

## Wight, Jonathan Robert

---

**Subject:** FW: FW: PLEASE RESPOND Re: EPR/XP3101MD/A001 The Piggery

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 11 January 2023 09:34  
**To:** Topping, Liz [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: FW: PLEASE RESPOND Re: EPR/XP3101MD/A001 The Piggery

**Warning: The sender of this message could not be validated. Please use caution when opening any message content such as attachments or links**

Hello

Following a further discussion with the ammonia modeller and despite the limited information available, at this point we have decided to go ahead with the original proposal for 3,900 production pigs and so the original report placed on the AQMAU queue is correct and will I hope be sufficient for you to now duly make the application.

At this stage as our original report is not to be revised, I hope you will not need anything further from us. But to at least partially address question 2 below, I have received further information from the local council and AS Modelling & Data Ltd. The AW part of Loscar Common LWS is treated as AW with lichens & bryophytes with Critical Level 1. Arable parts with hedgerows/headlands is treated as Critical level 3 and/or Critical Load 10. The majority of Loscar Common is open arable land with no Critical level or Critical Load. To proceed further with this, if the EA have a reference to the Critical Level/Load for arable land, could you provide it? Without that information, revising the report at this stage would be asking us to misrepresent the situation which I'm sure is not your intention.

I hope you now have sufficient from us to duly make the application.

many thanks

regards

Kevin  
Yorkshire Farmers  
07932 364078

On 10/01/2023 10:46 GMT Topping, Liz [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi Kevin,

Thank you for your email dated 06/01/2023 however you have not answered all my questions fully in my e-mail dated 28/12/2022.

1. You need to supply the new modelling report (and modelling files) and permit application and supporting documents for the new pig numbers you would like. In your e-mail dated 22/12/2022 you said that you wish to change the pig numbers from 3,900 to 4,500. In my

e-mail to you dated 16/12/2022 I had already stated the Environment Agency can't change the number to see what's allowable, that would be up to you to show an acceptable number through the (correct) modelling, this was followed up by my e-mail dated 28/12/2022 requesting this revised information. The isopleths in figure 7a of your modelling report will change if you increase the number of pigs at this site which may show an increased impact at Loscar Common LWS. If this is the case we may need you to provide a revised proposal including additional abatement. This revised information for the new pig numbers has still not been supplied. I've currently put the incorrect modelling report (for 3900 pigs) on our AQMAU queue to be audited and I need to get this removed (my error, as it shouldn't have gone on until I have duly made the application, with the (revised) proposal).

2. I requested further evidence on the use of a CLe of  $3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , I was after the information you had obtained from the relevant bodies in relation to the checklist you have been asked to send out in my e-mail dated 16/12/2022. You we're also advised in an e-mail dated 14/06/2022 to seek further information with regards to LWS/AW's with the pre-application response. Thank you for the statements you've provided on this matter but we we're after evidence from the relevant bodies to back these statements up. I've also stated that a critical level of N/A is not appropriate, so please update any revised modelling to remove the N/A critical level and include a relevant CLe and also a relevant CLo for nitrogen deposition.
3. You have said any reference to point sources can be ignored as they were only included to cover/investigate the option of changing the buildings from naturally ventilated to mechanically ventilated and it is now known this is not economically viable for the business. Please make sure your revised modelling takes this into account.

I requested that you supplied this information by **13/01/2023** (in my e-mail dated 28/12/2022), if the full information is not received by this deadline, then we will return the application as not duly made. As you have not supplied this information as yet, do you wish to withdraw this application while you get this information together, and resubmit your finalised application in the future once complete?

Please note that you do have the option to proceed with the 3900 pigs in your original application, although we would still like you to provide evidence as requested in question 2 above.

Thanks

Liz