ENVIROARM LIMITED

DORRINGTON QUARRY



CONSTRUCTION QUALITY VALIDATION REPROT SOURCE EVALUATION REPORT GEOLOGICAL BARRIER: PHASE 1A REF:ARM/DQSE/CQA/1.00/2009 REVISION 1.00

Carried out for: H Evason & Co

Prepared by: ENVIROARM LIMITED

597 Walsall Road Great Wyrley Nr Walsall STAFFS WS6 6AE

Office: 01922 412209 Mobile: 07801 980984

DORRINGTON QUARRY

CONSTRUCTION QUALITY VALIDATION REPORT SOURCE EVALUATION REPORT GEOLOGICAL BARRIER: PHASE 1A

CONTENTS

- 1. **INTRODUCTION**
- 2. SOURCE LOCATION SAMPLING
- 3. SOURCE TESTING

4. LABORATORY SOURCE TESTING RESULTS

- 4.1 Fines Content
- 4.2 Atterberg Limits
- 4.3 Natural Moisture Contents
- 4.4 Moulding Properties
- 4.5 Particle Density
- 4.6 Dry Density: Moisture Content Relationship
- 4.7 Shear Strength
- 4.8 Chemical Testing

5. FIELD TESTING

- 5.1 Puddle Tests
- 5.2 Moisture Content
- 6. CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

- A Atterberg Limits, Natural Moisture Contents
- B Compaction Test Curves
- C Shear Box Test Results
- D UKAS Certificate for Structural Soils
- E Photographs of Puddle Tests
- F Soil Contamination Pathfinder Tests
- G Soil Contamination Leachability Tests
- H Table 6/4

DRAWINGS

SOURCE EVALUATION REPORT

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Enviroarm Limited (EL) were commissioned by H Evason & Co to:

- a. Assess results from the potential source of supply of suitable material for use in the engineered geological barrier for the initial engineering works in Phase 1A cell from An engineered source on site which had been previously screened to ensure that all large cobble size and boulder size materials had been removed and that the material had a clay content;
- b. Prepare a source evaluation report based upon the laboratory test results and field observations.

This report provides information on the laboratory results carried out on samples collected from the main stockpile of processed conditioned material in the quarry and from site testing carried out.

Screening of suitable material to be used as a geological barrier has been carried out by Corley Plant Hire Ltd using a Komatsu PC210 hydraulic excavator, a Terex Three Way Split Screen, Volvo A25 dump trucks to stockpile the material clearly separated and stored away from other wastes at the site.

Plate 1: View of separated material for use in the geological barrier materials.



The site lies within the Coalport Formation mudstone and sandstone.

2. SOURCE SAMPLING

The bulk samples have been taken from the stockpile and subjected to soils laboratory testing. The samples were collected in accordance with BS5930:1981 and tested as per BS1377:1990.

Four trial pits were taken from the stock mound. One sample was taken from each trial pit and a composite sample was also taken with an equal amount of material from each trial pit to assess the homogenous nature of the conditioned material to be used for the construction of the geological barrier.

The following testing has been carried out;

4No.	Atterberg Tests and Natural Moisture Content (NMC)
1 No.	Composite Atterberg Limits and NMC
4 No.	Specimen Description as engineering fill BS5930
1 No.	Composite Specimen Description as engineering fill
4 No.	2.5Kg Compaction with assumed Particle density
1 No.	Composite 2.5kg Compaction Test
3 No.	Consolidated Drained Shear Box Tests

Samples were collected and recorded in accordance with BS5930 - Site Investigation and submitted to Structural Soils laboratory in Bristol. The Structural Soils laboratory is UKAS accredited, No 1774. A copy of the certificate is presented at Appendix D. The total volume of clay to be used in the bund and base of the sub cell Phase 1A is approximately 15,000m³.

3. SOURCE TESTING

The samples were submitted to Structural Soils, a UKAS accredited materials testing laboratory, for the following soils testing:

Atterberg Limits and Natural Moisture Content BS1377:1990:3, 4.3, 5 Soil Description BS5930:1981:42.3 2.5 Kg Density Moisture Content Relationship BS1377:1990:3.3 Particle Density Assumed Consolidated Shear Box Test BS1377:1990 4.5

The results are summarised in the appendices and the individual testing is discussed in Section 4.

4. SOURCE TESTING RESULTS

4.1 Fines Content

The results of all laboratory testing carried out on all the bulk samples showed the engineered processed material to consist of sandy gravelly clay, being mostly a clay material. Over 38% of material is less than 425*u*m, indicating a high fines content.

4.2 Atterberg Limits

The clay is described of as having medium plasticity with a plastic limit of 20%-22%, and with an adjusted value based on liquidity index of 19% to 21%.

Linear Shrinkage= PI=2.13 x LS 19/2.13=8.92

Table 1 presented below provides an analytical summary of all laboratory test results for the conditioning pad Atterberg limit tests. Laboratory results are contained as Appendix A.

Table 1. Atterberg Limits

ATTERBERG LABORATORY TEST RESULTS						
TEST TYPE RANGE OF RESULTS AVERAGE CLASSIFICATION						
Atterberg Limits						
a. Plastic Limit	20-22	21.5				
b. Liquid Limit	37-40	38.2	CM			
c. Plasticity Index	15-19	17.0				

4.3 Natural Moisture Content

Table 2 presented below provides an analytical summary of the natural moisture content tests. The average natural moisture content from the site tests was 9.7-17 %. The natural moisture content composite result was reported at 9.75% for the AL's and 16% for the 2.5 proctor samples. The overall moisture content from inside the trial pits was reported at 13%-17% and is therefore wet of optimum, reported at 12%.

The average moisture content was 15.25% from the individual trial pits and is described as a wet cohesive material.

The results are presented at Appendix A.

Table 2: Moisture Content

	LABORATORY TEST RESULTS					
TEST TYPE	RANGE OF RESULTS %	AVERAGE RESULT %	CLASSIFICATION			
Moisture Content	13.0- 17.0	15.25	+Optimum			
Composite	9.7-16.0	12.875	+Optimum			

4.4 Particle Density

Four compaction curves have been prepared and the 0%, 5% and 10% best fit lines correlate to a particle density of 2.68.The results are presented at Appendix B.

4.5 Density Moisture Content Relationship

The 2.5kg rammer method has been used to reflect the type of compaction plant to be employed for the works, and placement of the clay at or beyond the optimum moisture content. The results have showed a consistent plot with previously obtained compaction curves. The optimum moisture content range is 12%. The natural moisture content of the material is therefore above optimum. Laboratory graphs are contained as Appendix B.

SAMPLE POINT	OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT %	NATURAL MOISTIRUE CONTENT %	MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY Mg/m ³
TP1	12	16	1.89
TP2	12	16	1.89
TP3	12	14	1.93
TP4	12	15	1.90
Composite	12.00	16	1.93

Table 5: Optimum Moisture and Density Results

4.6 Shear Box Tests

The material has an effective friction angle in excess of 32°, with an average value of 32.8°, and an effective cohesion of 8-9. This demonstrates that the material is cohesive and will bind together when compacted.

Shear box laboratory results are presented at Appendix C.

4.7 Chemical Testing

A series of chemical tests have been carried out on the processed materials to ensure that they will not impact on groundwater quality.

Soil samples were collected from each of the four trial pits and a 25kg composite sample was collected, labelled and sealed. Samples from each of the trial pits were submitted to a UKAS accredited laboratory (Severn Trent Laboratories) for pathfinder analysis suite, and PAH assessment to assess the level of contamination.

The following determinands were analysed:Arsenic as As, Boron as B, Cadmium as Cd, ,Chromium as Cr, , Copper as Cu, Lead as Pb, Mercury as Hg, Nickel as Ni, Selenium as Se, Zinc as Zn, Cyanide as CN, Phenol total, Sulphate water sol as SO_4 ,Sulphide, Toluene Extractable Matter, pH, TPH total, PAH total and phenol

The laboratory sheets are presented at Appendix C.

Table 6 below, sets out the highest concentrations of contaminants found and are compared to the upper limits set in the former ICRCL report and the latest Soil Guideline Values where available for residential dwellings.

Determinant	Highest Value on site mg/Kg	ICRCL	SGV
	•···• •···• •··· • •·· • •·· •	mg/Kg	mg/Kg
Arsenic	7.2	40	20
Cadmium	<0.5	3	1-8
Chromium	38	25	130
Lead	17	500	450
Mercury	<0.25	1	8
Selenium	<0.3	3	35
Copper	23	130	
Nickel	39	70	50
Zinc	66	300	
Cyanide	<2.5	25	
TPH	<50	100	100
PAH's	2.0	50	40
Phenol	<0.75		

Table 6: Soil contamination values for geological barrier

Dorrington Quarry Landfill Construction Quality Assurance Validation Report Geological Barrier Phase 1A Ref: ARM/DQSE/CQA/1.00/2008 Revision 1.00 The results showed that all the results are below the Soil Guideline Values set by DEFRA and acceptable for use in inert landfill sites for restoration and for domestic residential gardens. The results are presented at Appendix F.

Two of the trial pits were subjected to leachability testing to assess for potential leachate production from the geological barrier. The results are presented at Appendix G.

The highest results are compared to acceptable liability levels set out in Table 7.

Determinant	Acceptable leachability value based on DWQS(<i>u</i> g/l)	Highest reported value
Arsenic	50	3.3
Cadmium	5	<0.1
Chromium	250	<30
Lead	250	<0.5
Mercury	1	<0.3
Copper	100	<7.0
Nickel	200	<0.5
Zinc	500	<18
Cyanide	100	<0.05
PAH's	40	<0.35
Phenol	2(mg/l)	0.74

Table 7: Soil leachability values for geological barrier

The results are all below the Dinking Water Quality Standard and placement of the geological barrier above the natural unsaturated zone will therefore have no impact on groundwater quality.

5. FIELD TESTING

5.1 Puddle Tests

Puddle tests were carried out on the stockpile during a further visit to the site to demonstrate that the engineered fill was at a suitable moisture content to place the material. The material was rolled in to a ball and pinched.

Photographs of the engineering puddle properties are presented at Appendix E.

5.2 **Moisture Content**

> Some simple corroborative moisture content tests were carried out on the material from the stockpile to assess the moisture content. The moisture content from three additional trial pits was reported at 16%, 175 and 19%, further demonstrating the material to be wet cohesive fill.



Plate 2: Ashworth Speedy Moisture Gauge test on site at 19% moisture



5.3 **Physical Observations**

The footprint of the site has been excavated to allow for the bund to be keyed in.

The material has been processed by way of screening and is separated from other materials. No leachate or liquid was observed running out of the engineered material to be used as a geological barrier.

6. **CONCLUSIONS**

The material that has been processed on site by way of screening is acceptable for use as engineered fill for the dividing separation bund and for the geological barrier.

Testing has demonstrated a consistent material type with suitable fines, shear strength and is a wet cohesive fill.

The material is not contaminated and can be placed in accordance with the Highways Agency Specification for Highways Works Table 6/4, presented at Appendix G for reference.

The material complies with the acceptance criteria in Annex 1 of the Environmental Permitting Regulations: Inert Waste Guidance Standards and Measures for the Deposit of Inert Waste on Land.

Additional testing during the placement of the fill will be physical thickness measurements of each lift and photographs, permeability tests as per Annex 2 and shear strength tests using a hand shear vane to demonstrate a shear strength greater than 40kPa.

The initial tests would indicate the material is suitable for use as a engineered geological barrier at the site.

for ENVIROARM LIMITED

A.R. Morris

Director

28/08/2009

Date

REFERENCES

Geological and Ordnance Survey Maps

Geological Survey of Great Britain: (solid)	Sheet 152: Shrewsbury 1:50,000
Geological Survey of Great Britain: (drift)	Sheet 152: Shrewsbury 1:50,000
Ordnance Survey:	Sheet 126: Shrewsbury 1:50,000 Landranger

Publications and Reports

BGS: Regional Geology: Central England 1987

APPENDIX A:

Atterberg Limits and Natural Moisture Contents





STRUCTURAL_SOILS_GINT_LIBRARY.GLBIL - ALINE STANDARD | 723486_DORRINGTON_QUARRY.GPJ - v8_02 | 24/08/09 - 15:49.

APPENDIX B: Compaction Test Curves







STRUCTURAL_SOILS_GINT_LIBRARY.GLBIL - COMPACTIONS | 723466_DORRINGTON_QUARRY.GPJ - v8_02 | 24/08/09 - 10:21



STRUCTURAL_SOILS_GINT_LIBRARY.GLBIL - COMPACTIONS | 723466_DORRINGTON_QUARRY.GPJ - v8_02 | 24/08/09 - 10:08.



APPENDIX C: Shear Box Test Results

In accordance with clause 4.5 of BS1377:Part 7:1990

Trial Pit : TP1

Sample Ref: 1-4 Sample Type: Depth (m): 0.50

Sample Condition: Recompacted

Width x Length (mm): 60 x 60 Sample Height (mm): 20.0

Particle Density (Assumed): 2.65

B

Description: Brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY

BS3 4AG

	SPECIMEN NUMBER	1	2	3
PROPERTIES	Initial Moisture Content (%)	22	22	22
	Initial Bulk Density (Mg/m ³)	1.93	1.95	1.94
	Initial Dry Density (Mg/m ³)	1.59	1.60	1.60
	Initial Voids Ratio	0.6698	0.6574	0.6599
CONSOLIDATION	Normal Pressure (kPa)	25	50	100
	Initial Height (mm)	19.356	19.352	19.328
	Consolidated Height (mm)	18.878	18.470	18.229
SHEAR	Rate of Horizontal Displacement (mm/min)	0.0078	0.0070	0.0079
	Horizontal Displacement at Peak Shear Stress (mm)	2.8	2.9	6.7
	Peak Shear Stress (kPa)	26	41	77
PEAK STRENGTH	Effective Cohesion (C') 8 (kPa)	Effective Angle o	f Friction ((34.5 (deg





STRUCTURAL_SOILS_GINT_LIBRARY/GLBIL - SBOX - AUTO - CONSOL | 723466_DORRINGTON_QUARRY/GPJ - v8_02 | 24/08/09 - 05:52.



STRUCTURAL_SOILS_GINT_LIBRARY.GLBIL - SBOX - AUTO - HEIGHT CHANGE V STRAIN | 723466_DORRINGTON_QUARRY.GPJ - v8_02 | 24/08/09 - 05:53.



STRUCTURAL_SOILS_GINT_LIBRARY.GLBIL - SBOX - AUTO - SHEAR STRESS VS STRAIN | 723466_DORRINGTON_QUARRY.GPJ - v6_02 | 24/08/09 - 05:55.

In accordance with clause 4.5 of BS1377:Part 7:1990

Trial Pit : TP2

Width x Length (mm): 60 x 60

Sample Ref: 1-4 Sample Type:

Depth (m): 1.00

Description: Brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY

Sample Height (mm): 20.0 I

B

Particle Density (Assumed): 2.65 Sample Condition: Recompacted

SPECIMEN NUMBER 1 2 3 PROPERTIES Initial Moisture Content (%) 22 22 22 Initial Bulk Density (Mg/m^3) 1.98 1.98 1.99 Initial Dry Density (Mg/m^3) 1.62 1.62 1.63 Initial Voids Ratio 0.6370 0.6351 0.6297 Normal Pressure CONSOLIDATION (kPa) 25 50 100 Initial Height (mm) 19.116 19.096 19.088 Consolidated Height (mm) 18.551 18.159 17.809 SHEAR Rate of Horizontal Displacement (mm/min) 0.0053 0.0056 0.0077 Horizontal Displacement at Peak Shear Stress (mm) 2.8 2.8 3.3 Peak Shear Stress (kPa) 24 40 71 PEAK STRENGTH Effective Cohesion (C') (kPa) Effective Angle of Friction (4) 8 32 (deg)



STRUCTURAL_SOILS_GINT_LIBRARY.GLBIL - SBOX - AUTO - TEST RESULTS | 723466_DORRINGTON_QUARRY.GPJ - v8_02 | 24/08/09 - 06:22.

In accordance with clause 4.5 of BS1377:Part 7:1990

Trial Pit : TP2

Width x Length (mm): 60 x 60

Sample Ref: 1-4 Sample Type:

Depth (m): 1.00

Description: Brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY

Sample Height (mm): 20.0 I

B

Particle Density (Assumed): 2.65 Sample Condition: Recompacted

SPECIMEN NUMBER 1 2 3 PROPERTIES Initial Moisture Content (%) 22 22 22 Initial Bulk Density (Mg/m^3) 1.98 1.98 1.99 Initial Dry Density (Mg/m^3) 1.62 1.62 1.63 Initial Voids Ratio 0.6370 0.6351 0.6297 Normal Pressure CONSOLIDATION (kPa) 25 50 100 Initial Height (mm) 19.116 19.096 19.088 Consolidated Height (mm) 18.551 18.159 17.809 SHEAR Rate of Horizontal Displacement (mm/min) 0.0053 0.0056 0.0077 Horizontal Displacement at Peak Shear Stress (mm) 2.8 2.8 3.3 Peak Shear Stress (kPa) 24 40 71 PEAK STRENGTH Effective Cohesion (C') (kPa) Effective Angle of Friction (4) 8 32 (deg)



STRUCTURAL_SOILS_GINT_LIBRARY.GLBIL - SBOX - AUTO - TEST RESULTS | 723466_DORRINGTON_QUARRY.GPJ - v8_02 | 24/08/09 - 06:22.







In accordance with clause 4.5 of BS1377:Part 7:1990

Trial Pit : TP1-4

Sample Ref: 5 Sample Type: AMAL Width x Length (mm): 60 x 60

Depth (m): 0.50 + 1.00

Description: Brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY

Sample Height (mm): 20.0

Particle Density (Assumed): 2.65

Sample Condition: Recompacted











APPENDIX D:

UKAS Laboratory Certificate

Schedule of Accreditation

issued by

United Kingdom Accreditation Service

21 - 47 High Street, Feltham, Middlesex, TW13 4UN, UK



Testing performed by the Organisation at the locations specified below

Locations covered by the organisation and their relevant activities

Laboratory locations:

Location details		Activity	Location code
Address The Old School Stillhouse Lane Bedminster Bristol BS3 4EB	Local contact Mr D J Trowbridge	Soils: Mechanical Tests; Physical Tests	Bristol
Address The Potteries Pottery Street Castleford West Yorkshire WF10 1NJ	Local contact Mr M Athorne	Soils: Mechanical Tests; Physical Tests	Castleford



Accredited to

ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Schedule of Accreditation issued by United Kingdom Accreditation Service

21 - 47 High Street, Feltham, Middlesex, TW13 4UN, UK

Structural Soils Ltd

Issue No: 010 Issue date: 30 June 2008

Testing performed by the Organisation at the locations specified

DETAIL OF ACCREDITATION

Materials/Products tested	Type of test/Properties measured/Range of measurement	Standard specifications/ Equipment/Techniques used	Location Code
SOILS for civil engineering purposes	Moisture content - oven drying method	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol Castleford
	Liquid limit - cone penetrometer	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol Castleford
	Liquid limit - cone penetrometer - one point	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol Castleford
	Plastic limit	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol Castleford
	Plasticity index and liquidity index	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol
	Plasticity index	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol Castleford
	Density - linear measurement	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol
	Particle density - gas jar	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol
	Particle size distribution - wet sieving	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol Castleford
	Particle size distribution - dry sieving	BS 1377-2:1990	Bristol Castleford
	Dry density/moisture content relationship (2.5 kg rammer)	BS 1377-4:1990	Bristol Castleford
	Dry density/moisture content relationship (4.5 kg rammer)	BS 1377-4:1990	Bristol Castleford
	California Bearing Ratio (CBR) (loads from 0.4 to 28kN)	BS 1377-4:1990	Bristol
	Moisture condition value (MCV)	BS 1377-4:1990	Bristol
	MCV - natural moisture content	BS 1377-4:1990	Bristol



Schedule of Accreditation issued by United Kingdom Accreditation Service 21 - 47 High Street, Feltham, Middlesex, TW13 4UN, UK

Structural Soils Ltd

Accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Issue No: 010 Issue date: 30 June 2008

Testing performed by the Organisation at the locations specified

Materials/Products tested	Type of test/Properties measured/Range of measurement	Standard specifications/ Equipment/Techniques used	Location Code
SOILS for civil engineering purposes (cont'd)	MCV/moisture content relation	BS 1377-4:1990	Bristol
	Undrained shear strength in triaxial compression without measurement of pore pressure (definitive method) <i>(loads from 0.4 to 28 kN)</i>	BS 1377-7: 1990	Bristol
	Undrained shear strength in triaxial compression with multistage loading and without measurement of pore pressure <i>(loads from 0.4 to 28 kN)</i>	BS 1377-7: 1990	Bristol
	END		

APPENDIX E:

Photographs of puddle tests

APPENDIX F:

Soil Contamination Pathfinder Tests



Mr Morris Enviroarm Ltd 597 Walsall Road Great Wyrley Staffs WS6 6AE

GI ÁCE * * • 0Á2009

Test Report: COV/595628/2009

Dear Mr Morris

Analysis of your sample(s) submitted on 03 A^**^{\bullet} c2009 is now complete and we have pleasure in enclosing the appropriate test report(s).

An invoice for the analysis carried out will be sent under separate cover.

Should you have any queries regarding this report(s) or any part of our service, please contact Customer Services on +44 (0)24 7642 1213 who will be happy to discuss your requirements.

If you would like to arrange any further analysis, please contact Customer Services. To arrange container delivery or sample collection, please call the Couriers Department directly on 024 7685 6562.

Thank you for using STL and we look forward to receiving your next samples.

Yours Sincerely,

Signed:

Name: G. Smith

Title: Contam Land Production Manager



Tel: +44 (0)24 7642 1213 Fax: +44 (0)24 7685 6575 www.stl-ltd.com



Registered in England & Wales Registration No. 2148934 Registered Office: 2297 Coventry Road, Birmingham B26



UKAS

1314

0897 1229

1510





10269 Certificate

Andy Morris iroarm Ltd Walsall Road at Wyrley fs 6 6AE	y	1314 0897 1229 1510	Date	T R E N T of Issue: 24 August 2009	51.
		Report Number: COV/	/595628/2009	Issue 1	
Number of Samples included in this report Number of Test Results included in this report	4 76	///////////////////////////////////////	WWWWSite Name: Job Received: Analysis Commenced: Order No:	Dorrington Quarry Liner 03 August 2009 05 August 2009 ARM/DQ/1/2009	
Signed:		Name: G. Smi Title: Conta i	ith m Land Production Manager	Date: 24 August 2009	

â

STL was not responsible for sampling unless otherwise stated. Sampling is not covered by our UKAS accreditation.

Information on the methods of analysis and performance characteristics are available on request.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.

Domowt Cumpmon

Tests marked 'Not UKAS Accredited' in this Report/Certificate are not included in the UKAS Accreditation Schedule for our laboratory.

(c) Severn Trent Laboratories Limited 2009. All rights reserved. We, Severn Trent Laboratories Limited, are the owner of all copyright in this report. You must not copy, reproduce, amend or adapt this report, its contents or any format in which it is delivered without our prior written agreement. If you copy, reproduce, amend, or adapt this report in any way without our agreement you will be liable for any damage or loss to us. In the event of a dispute the copy of the report held by us shall be the reference copy.

Severn Trent Laboratories Ltd.

STL Business Centre, Torrington Avenue, Coventry, CV4 9GU Tel:+44 (0)24 7642 1213 Fax:+44 (0)24 7685 6575

SEVER N OFFICE

Certificate of Analysis





Report Number:COV/595628/2009Issue1Site Name:Dorrington Quarry Liner Assessment

						Soil				
						11123869	11123870	11123871	11123872	
				4	.,	TP1	TP2	TP3	TP4	
Group	Determinand	Unit	Me Accre	ethoc	i/ tion					
Metals	Arsenic as As, dry weight	mg/kg	30/30C	Y	Mid	6.7	6.4	6.2	7.2	
	Boron as B, hot water sol dw	mg/kg	6	Y	Mid	1.2	1.2	1.4	0.94	
	Cadmium as Cd, dry weight	mg/kg	30	Y	Mid	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
	Hexavalent Chromium as DW	mg/kg	30B	Ν	Mid	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
	Chromium as Cr, dry weight	mg/kg	30	Y	Mid	38	37	29	31	
	Copper as Cu, dry weight	mg/kg	30	Y	Mid	24	22	23	20	
	Lead as Pb, dry weight	mg/kg	30	Y	Mid	17	12	14	14	
	Mercury as Hg, dry weight	mg/kg	30C	Y	Mid	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	
	Nickel as Ni, dry weight	mg/kg	30	Y	Mid	39	37	33	32	
	Selenium as Se, dry weight	mg/kg	30C	Y	Mid	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	
	Zinc as Zn, dry weight	mg/kg	30	Y	Mid	66	63	62	58	
Inorganics	Cyanide, Total	mg/kg	14	Y	Mid	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
	Monohydric Phenols, Total Dist.	mg/kg	40A	Y	Mid	<0.75	<0.75	<0.75	<0.75	
	Sulphate, Total as SO3	%	45	Y	Mid	0.030	0.023	<0.020	<0.020	
	Sulphide	mg/kg	47	Y	Mid	<7.5	<7.5	<7.5	<7.5	
	рН	pH units	39	Y	Mid	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.8	
	Sulphur, Elemental	mg/kg	51	Y	Mid	<100	<100	<100	<100	
TPH	TPH >C6 - C40, Total	mg/kg	317	Y	Mid	<50	<50	<50	<50	
PAH	PAH, Total of 16 EPA	mg/kg	307	Y	Mid	2.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	

Signed:	Name:	G. Smith	Date:	24 August 2009
- grider (AACL) .	Title:	Contam Land Production Manager		

Accreditation Codes: Y = UKAS Accredited, N = Not UKAS Accredited, M = MCERTS, S = Sub-contracted.

Analysed at: Bri = STL Bridgend, Cov = STL Coventry, Mid = STL Midlands, Rea = STL Reading, Run = STL Runcorn. For Microbiological determinands 0 or ND=Not Detected, For Legionella ND=Not Detected in volume of sample filtered. Relating to Legionella volume analysed 1g is approximately equivalent to 1ml. I/S=Insufficient sample



ANALYST COMMENTS FOR REPORT COV/595628/2009 Issue 1

Date of Issue: 24 August 2009

Sample No		Analyst Comments
11123869		
11123870		
11123871		
11123872		
		Name: G. Smith Date: 24 August 2009
	Signed:	Title: Contam Land Production Manager



DETERMINAND COMMENTS FOR REPORT COV/595628/2009

Date of Issue:24 August 2009

Sample No	Description		Determinand		Comments					
		Signed:	CAROL .	Name: Title:	G. Smith Contam Land Production Manag		ction Manage	Date: 24 August 2009		
							_			

APPENDIX F:

Soil Contamination Pathfinder Tests



Mr Morris Enviroarm Ltd 597 Walsall Road Great Wyrley WS6 6AE Staffordshire

24 August 2009

Test Report: COV/615803/2009

Dear Mr Morris

Analysis of your sample(s) submitted on 03 August 2009 is now complete and we have pleasure in enclosing the appropriate test report(s).

An invoice for the analysis carried out will be sent under separate cover.

Should you have any queries regarding this report(s) or any part of our service, please contact Customer Services on +44 (0)24 7642 1213 who will be happy to discuss your requirements.

If you would like to arrange any further analysis, please contact Customer Services. To arrange container delivery or sample collection, please call the Couriers Department directly on 024 7685 6562.

Thank you for using STL and we look forward to receiving your next samples.

Yours Sincerely,

Signed: CAcoley

Name: G. Coiley

Title: Contam Land Production Manager



Tel: +44 (0)24 7642 1213 Fax: +44 (0)24 7685 6575 www.stl-ltd.com



Registered in England & Wales Registration No. 2148934 Registered Office: 2297 Coventry Road, Birmingham B26





Certificate No. FS6743

Report Summary Mr Andy Morris Enviroarm Ltd 597 Walsall Road Great Wyrley Staffordshire WS6 6AE	y	UKAS TESTING 1314 0897 1229 1510		Date	SEVERN TRENT	ST
	Report Nu	mber:	COV/61	5803/2009	Issue 1	
Number of Samples included in this report Number of Test Results included in this report	2 34			ÁSite Name: Job Received: Analysis Commenced: Order No:	Dorrington Quarry 03 August 2009 04 August 2009 ARM/DQ/2/2009	
Signed: CAColley		Name: Title:	G. Coiley Contam La	and Production Manager	Date: 24 August 2009	

STL was not responsible for sampling unless otherwise stated. Sampling is not covered by our UKAS accreditation.

Information on the methods of analysis and performance characteristics are available on request.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.

Tests marked 'Not UKAS Accredited' in this Report/Certificate are not included in the UKAS Accreditation Schedule for our laboratory.

(c) Severn Trent Laboratories Limited 2009. All rights reserved. We, Severn Trent Laboratories Limited, are the owner of all copyright in this report. You must not copy, reproduce, amend or adapt this report, its contents or any format in which it is delivered without our prior written agreement. If you copy, reproduce, amend, or adapt this report in any way without our agreement you will be liable for any damage or loss to us. In the event of a dispute the copy of the report held by us shall be the reference copy.

Severn Trent Laboratories Ltd.

Certificate of Analysis





				Lead	chate		
						11258209	11258210
			Mad	ام م ما	,	TP 1	TP2
	D () , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Accreo	noa ditat	/ ion		
Group	Determinand	Unit					
Sample Preparation	NRA Leachate		NRA Leachate	Ν	MID	Y	Y
Metals	Arsenic, Soluble	ug/l	56	Y	MID	2.8	3.3
	Boron, Soluble	mg/l	56	Y	MID	<0.020	<0.020
	Cadmium, Soluble	ug/l	56	Y	MID	<0.10	<0.10
	Chromium, Soluble	ug/l	56	Y	MID	<30	<30
	Copper, Soluble	ug/l	56	Y	MID	<7.0	<7.0
	Lead, Soluble	ug/l	56	Y	MID	<0.50	<0.50
	Mercury, Soluble	ug/l	56	Y	MID	<0.30	<0.30
	Nickel, Soluble	ug/l	56	Y	MID	<0.50	<0.50
	Selenium, Soluble	ug/l	56	Y	MID	0.66	0.52
	Zinc, Soluble	ug/l	56	Y	MID	<18	<18
Inorganics	Cyanide, Total	mg/l	14c	Ν	MID	<0.050	<0.050
	Sulphate as SO3	g/l	60	Y	MID	<0.010	<0.010
	Sulphide as S	ug/l	38A	Y	MID	<10	<10
	рH	pH units	31	Y	MID	6.3	7.1
Phenols	Phenols, Total	ug/l	338	Ν	MID	<0.50	0.74
РАН	PAH, Total	ug/l	331	Y	MID	<0.35	<0.35

Signed: CAColley

Name: G. Coiley

Date: 24 August 2009

Title: Contam Land Production Manager

Accreditation Codes: Y = UKAS Accredited, N = Not UKAS Accredited, M = MCERTS, S = Sub-contracted.

Analysed at: Bri = STL Bridgend, Cov = STL Coventry, Mid = STL Midlands, Rea = STL Reading, Run = STL Runcorn. For Microbiological determinands 0 or ND=Not Detected, For Legionella ND=Not Detected in volume of sample filtered. Relating to Legionella volume analysed 1g is approximately equivalent to 1ml. I/S=Insufficient sample





ANALYST COMMENTS FOR REPORT COV/615803/2009 Issue 1

Date of Issue: 24 August 2009

Sample No				Analyst Comments		
11258209						
11258210						
		(Arailou)	Name:	G. Coiley	Date:	24 August 2009
	Signed:	G LEUG	Title:	Contam Land Produc	ction Manager	



DETERMINAND COMMENTS FOR REPORT COV/615803/2009

Date of Issue24 August 2009

Description			Determinand	Comments			
ſ		(Proile 1)	Name:	G. Coiley	Date:	24 August 2009	7
	Signed:	Charley	Title:	Contam Land Produc	ction Manager		
	Description	Signed:	Signed: CACoiley	Description Determinand Name: Signed: Signed: Title:	Description Determinand Comments Signed: Acordery Name: G. Coiley Title: Contam Land Product	Description Determinand Comments Signed: Contam Land Production Manager Date:	Description Determinand Comments Signed: Acoulary Name: G. Coiley Date: 24 August 2009 Title: Contam Land Production Manager

APPENDIX G:

Soil Contamination Leachability Tests

TABLE 6/4: Method Compaction for Earthworks Materials: plant and Methods (Method 1 to Method 6)(This Table is to be read in conjunction with sub-Clause 612.10)

Type of Compaction	Ref	Category	Met	hod 1	Meth	od 2	Meth	od 3	Method 4		Method 5		Method 6	
	110.		D	N#	D	N#	D	N#	D	N	D N	N for D = 110 mm	N for D = 150 mm	N for D = 250 mm
Vibratory roller	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mass per metre width of a vibratory roll: over 270 kg up to 450 kg over 450 kg up to 700 kg over 700 kg up to 1300 kg over 1300 kg up to 1800 kg over 1800 kg up to 2300 kg over 2300 kg up to 2900 kg over 2900 kg up to 3600 kg over 3600 kg up to 4300 kg over 4300 kg up to 5000 kg over 5000 kg	unsuit: 100 125 150 175 200 225 250 275	able able 12 8 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	75 75 125 150 150 175 200 225 250 275	16 12 10 8 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	150 150 200 225 250 275 300 300 300	16 12 6 10* 12* 10* 8* 8* 6* 4*	unsuitable unsuitable 125 175 unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable	10 4	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 400 5 500 5 600 5 700 5 800 5	unsuitable unsuitable 16 6 4 3 3 2 2 2 2	unsuitable unsuitable 16 6 5 5 4 4 3	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 12 11 10 8 7 6
Vibrating plate compactor	1 2 3 4 5 6	Mass per m ² of base plate: over 880 kg up to 1100 kg over 1100 kg up to 1200 kg over 1200 kg up to 1400 kg over 1400 kg up to 1800 kg over 1800 kg up to 2100 kg over 2100 kg	unsuita unsuita unsuita 100 150 200	able able able 6 6 6	unsuita 75 75 125 150 200	able 10 6 5 5 5	75 100 150 150 200 250	6 6 4 4 4	unsuitable 75 150 unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable	10 8	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 8 5 3	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 8 6	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 12
Vibro-tamper	1 2 3 4	Mass: over 50 kg up to 65 kg over 65 kg up to 75 kg over 75 kg up to 100 kg over 100 kg	100 125 150 225	3 3 3 3	100 125 150 200	3 3 3 3	150 200 225 225	3 3 3 3	125 150 175 250	3 3 3 3	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable	4 3 2 2	8 6 4 4	unsuitable 12 10 10
Power rammer	1 2	Mass: 100 kg up to 500 kg over 500 kg	150 275	4 8	150 275	6 12	unsuita unsuita	ible ible	200 400	4 4	unsuitable unsuitable	5 5	8 8	unsuitable 14
Dropping-weight compactor	1 2	Mass of rammer over 500 kg weight drop: over 1 m up to 2 m over 2 m	600 600	4 2	600 600	8 8	450 unsuita	8 Ible	unsuitable unsuitable		unsuitable unsuitable	unsuitable unsuitable	unsuitable unsuitable	unsuitable unsuitable

65

TABLE 6/4: Method Compaction for Earthworks Materials: Plant and Methods (Method 7)(This Table is to be read in conjunction with sub-Clause 612.10)

Type of Compaction Plant	Ref	Category	Method 7	
	No.		N for D = 150 mm	N for D = 250 mm
Smooth wheeled roller (or vibratory roller operating without vibration)	1 2 3	Mass per metre width of roll: over 2100 kg up to 2700 kg over 2700 kg up to 5400 kg over 5400 kg	unsuitable unsuitable 12	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable
Grid roller	1 2 3	Mass per metre width of roll: over 2700 kg up to 5400 kg over 5400 kg up to 8000 kg over 8000 kg	unsuitable 16 8	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable
Deadweight tamping roller	1 2	Mass per metre width of roll: over 4000 kg up to 6000 kg over 6000 kg	4 3	8 6
Pneumatic-tyred roller	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mass per wheel: over 1000 kg up to 1500 kg over 1500 kg up to 2000 kg over 2000 kg up to 2500 kg over 2500 kg up to 4000 kg over 4000 kg up to 6000 kg over 6000 kg up to 8000 kg over 8000 kg up to 12000 kg over 12000 kg	unsuitable 12 6 5 4 unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 16 8 4 4
Vibratory tamping roller	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mass per metre width of vibrating roll: over 700 kg up to 1300 kg over 1300 kg up to 1800 kg over 1800 kg up to 2300 kg over 2300 kg up to 2900 kg over 2900 kg up to 3600 kg over 3600 kg up to 4300 kg over 4300 kg up to 5000 kg over 5000 kg	unsuitable unsuitable 16 12 10 8 7 6	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 16 14 12
Vibratory roller	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mass per metre width of vibrating roll: over 270 kg up to 450 kg over 450 kg up to 700 kg over 700 kg up to 1300 kg over 1300 kg up to 1800 kg over 1800 kg up to 2300 kg over 2300 kg up to 2900 kg over 2900 kg up to 3600 kg over 3600 kg up to 4300 kg over 4300 kg up to 5000 kg over 5000 kg	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 12 10 10 8 8 8 6	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 12
Vibratory plate compactor	1 2 3 4 5 6	Mass per m ² of base plate: over 880 kg up to 1100 kg over 1100 kg up to 1200 kg over 1200 kg up to 1400 kg over 1400 kg up to 1800 kg over 1800 kg up to 2100 kg over 2100 kg	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 10 8 6	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable
Vibro-tamper	1 2 3 4	Mass: over 50 kg up to 65 kg over 65 kg up to 75 kg over 75 kg up to 100 kg over 100 kg	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable 8	unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable unsuitable
Power rammer	1 2	Mass: 100 kg up to 500 kg over 500 kg	8 6	unsuitable 10
Dropping weight compactor	1 2	Mass of rammer over 500 kg height drop: over 1 m up to 2 m over 2 m	unsuitable unsuitable	unsuitable unsuitable