological Receptors	2

TABLES

Table 1.1 - Dry deposition velocities	2
Table 1.2 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NO _x	4
Table 1.3 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Daily Mean NO _x	5
Table 1.4 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NH ₃	6
Table 1.5 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean SO ₂	7
Table 1.6 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Nitrogen Deposition Rate	9
Table 1.7 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Acid Deposition Rate	10
Table 1.8 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NO _x (Worst Case Emissions Profile)	13
Table 1.9 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Daily Mean NO _x (Worst Case Emissions Profile)	14
Table 1.10 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NH ₃ (Worst Case Emissions Profile)	15
Table 1.11 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean SO ₂ (Worst Case Emissions Profile)	16
Table 1.12 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Nitrogen Deposition Rate (Worst Case Emissions Profile).....	18
Table 1.13 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Acid Deposition Rate (Worst Case Emissions Profile)	19

1. OPERATION PHASE AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT RESULTS TABLES: ECOLOGICAL RECEPTORS

1.1. IMPACTS ON ECOLOGICAL RECEPTORS

The results of the modelling assessment at each modelled ecological receptor are presented in the below tables for each relevant pollutant and averaging period applicable to the study. The maximum modelled concentration and deposition values are presented, which is based on modelling over all five years of meteorological data (2016-2020). The change in PC and PEC, as a percentage of the relevant critical level / load, is presented for each receptor.

The PC impact in the with Proposed Scheme scenario represents the change in concentration / deposition between the Baseline scenario and Proposed Scheme scenario.

In all results tables presented in this appendix, the following designated site names are shortened to 'Thorne Moor SPA' and Thorne Moor SSSI', respectively:

- a. Thorne & Hatfield Moors SPA
- b. Thorne, Crowle and Goole Moors SSSI.

These values presented represent the change in total acid dry deposition from all relevant pollutants with the proposed implementation of PCC.

The approach taken to modelling deposition follows AQTAG06, using the dry deposition velocities set out in **Table 1.1** below. The relevant habitats within the Thorne Moor (SAC, SSSI) and Lower Derwent Valley (SAC) are short vegetation types and the deposition velocities reflect deposition to these habitats.

Table 1.1 - Dry deposition velocities

Pollutant	Deposition Velocity (mm/s)	Resulting factor to convert $\mu\text{g}(\text{pollutant})/\text{m}^3$ to keq/ha/yr
Nitrogen Dioxide	1.5	0.010
Sulphur Dioxide	12	0.118
Ammonia	20	0.371
Hydrogen Chloride	25	0.216
Amine (Primary)	20	0.140

Amine (Secondary)	20	0.071
Nitrosamine (from Primary)	20	0.170
Nitrosamine (from Secondary)	20	0.107
Nitramine (from Primary)	20	0.140
Nitramine (from Secondary)	20	0.094

Plume depletion has been taken into account explicitly in the ADMS model for non-amine pollutants (except NO₂) and using the approach recommended in CERC guidance for amines. The deposition velocity for amines and degradation products has been set to that for ammonia.

Changes to wet deposition are, as per EA guidance, not considered.

Table 1.1 also contains the factor used to convert from µg/m³ of pollutant to keq/ha/yr. This takes into account the stated deposition velocity, the nitrogen or sulphur content of the pollutant (except HCl) and the formation of acid (i.e. keq per kgN, kgS or kgHCl).

1.1.PCC SCENARIOS

- 1.1.1. Results pertaining to the core model scenarios, including the With Proposed Scheme **Tables 1.2 to 1.7**.

Table 1.2 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NO_x

Receptor	Annual Mean NO _x concentration (µg/m ³)				
	Critical Level	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
River Derwent SAC	30	0.163	0.133	0.5%	0.4%
Thorne Moor SAC/SPA/SSSI	30	0.076	0.064	0.3%	0.2%
Lower Derwent SAC	30	0.166	0.138	0.6%	0.5%
Lower Derwent SPA	30	0.166	0.138	0.6%	0.5%
Skipwith Common SAC	30	0.058	0.049	0.2%	0.2%
Skipwith Common SSSI	30	0.058	0.049	0.2%	0.2%
Humber Estuary SAC	30	0.147	0.125	0.5%	0.4%
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	30	0.147	0.125	0.5%	0.4%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	30	0.166	0.138	0.6%	0.5%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	30	0.046	0.034	0.2%	0.1%
Derwent Ings SSSI	30	0.134	0.114	0.4%	0.4%
Went Ings SSSI	30	0.052	0.042	0.2%	0.1%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	30	0.152	0.124	0.5%	0.4%
Burr Closes SSSI	30	0.062	0.050	0.2%	0.2%
Common Plantation SINC	30	0.017	0.011	0.1%	0.0%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	30	0.040	0.029	0.1%	0.1%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	30	0.076	0.057	0.3%	0.2%
Brockholes SINC	30	0.019	0.013	0.1%	0.0%
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	30	0.009	0.006	0.0%	0.0%
Barmby Pond LWS	30	0.127	0.098	0.4%	0.3%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	30	0.027	0.020	0.1%	0.1%
Hagg Green Lane SINC	30	0.103	0.083	0.3%	0.3%

Receptor	Annual Mean NO _x concentration (µg/m ³)				
	Critical Level	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	30	0.028	0.020	0.1%	0.1%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				1%	

Table 1.3 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Daily Mean NO_x

Receptor	Daily Mean NO _x concentration (µg/m ³)				
	Critical Level	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
River Derwent SAC	75	1.787	1.321	2.4%	1.8%
Thorne Moor SAC/SPA/SSSI	75	0.893	0.699	1.2%	0.9%
Lower Derwent SAC	75	0.893	0.673	1.2%	0.9%
Lower Derwent SPA	75	0.893	0.673	1.2%	0.9%
Skipwith Common SAC	75	0.646	0.434	0.9%	0.6%
Skipwith Common SSSI	75	0.646	0.434	0.9%	0.6%
Humber Estuary SAC	75	1.200	0.872	1.6%	1.2%
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	75	1.200	0.872	1.6%	1.2%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	75	0.893	0.673	1.2%	0.9%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	75	1.912	1.438	2.5%	1.9%
Derwent Ings SSSI	75	0.831	0.670	1.1%	0.9%
Went Ings SSSI	75	0.971	0.651	1.3%	0.9%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	75	0.962	1.274	1.3%	1.7%
Burr Closes SSSI	75	0.761	0.561	1.0%	0.7%
Common Plantation SINC	75	1.038	0.684	1.4%	0.9%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	75	1.184	1.069	1.6%	1.4%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	75	1.621	1.420	2.2%	1.9%

Receptor	Daily Mean NO _x concentration (µg/m ³)				
	Critical Level	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
Brockholes SINC	75	1.623	1.170	2.2%	1.6%
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	75	0.554	0.385	0.7%	0.5%
Barmby Pond LWS	75	1.577	1.151	2.1%	1.5%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	75	1.176	0.767	1.6%	1.0%
Hagg Green Lane SINC	75	1.248	0.832	1.7%	1.1%
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	75	1.630	1.083	2.2%	1.4%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				10%	

Table 1.4 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NH₃

Receptor	Annual Mean NH ₃ concentration (µg/m ³)				
	Critical Level	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
River Derwent SAC	3	0.007	0.006	0.2%	0.2%
Thorne Moor SAC/SPA/SSSI	1	0.003	0.003	0.3%	0.3%
Lower Derwent SAC	3	0.007	0.006	0.2%	0.2%
Lower Derwent SPA	3	0.007	0.006	0.2%	0.2%
Skipwith Common SAC	1	0.002	0.002	0.2%	0.2%
Skipwith Common SSSI	1	0.002	0.002	0.2%	0.2%
Humber Estuary SAC	3	0.004	0.005	0.1%	0.2%
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	3	0.004	0.005	0.1%	0.2%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	3	0.007	0.006	0.2%	0.2%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	3	0.002	0.002	0.1%	0.1%
Derwent Ings SSSI	3	0.005	0.005	0.2%	0.2%
Went Ings SSSI	3	0.002	0.002	0.1%	0.1%

Receptor	Annual Mean NH ₃ concentration (µg/m ³)				
	Critical Level	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	3	0.005	0.005	0.2%	0.2%
Burr Closes SSSI	3	0.003	0.002	0.1%	0.1%
Common Plantation SINC	3	0.001	0.001	0.0%	0.0%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	1	0.002	0.001	0.1%	0.0%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	3	0.003	0.003	0.1%	0.1%
Brockholes SINC	3	0.001	0.001	0.0%	0.0%
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	1	0.000	0.000	0.0%	0.0%
Barmby Pond LWS	1	0.006	0.004	0.2%	0.1%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	3	0.001	0.001	0.0%	0.0%
Hagg Green Lane SINC	3	0.004	0.004	0.1%	0.1%
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	3	0.001	0.001	0.0%	0.0%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				1%	

Table 1.5 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean SO₂

Receptor	Annual Mean SO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³)				
	Critical Level	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
River Derwent SAC	20	0.072	0.021	0.4%	0.1%
Thorne Moor SAC/SPA/SSSI	20	0.033	0.008	0.2%	0.0%
Lower Derwent SAC	20	0.073	0.020	0.4%	0.1%
Lower Derwent SPA	20	0.073	0.020	0.4%	0.1%
Skipwith Common SAC	20	0.025	0.007	0.1%	0.0%
Skipwith Common SSSI	20	0.025	0.007	0.1%	0.0%
Humber Estuary SAC	20	0.069	0.019	0.3%	0.1%

Receptor	Annual Mean SO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³)				
	Critical Level	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	20	0.069	0.019	0.3%	0.1%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	20	0.073	0.020	0.4%	0.1%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	20	0.021	0.006	0.1%	0.0%
Derwent Ings SSSI	20	0.059	0.015	0.3%	0.1%
Went Ings SSSI	20	0.023	0.007	0.1%	0.0%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	20	0.072	0.020	0.4%	0.1%
Burr Closes SSSI	20	0.027	0.008	0.1%	0.0%
Common Plantation SINC	20	0.008	0.002	0.0%	0.0%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	20	0.019	0.005	0.1%	0.0%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	20	0.036	0.011	0.2%	0.1%
Brockholes SINC	20	0.009	0.003	0.0%	0.0%
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	20	0.004	0.001	0.0%	0.0%
Barmby Pond LWS	20	0.058	0.017	0.3%	0.1%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	20	0.013	0.004	0.1%	0.0%
Hagg Green Lane SINC	20	0.047	0.012	0.2%	0.1%
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	20	0.013	0.004	0.1%	0.0%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)					

Table 1.6 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Nitrogen Deposition Rate

Receptor	Annual Nitrogen Deposition Rate (kgN/ha/yr)				
	Critical Load	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
River Derwent SAC	15	0.054	0.044	0.4%	0.3%
Thorne Moor SAC	5	0.025	0.021	0.5%	0.4%
Thorne Moor SPA	10	0.025	0.021	0.2%	0.2%
Thorne Moor SSSI	5	0.025	0.021	0.5%	0.4%
Lower Derwent SAC	20	0.055	0.045	0.3%	0.2%
Lower Derwent SPA	20	0.055	0.045	0.3%	0.2%
Skipwith Common SAC	10	0.019	0.016	0.2%	0.2%
Skipwith Common SSSI	10	0.019	0.016	0.2%	0.2%
Humber Estuary SAC	20	0.034	0.041	0.2%	0.2%
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	20	0.034	0.041	0.2%	0.2%
Breighton Meadows SSSI	20	0.055	0.045	0.3%	0.2%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	10	0.016	0.012	0.2%	0.1%
Derwent Ings SSSI	20	0.043	0.037	0.2%	0.2%
Went Ings SSSI	15	0.017	0.014	0.1%	0.1%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	20	0.039	0.041	0.2%	0.2%
Burr Closes SSSI	20	0.020	0.016	0.1%	0.1%
Common Plantation SINC	10	0.010	0.007	0.1%	0.1%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	10	0.022	0.016	0.2%	0.2%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	10	0.043	0.032	0.4%	0.3%
Brockholes SINC	10	0.007	0.005	0.1%	0.0%

Receptor	Annual Nitrogen Deposition Rate (kgN/ha/yr)				
	Critical Load	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	20	0.003	0.002	0.0%	0.0%
Barmby Pond LWS	10	0.044	0.033	0.4%	0.3%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	10	0.015	0.011	0.2%	0.1%
Hagg Green Lane SINC	10	0.058	0.046	0.6%	0.5%
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	10	0.016	0.011	0.2%	0.1%
Barlow Common LNR	10	0.010	0.007	0.1%	0.1%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				1%	

Table 1.7 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Acid Deposition Rate

Receptor	Annual Acid Deposition Rate (keq/ha/yr)				
	Critical Load	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
Thorne Moor SAC	0.462	0.006	0.003	1.3%	0.6%
Thorne Moor SSSI	0.462	0.006	0.003	1.3%	0.6%
Lower Derwent SAC	0.643	0.013	0.006	2.1%	1.0%
Skipwith Common SAC	0.802	0.005	0.002	0.6%	0.3%
Skipwith Common SSSI	0.802	0.005	0.002	0.6%	0.3%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	0.643	0.013	0.006	2.1%	1.0%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	1.998	0.004	0.002	0.2%	0.1%
Derwent Ings SSSI	0.643	0.010	0.005	1.6%	0.8%
Went Ings SSSI	2.008	0.004	0.002	0.2%	0.1%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	0.633	0.010	0.006	1.6%	0.9%

Receptor	Annual Acid Deposition Rate (keq/ha/yr)				
	Critical Load	Max PC Impact – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact – With Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – No Mitigation	Max PC Impact as % of CL – With Mitigation
Burr Closes SSSI	1.248	0.005	0.002	0.4%	0.2%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				1%	

1.2. SENSITIVITY TEST: WORST CASE EMISSIONS PROFILE

Results pertaining to the worst-case emissions profile sensitivity test are presented in **Tables 1.8 to 1.13**, based on emissions from the PCC.

For all pollutant concentrations and deposition rates, it is evident that the modelled maximum PC impacts attributed to the with PCC scheme are lower at all receptors relative to the previous core model scenarios. This is a function of all four biomass units in the Baseline scenario switching from 'mid-merit' operation (full load for 4,000 hours per year) to continuous operation (full load for 8,760 hours per year), resulting in more pollutants being emitted and thus more pronounced changes (increases) in concentrations / deposition rates relative to the With PCC scenario. In the With PCC scenario, operation changes from 'mid-merit' to continuous full load at the two non-BECCS biomass units only (BECCS units already assumed to operate at continuous full load in core modelling scenario), meaning the changes (increases and decreases) in concentrations / deposition rates are relatively small compared to the Baseline.

As a consequence, the maximum modelled impacts of the PCC Scheme decrease at all receptors under the worst-case emissions profile scenario relative to the core modelling. Whilst some modelled maximum PEC concentrations do increase under worst case emissions in both the Baseline and With PCC scenarios, there are no material changes relative to the core modelling equivalents, meaning that the respective assessment significance criteria are not exceeded.

The results confirm that the assessment of likely significant effects reported in previous submission are not affected when considering the worst-case emissions profiles in both the Baseline and With PCC scenarios. Given that the modelled maximum impacts are lower under a worst-case emissions profile, there was no need to repeat the test in relation to cumulative impacts, as the core modelling results for the cumulative scenarios represent the most conservative results in terms of potential impacts.

Table 1.8 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NO_x (Worst Case Emissions Profile)

Receptor	Annual Mean NO _x concentration (µg/m ³)					
	Critical Level	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
River Derwent SAC	30	11.91	0.055	0.2%	12.10	40.3%
Thorne Moor SAC/SPA/SSSI	30	13.21	0.026	0.1%	13.33	44.4%
Lower Derwent SAC	30	9.92	0.058	0.2%	10.11	33.7%
Lower Derwent SPA	30	9.92	0.058	0.2%	10.11	33.7%
Skipwith Common SAC	30	9.76	0.023	0.1%	9.84	32.8%
Skipwith Common SSSI	30	9.76	0.023	0.1%	9.84	32.8%
Humber Estuary SAC	30	46.96	0.056	0.2%	47.14	157.1%
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	30	46.96	0.056	0.2%	47.14	157.1%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	30	9.92	0.058	0.2%	10.11	33.7%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	30	11.35	0.012	0.0%	11.38	37.9%
Derwent Ings SSSI	30	9.80	0.048	0.2%	9.98	33.3%
Went Ings SSSI	30	12.09	0.018	0.1%	12.15	40.5%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	30	12.89	0.055	0.2%	13.04	43.5%
Burr Closes SSSI	30	10.53	0.020	0.1%	10.59	35.3%
Common Plantation SINC	30	11.43	0.004	0.0%	11.44	38.1%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	30	10.76	0.009	0.0%	10.78	35.9%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	30	10.48	0.021	0.1%	10.53	35.1%
Brockholes SINC	30	11.22	0.004	0.0%	11.23	37.4%
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	30	10.83	0.002	0.0%	10.83	36.1%
Barmby Pond LWS	30	9.96	0.036	0.1%	10.06	33.5%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	30	11.62	0.007	0.0%	11.64	38.8%
Hagg Green Lane SINC	30	10.93	0.034	0.1%	11.05	36.8%

Receptor	Annual Mean NO _x concentration (µg/m ³)					
	Critical Level	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	30	11.43	0.007	0.0%	11.45	38.2%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				1%		70%

Table 1.9 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Daily Mean NO_x (Worst Case Emissions Profile)

Receptor	Daily Mean NO _x concentration (µg/m ³)					
	Critical Level	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
River Derwent SAC	75	23.82	1.787	2.4%	27.96	37.3%
Thorne Moor SAC/SPA/SSSI	75	26.42	0.893	1.2%	29.27	39.0%
Lower Derwent SAC	75	19.84	0.893	1.2%	23.75	31.7%
Lower Derwent SPA	75	19.84	0.893	1.2%	23.75	31.7%
Skipwith Common SAC	75	19.52	0.646	0.9%	21.71	28.9%
Skipwith Common SSSI	75	19.52	0.646	0.9%	21.71	28.9%
Humber Estuary SAC	75	93.92	1.200	1.6%	96.93	129.2%
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	75	93.92	1.200	1.6%	96.93	129.2%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	75	19.84	0.893	1.2%	23.75	31.7%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	75	22.70	1.912	2.5%	25.59	34.1%
Derwent Ings SSSI	75	19.60	0.831	1.1%	23.37	31.2%
Went Ings SSSI	75	24.18	0.971	1.3%	26.60	35.5%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	75	25.78	0.962	1.3%	28.30	37.7%
Burr Closes SSSI	75	21.06	0.761	1.0%	22.99	30.7%
Common Plantation SINC	75	22.86	1.038	1.4%	24.19	32.3%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	75	21.52	1.184	1.6%	23.07	30.8%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	75	20.96	1.621	2.2%	23.44	31.3%

Receptor	Daily Mean NO _x concentration (µg/m ³)					
	Critical Level	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
Brockholes SINC	75	22.44	1.623	2.2%	24.44	32.6%
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	75	21.66	0.554	0.7%	22.33	29.8%
Barmby Pond LWS	75	19.92	1.577	2.1%	23.29	31.1%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	75	23.24	1.176	1.6%	25.28	33.7%
Hagg Green Lane SINC	75	21.86	1.248	1.7%	25.22	33.6%
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	75	22.86	1.630	2.2%	24.97	33.3%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				10%		

Table 1.10 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean NH₃ (Worst Case Emissions Profile)

Receptor	Annual Mean NH ₃ concentration (µg/m ³)					
	Critical Level	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
River Derwent SAC	3	4.57	0.002	0.1%	4.58	152.6%
Thorne Moor SAC/SPA/SSSI	1	2.59	0.001	0.1%	2.60	259.6%
Lower Derwent SAC	3	4.57	0.002	0.1%	4.58	152.6%
Lower Derwent SPA	3	4.57	0.002	0.1%	4.58	152.6%
Skipwith Common SAC	1	2.58	0.001	0.1%	2.58	258.4%
Skipwith Common SSSI	1	2.58	0.001	0.1%	2.58	258.4%
Humber Estuary SAC	3	3.58	0.002	0.1%	3.59	119.6%
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	3	3.58	0.002	0.1%	3.59	119.6%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	3	3.08	0.002	0.1%	3.09	103.0%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	3	2.40	0.001	0.0%	2.40	80.1%
Derwent Ings SSSI	3	4.57	0.002	0.1%	4.58	152.6%
Went Ings SSSI	3	2.35	0.001	0.0%	2.35	78.4%

Receptor	Annual Mean NH ₃ concentration (µg/m ³)					
	Critical Level	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	3	2.32	0.002	0.1%	2.33	77.6%
Burr Closes SSSI	3	2.50	0.001	0.0%	2.50	83.4%
Common Plantation SINC	3	2.33	0.000	0.0%	2.33	77.7%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	1	2.28	0.000	0.0%	2.28	76.0%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	3	2.28	0.001	0.0%	2.28	76.1%
Brockholes SINC	3	2.28	0.000	0.0%	2.28	76.0%
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	1	2.33	0.000	0.0%	2.33	77.7%
Barmby Pond LWS	1	2.28	0.001	0.0%	2.29	76.2%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	3	2.33	0.000	0.0%	2.33	77.7%
Hagg Green Lane SINC	3	3.09	0.001	0.0%	3.10	103.2%
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	3	2.33	0.000	0.0%	2.33	77.7%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				1%		70%

Table 1.11 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Mean SO₂ (Worst Case Emissions Profile)

Receptor	Annual Mean SO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³)					
	Critical Level	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
River Derwent SAC	20	3.93	0.021	0.1%	4.03	20.1%
Thorne Moor SAC/SPA/SSSI	20	1.34	0.009	0.0%	1.40	7.0%
Lower Derwent SAC	20	1.70	0.021	0.1%	1.80	9.0%
Lower Derwent SPA	20	1.70	0.021	0.1%	1.80	9.0%
Skipwith Common SAC	20	1.42	0.008	0.0%	1.46	7.3%
Skipwith Common SSSI	20	1.42	0.008	0.0%	1.46	7.3%
Humber Estuary SAC	20	7.49	0.021	0.1%	7.58	37.9%

Receptor	Annual Mean SO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³)					
	Critical Level	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	20	7.49	0.021	0.1%	7.58	37.9%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	20	1.70	0.021	0.1%	1.80	9.0%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	20	1.29	0.005	0.0%	1.31	6.5%
Derwent Ings SSSI	20	1.69	0.016	0.1%	1.78	8.9%
Went Ings SSSI	20	1.31	0.007	0.0%	1.34	6.7%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	20	1.81	0.022	0.1%	1.89	9.4%
Burr Closes SSSI	20	1.23	0.008	0.0%	1.26	6.3%
Common Plantation SINC	20	1.44	0.002	0.0%	1.44	7.2%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	20	1.32	0.004	0.0%	1.33	6.7%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	20	1.32	0.009	0.0%	1.35	6.7%
Brockholes SINC	20	1.32	0.002	0.0%	1.32	6.6%
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	20	1.44	0.001	0.0%	1.44	7.2%
Barmby Pond LWS	20	1.32	0.015	0.1%	1.37	6.9%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	20	1.44	0.003	0.0%	1.45	7.2%
Hagg Green Lane SINC	20	1.43	0.013	0.1%	1.49	7.5%
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	20	1.44	0.003	0.0%	1.45	7.2%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				1%		70%

Table 1.12 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Nitrogen Deposition Rate (Worst Case Emissions Profile)

Receptor	Annual Nitrogen Deposition Rate (kgN/ha/yr)					
	Critical Load	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
River Derwent SAC	15	30.22	0.017	0.1%	30.29	201.9%
Thorne Moor SAC	5	21.31	0.008	0.2%	21.35	427.0%
Thorne Moor SPA	10	21.31	0.008	0.1%	21.35	213.5%
Thorne Moor SSSI	5	21.31	0.008	0.2%	21.35	427.0%
Lower Derwent SAC	20	30.22	0.017	0.1%	30.29	151.5%
Lower Derwent SPA	20	30.22	0.017	0.1%	30.29	151.5%
Skipwith Common SAC	10	21.12	0.007	0.1%	21.14	211.4%
Skipwith Common SSSI	10	21.12	0.007	0.1%	21.14	211.4%
Humber Estuary SAC	20	28.87	0.017	0.1%	28.93	144.7%
Humber Estuary SPA/SSSI	20	28.87	0.017	0.1%	28.93	144.7%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	20	23.51	0.017	0.1%	23.57	117.9%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	10	19.95	0.004	0.0%	19.96	199.6%
Derwent Ings SSSI	20	30.22	0.014	0.1%	30.29	151.4%
Went Ings SSSI	15	19.38	0.005	0.0%	19.40	129.4%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	20	20.43	0.017	0.1%	20.49	102.4%
Burr Closes SSSI	20	20.64	0.006	0.0%	20.66	103.3%
Common Plantation SINC	10	33.74	0.002	0.0%	33.75	337.5%
Disused Railway Embankment SINC	10	33.32	0.005	0.1%	33.33	333.3%
Barmby-on-the-Marsh LWS	10	33.32	0.011	0.1%	33.35	333.5%
Brockholes SINC	10	19.74	0.001	0.0%	19.74	197.4%
Meadow East of Orchard Farm SINC	20	19.88	0.001	0.0%	19.88	99.4%
Barmby Pond LWS	10	19.74	0.012	0.1%	19.78	197.8%
Cobble Croft Wood SINC	10	33.74	0.004	0.0%	33.75	337.5%

Receptor	Annual Nitrogen Deposition Rate (kgN/ha/yr)					
	Critical Load	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
Hagg Green Lane SINC	10	40.74	0.017	0.2%	40.81	408.1%
Sand Pitt Wood & Barffs Close Plantation SINC	10	33.74	0.004	0.0%	33.75	337.5%
Barlow Common LNR	10	33.74	0.002	0.0%	33.75	337.5%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				1%		70%

Table 1.13 - Modelled Maximum Operational Impacts at Ecological Receptors – Annual Acid Deposition Rate (Worst Case Emissions Profile)

Receptor	Annual Acid Deposition Rate (keq/ha/yr)					
	Critical Load	Background	Max PC Impact	Max PC Impact as % of CL	Proposed Scheme Max PEC	Max PEC as % of CL
Thorne Moor SAC	0.462	1.73	0.002	0.4%	1.74	377.2%
Thorne Moor SSSI	0.462	1.73	0.002	0.4%	1.74	377.2%
Lower Derwent SAC	0.643	2.40	0.004	0.6%	2.42	376.6%
Skipwith Common SAC	0.802	1.73	0.002	0.2%	1.73	216.0%
Skipwith Common SSSI	0.802	1.73	0.002	0.2%	1.73	216.0%
Brighton Meadows SSSI	0.643	1.92	0.004	0.6%	1.94	301.9%
Eskamhorn Meadows SSSI	1.998	1.64	0.001	0.0%	1.64	82.1%
Derwent Ings SSSI	0.643	2.40	0.003	0.5%	2.42	376.4%
Went Ings SSSI	2.008	1.59	0.001	0.1%	1.60	79.5%
Barn Hill Meadows SSSI	0.633	1.69	0.004	0.6%	1.70	269.2%
Burr Closes SSSI	1.248	1.68	0.001	0.1%	1.69	135.1%
Env. Agency Screening Criterion (as % of CL)				1%		70%