## Trafford, Kirsty

| From:<br>Sent:<br>To: |   | Kevin Brook<br>02 May 2023 15:33<br>Trafford, Kirsty  |                                 |
|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Subject<br>Attachi    | t:<br>ments:  | RE: We need more information about you of application charge EPR/XP3539XH/V00 Appendix 7 New House Farm Site Condition                  | 3                               |
|                       | g: The sender of this mess<br>t such as attachments or li | sage could not be validated. Please use cau   |                                 |
| Hello                 |   |   |                                 |
| Apolog                | ies for the delay with this.                              |   |                                 |
| provisio              |   | ng the figures from the more recent ammo e, an increase from the original figure of 4 to.   |                                 |
|                       |   | e ammonia report as you've detailed, shoulis proposed for the variation.  | d be correct for the 'existing' |
| ection                | s as they would have beer                                 | e template we currently use. I have attem<br>n for the original application and reference<br>luation pond and removal of the incinerato | d proposed changes at section 4 |
| egards                | 3   |   |                                 |
| Kevin                 |   |   |                                 |
|                       | On 29/03/2023 17:00 BS wrote:                             | T Trafford, Kirsty  |                                 |
|                       | Hi Kevin,   |   |                                 |
|                       | Please find attached a co                                 | py of the site report from the original pern  | nit application.                |
|                       | I think there's been a mis                                | scommunication about the manure storage   | e, sorry about this.            |
|                       | <u>~</u>  | we need clarification for with regards to th<br>This is the original permitted scenario for 4<br>30kg.                                  | _                               |

I've put the details into the table below, using the ammonia modelling report and your previous response. We found differing values for the manure storage, so I've included the total from the ammonia modelling report.

Can you please check and confirm the details in the table below are right? If any corrections are needed, please can you amend the table. This is so we have an accurate baseline for the site.

## Existing scenario:

| Source | Pig type                        | Number of pigs | Housing  | Ventilation |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| EX1    | Pigs >30 kg                     | 1890           | Fully slatted floor (FSF) with vacuum system for frequent slurry removal | Natural     |
| EX2    | Pigs 7-30<br>kg                 | 1240           | FSF (vacuum removal)   | Side fans   |
| EX3    | Pigs 15-30<br>kg<br>Pigs >30 kg | 800            | Solid floor – straw system   | Side fans   |
| EX4    | Sows                            | 330            | Solid floor - straw system   | Natural     |
| EX5    | Sows                            | 39             | FSF with vacuum system for frequent removal                              | Natural     |
| EX6&7  | Sows                            | 58             | FSF with vacuum system for frequent removal                              | Natural     |
| EX8    | Sows                            | 23             | FSF with vacuum system for frequent removal                              | Natural     |
| EX9    | Pigs >30 kg                     | 190            | Solid floor - straw system   | Natural     |
| EX10   | Pigs >30 kg                     | 180            | Solid floor - straw system   | Natural     |

| MAN1  | Manure midden – 500 tonnes                               |
|-------|--|
| MAN2  | Manure midden – 100 tonnes                               |
| TANK1 | Circular slurry store (uncovered) – 380.1 m <sup>2</sup> |

For the proposed scenario for the variation we have 6000 pigs >30 kg (in 3 houses all FSF with vacuum system for frequent removal, high velocity ventilation) and slurry storage (uncovered,  $380.1~\text{m}^2$ ). No manure storage going forwards.

| Please let me know any questions. |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Many thanks,                      |  |
| Kirsty                            |  |