

Budds Farm Sludge Treatment Centre Environmental Permit Application

Environmental Risk Assessment 790101_ERA_BUD

January 2025

Mott MacDonald 4th Floor Mountbatten House Grosvenor Square Southampton SO15 2JU United Kingdom

T +44 (0)23 8062 8800 mottmac.com

Budds Farm Sludge Treatment Centre Environmental Permit Application

Environmental Risk Assessment 790101_ERA_BUD

January 2025

Mott MacDonald Limited. Registered in England and Wales no. 1243967. Registered office: Mott MacDonald House, 8-10 Sydenham Road, Croydon CR0 2EE, United Kingdom

790101_ERA_BUD

Issue and Revision Record

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Description
A	05/01/2022	Olivia Ellson	Shannon Stone	Anita Manns	First Draft
В	15/02/2022	Natalia Cunningham	Shannon Stone	Anita Manns	Second Draft
С	22/03/2022	Natalia Cunningham	Shannon Stone	Anita Manns	Final
D	February 2024	Shannon Stone	Anita Manns	Anita Manns	Resubmission for client review
E	February 2024	Amelia Luk	Shannon Stone	Anita Manns	EA resubmission
F	January 2025	Anita Manns	Claire Cowdrey	Anita Manns	Updated to include NDM letter in Dec 2024

Document reference: 790101_ERA_BUD January 2025

Information class: Standard

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the abovecaptioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

Contents

1	Intro	duction		1
	1.1	Backgro	ound and scope	1
	1.2	Assump	ptions and limitations	1
2	Site	setting		2
	2.1	Locatio	n	2
	2.2	Geology	y	2
	2.3	Hydrog	eology	2
	2.4	Hydrolo	gy	3
	2.5	Protecte	ed areas	3
	2.6	Other n	otable features	4
3	Envi	ronment	tal risks	5
	3.1	Method	ology	5
	3.2	Risk as	sessment	5
		3.2.1	Introduction	5
		3.2.2	Point source and fugitive emissions to air	6
		3.2.3	Point source and fugitive emissions to water and land	8
		3.2.4	Odour	10
		3.2.5	Particulate matter, litter, mud and debris	11
		3.2.6	Pests	11
		3.2.7	Human health and environment safety	11
		3.2.8	Natural habitats and ecology	12
A.	Envi	ronment	tal Constraints Maps	15
B.	Envi	ronment	tal Risk Assessment Tables	19

Tables

5
5
5
7
13

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and scope

This document has been prepared to support the application for the substantial variation of a bespoke waste operation Environmental Permit to a bespoke Waste Installation Environmental Permit reference EPR/AP3392HG and to consolidate a Specified Generator Environmental Permit reference EPR/ZP3235XJ (hereafter referred to as "the Permit"), for the Budds Farm Wastewater Treatment Works (WTW) and Sludge Treatment Centre (STC) ('the Site') on behalf of Southern Water Services Limited ('Southern Water' or 'the Operator'). The Site currently operates under registered S1, D5, S2 and U6 exemptions.

As part of the application for an Environmental Permit, operators must assess the risk to the environment and potential harm to human health from the activities they propose to undertake. This document provides the environmental risk assessment (ERA) considered relevant to the Site in accordance with the Environment Agency's Risk assessments for your environmental permit.¹

1.2 Assumptions and limitations

The assessment of effects has been based on information sourced from relevant and applicable legislation, guidance, and websites. It is assumed that all guidance documents produced by the Environment Agency are up to date and correct at the time of writing.

¹ Environment Agency (2023) Risk assessment for your environmental permit. Available online at <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/risk-assessments-for-your-environmental-permit</u>

2 Site setting

2.1 Location

Site address: Southmoor Lane, Havant, Hampshire, PO9 1JW

National grid reference: SU 70722 05539

A plan outlining the boundary of the scheme is provided in 790101_MSD_SiteLayoutPlan_BUD January 2025.

2.2 Geology

The southern areas of the Site are indicated to be underlain by ground, as shown through onsite boreholes SU70NW236, SU70NW237, and SU70NW238. Made ground is described as soft to firm grey to brown silty Clay with angular chalk, flint gravel and brick fragments, encountered between 1.25 – 2.80m bgl up to 2.80m thickness.

The eastern half of the site is underlain by superficial deposits comprising Head Gravel: clayey Gravel, and the western half of the site is underlain by Alluvium (clay, silt, sand, and peat).

On-site BGS Boreholes (British Geological Survey, 2020) indicate the presence of Head Deposits: clayey Gravel across the site area, encountered between 2.43 – 7.50m bgl, encountered up to 4.70m thickness. The majority of encountered Head Deposits are described as sandy chalky Gravel with numerous chalk and flint fragments.

Alluvium in also encountered across the site area, encountered between 0.20 - 3.00m bgl to a maximum thickness of 2.80m and described as "stiff to hard light brown mottled Clay". Thicker deposits are noted local to Brockhampton Stream but are generally consistent across the site area.

The bedrock geology comprises strata of the Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation is thought to be 35m thick, defined by the BGS Lexicon as "Composed of hard to very hard nodular chalks and hardgrounds (which resist scratching by finger-nail) with interbedded soft to medium hard chalks (some grainy) and marls; some griotte chalks".

On-site BGS Boreholes (SU70NW349, SU70NW358, SU70NW358 and SU70NW237) indicate that the bedrock geology is encountered at 3.9 - 8.1m bgl underlying the site, with the depth to base not proven in any borehole.

2.3 Hydrogeology

The superficial deposits of both the Head Deposits: sandy Gravel, and Alluvium, were designated as a Secondary A aquifer, defined by the Environment Agency as 'permeable layers capable of supporting water supplies at a local rather than strategic scale, and in some cases forming an important source of base flow to rivers.' (Environment Agency, 2021).

The bedrock geology of the Lewes Nodular Chalk formation at the site is designated as a Principal aquifer, defined by the Environment Agency as 'layers of rock or drift deposits that have high intergranular and/or fracture permeability - meaning they usually provide a high level of water storage' (Environment Agency, 2021).

The site is not located within 250m of a Source Protection Zone (SPZ).

The nearest groundwater abstraction to the Site is located approximately 641m northwest and is operated by Portsmouth Water Ltd permitting the use of water for public water supply.

Two discharges to groundwater are known to occur within 250m of the site, each are recorded for the same licence over different periods. The licence is recorded 73m northwest of the site, registered to Scottish & Southern Energy Plc. The discharge was trade effluent discharge – site drainage, received to groundwater via soakaway.

2.4 Hydrology

The site is bounded to the southwest by the Broad Lake, which is in hydraulic continuity with the English Channel, approximately 6km south of the site area. The northwest of the site is bounded by Brockhampton Stream.

Ponds are noted in the southern areas of the site, assumed former settling ponds associated with the sewage works.

There are 12 recorded discharge consents for the site area, all recorded to Southern Water Services Ltd, the earliest recorded date for the licence was 2002, and several have no revocation date. The discharge for each of the consents was final/treated effluent, and the receiving water for each of the discharge consents was the Solent/Langstone Harbour.

There are a further 44 discharge consents within 250m of the site area, of which a further 24 of these recorded discharge consents were recorded for Southern Water Services Ltd.

There are no surface water abstraction points within 1km of the Site.

2.5 Protected areas

The European designated habitat sites located within 10km of the Site include:

- Solent Maritime, Special Area of Conservation (SAC) is adjacent to the Site
- Chichester and Langstone Harbours, Special Protection Area (SPA) and Ramsar is adjacent to the Site
- Solent and Isle of Wight Lagoons (SAC) is located 2.5km from Site
- Solet and Dorset Coast (SPA) is located 3.7km from the Site
- Portsmouth Harbour (SPA) and Ramsar is located 5.2km from the Site

The national statutory designated sites located within 2km of the Site include:

- Langstone Harbour (SSSI) is adjacent to the Site
- Southmoor, a Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust Reserve is adjacent to the Site
- Chichester Harbour, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) is located 748m from the Site
- Farlington Marshes, a Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust Reserve is located 990m from Site
- Chichester Harbour, Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) is located 1km from the Site
- Hayling Billy (LNR) is located 1.2km from the Site
- West Hayling (LNR) is located 1.2km from the Site
- Farlington Marshes, Local Nature Reserve (LNR) are located 1.4km from the Site
- An unnamed ancient woodland is located 1.7km from the Site
- Warblington Harbour (SSSI) located 1.9km from the Site

The priority habitats located within 2km of the Site include:

- Deciduous woodland located on the Site
- Coastal and floodplain grazing marsh is adjacent to the Site
- Mudflats is adjacent to the Site

- Undefined priority habitat present location 55m from the Site
- Good quality semi-improved grassland located 767m from the Site
- Coastal saltmarsh located 883m from the Site
- Lowland meadows located 960m from the Site
- Reedbeds located 1.1km from the Site
- Saline lagoons located 1.5km from the Site
- Coastal vegetated shingle located 1.6km from the Site
- Lowland calcareous grassland located 1.8km from the Site
- Traditional orchard pastures located 1.9km from the Site

Further discussion on impacts to natural habitats and ecology is provided in section 3.2.9 and Appendix B.

2.6 Other notable features

As shown in Figure A 4 in Appendix A, the Site is located in a densely populated area where sensitive receptors are found within 250m of a potential emission source, in some cases downwind of the prevailing wind direction. The receptor closest to a potential emission source is an industrial facility, which is located approximately 40m northeast of the Southern Water own Tankered Waste (TW) reception area. The closest residential receptors to the Site are located on Brookside Road, 180m to the northeast of the site.

The Site also lies within a Nitrate Vulnerable Zone (NVZ), identified as Chichester, Langstone and Portsmouth Harbours Eutrophic NVZ.

3 Environmental risks

3.1 Methodology

The ERA has been undertaken by identifying hazards and source-pathway receptors and assigning a probability of exposure and a severity of consequence. These are assigned as described in Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 and are based on the generic risk assessments used for standard rules "SR2012 No11 and No12", "SR2009 No4" and "SR2008 No19", applicable to anaerobic digestion operations including use of the resultant biogas.

The probability and severity scores are then combined within a matrix to give an overall magnitude of the risk. This matrix is shown in Table 3.3 and is intended to illustrate the general approach to scoring.

Risks are categorised as either low, medium or high; this ranges from being a nuisance in some instances to potential health risks in others.

Table 3.1: Severity Index

Severity of harm	Severity Index
Impact to people or designated receptor	High
Impact to non-designated receptor	Medium
All other impacts	Low

Table 3.2: Probability Index

Likelihood of harm occurring	Probability Index
Harm is near certain or very likely to occur	High
Harm is likely to occur	Medium
Harm is unlikely	Low

Table 3.3: Magnitude of risk

Magnitude of risk	Probability index					
Severity index	Low	Medium	High			
Low	Low	Low	Medium			
Medium	Low	Medium	High			
High	Medium	High	High			

3.2 Risk assessment

3.2.1 Introduction

This section of the report identifies any potentially sensitive receptors within the vicinity of the Site and assesses the environmental risks with the following categories:

- Point source and fugitive emissions to air;
- Point source and fugitive emissions to water and land;
- Noise and vibration;
- Odour;

- Litter, mud and debris;
- Vermin and insects (pests);
- Human health and environment safety (i.e. visual impacts, site security, flood risk); and
- Natural habitats and ecology.

The methodology used to assess and screen the environmental risk for each category is discussed in turn in the following subsections. The need for further detailed assessments and/or management plans, where applicable, is also elucidated upon.

An assessment of the overall and residual risk is provided in Appendix B. For each hazard there is the identification of the pathway and receptor and the mitigation proposed in order to reduce the residual risk.

3.2.2 **Point source and fugitive emissions to air**

3.2.2.1 Air quality

The CHP units and generators are currently permitted under the permit number EPR/ZP3235XJ, which allows for the operation of one Tranche B Specified Generator aggregated to <50MWth at a specified location.

The permit allows Southern Water to operate the following at Budds Farm WTW and STC:

- One biogas fuelled CHP engine. This engine has a rated thermal input of 5.04 MWth and was brought fully into operation in April 2008 (Tranche A).
- One biogas fuelled CHP engine with a rated thermal input of 2.59MWth. It was brought fully into operation in March 2017 (Tranche B).
- One diesel back-up generator, which has a rated thermal input of 4.6 MWth, and operates for no more than 80 hours a year (Tranche A).
- One diesel back-up generator, which has a rated thermal input of 4.6 MWth, and operates in for less than 50 hours a year (for testing, an excluded generator).

Point sources to air were assessed 2019 as part of the application for EPR/ZP325XJ (document reference ED11464108 _R1Issue Number 1), and in accordance with the Environment Agency's guidance on air quality modelling at the time of the permit was granted². A worst-case approach was assessed to ensure that any air quality impacts are more likely to be over-estimated than under-estimated. The conclusions that the Site does not pose significant risk of exceedances of relevant Air Quality Objectives for the protection of human health and Critical Levels and Critical Loads for ecological habitats remain relevant as combustion activities are not being changed on-site as a result of permitting the AD plant and associated processes. The boilers were not included in the Air Dispersion Modelling (ADM), however, the boilers are for emergency use only and, therefore, it is not considered necessary to further assess as the 2019 conclusion remains unchanged. It is not anticipated that further ADM will be required for this permit application.

However, any upgrading of the CHP and/or flare across the Site in the future will likely trigger the need for ADM.

² Environment Agency (2018). Emissions from specified generators: Guidance on dispersion modelling for oxides of nitrogen assessment from specified generators. Version 1

Table 3.4: Combustion plant details

	CHP 1	CHP 2	Boiler 1, 2, & 3
Make/Model number	CAT G3520	MTU 12V4000L32FB	Strebel Boiler RU3S 11
Date that MCP became operational/ was commission ed	April 2008	May 2017	2002
Thermal input (MWth)	5.04	2.59	2.81MWth each
Stack height (m)	15	8.3	15
Fuel used (biogas, diesel etc)	Biogas	Biogas	Biogas or natural gas
Estimated total hours of operation per year (May 23-May 24)	Unrestricted	Unrestricted	Boiler 1: 1261 Boiler 2: 542 Boiler 3: 332
MCPD and SG Regs status	Tranche A Existing MCP	Tranche B Existing MCP	Existing MCP

The operation of the flare will be prioritised for during emergencies, such as during CHP maintenance or downtime. In any other scenarios the imports of the biogas to the CHP unit will be controlled to reduce the time of operation of the flare where possible. The flare is monitored through a site wide SCADA system and maintenance of the flares is undertaken every 6 months.

The CHP is planned for replacement in AMP8 and will ensure appropriately sized equipment to BAT standards.

The existing flare will be retained at this site. The flare has been tested and the emissions are compliant.

Additional work is required to ensure all BAT requirements are met (e.g. access platforms for testing, the required testing is fully adopted into BAU and related processes, ensure all required signals for data collation and reporting are provided, all specific requirements are met for MCERTs and M1 & M2 guidance).

The detail of this is under review and any identified scope will be completed in AMP8.

The flare use data forms part of wider data collation and reporting (IT) system improvements planned to meet BAT 2c for inventory, BAT 11 energy and has an influence on BATs 15b, 16b and 21c for incident reporting (re. PVRVs and gas system management).

The existing approaches and relevant procedures presented in the Environmental Management System (EMS) and operational procedures are considered to adequately address the emissions that may present a risk, and, therefore, an Emissions Management Plan (EMP) is not considered to be required.

3.2.2.2 Bioaerosols

According to the Environment Agency guidance 'bioaerosol monitoring at regulated facilities (Jan 2018)', a bioaerosol risk assessment is required if a facility is within 250m of a sensitive receptor.

The sensitive receptors in relation to the Site are shown in Appendix A, The Site lies within 250m of sensitive human receptors and, therefore, a bioaerosols risk assessment has been undertaken and is provided with the supporting documents of the permit application (Doc reference 790101_ERA_BioRA_BUD February 2024).

For new permits there is a requirement to monitor in accordance with Technical Guidance Note (TGN) M9 'environmental monitoring of bioaerosols at regulated facilities' if the Site is within 250m of a sensitive receptor. The TGN lists sources of bioaerosols and refers to ambient and point sources of emissions.

The bioaerosols risk assessment concluded that the Site poses an acceptable level of risk of bioaerosol release and the STC activities do not endanger human health or the environment. This is primarily due to the control measures in place at the Site, which are considered to be effective at reducing and containing emissions of bioaerosols, inhibiting the pathway between source and receptor. Subsequently, since the Site is found to be low to medium risk, a Bioaerosol Management Plan is not required.

Best practice methods will be followed during operation of the facility, to prevent the release of bioaerosols. These include methods and principles outlined in the Environment Agency's "Guidance on the evaluation of bioaerosol risk assessments for composting facilities"³ and are described in Appendix B.

3.2.2.3 Abatement of other fugitive emissions to air

Environment Agency best practice methods will be followed, during operation of the facility, to prevent the release of fugitive emissions. These are described in Appendix B.

3.2.3 **Point source and fugitive emissions to water and land**

An assessment of the risks from potential point source and fugitive emissions to water, sewers, land or groundwater is provided in Appendix B.

The Substantial Pollution Incident register in Landmark's Envirocheck report (281659381_1_1) has been used to provide details of pollution incidents within the past five years. According to the report, there have been five category 3 (minor incident) pollution incidents to controlled waters within 250m of the site in the past 5 years. However, the pollution incidents have been confirmed as not substantiated or related to the STC.

3.2.3.1 Emissions to water (other than sewers)

According to the Operators pollution incident register, in the past five years (2019-2023) there have been three category 4 incidents to water (little or no impact), all occurring in 2020. There

³ Drew, G.H., Deacon, L.J., Pankhurst, L., Pollard, S.J.T. and Tyrrel, S.F. (2009). Guidance on the evaluation of bioaerosol risk assessments for composting facilities. Environment Agency

have also been six category 3 incidents (minor incidents) to water. However, all pollution incidents have been confirmed as not substantiated or related to the STC.

There are no groundwater source protection zones (SPZ) or groundwater abstractions within 250m of the Site.

All drainage water including surface or foul water is captured by the drainage network returns to the head of the works for treatment.

There will be no direct discharge of wastewater to controlled waters from the STC.

There are no direct potentially contaminated discharges to groundwaters. Condensate from the flare, CHP and the biogas is captured in condensate pots and is returned to the drainage system, and sent back to the head of works of the WTW. The condensate is clean, uncontaminated and discharges are small in volume.

Accidental releases of materials to the environment are controlled through adequate containment measures and working procedures.

The existing approaches and relevant procedures presented in the EMS and operational procedures are considered to adequately address the emissions that may present a risk, and therefore, an EMP is not considered to be required.

3.2.3.2 Emissions to sewers, effluent, treatment plants or other transfers off-site

There will be no point source emissions or direct discharges to controlled waters or public sewers, as part of the permit operation. Any liquid waste will either be reused or discharged to the drainage system of the adjacent Budds Farm WTW and STC will undergo treatment through the works prior to being discharged under an existing water discharge permit. On-site WTW effluent will meet the requirements of the existing environmental permit for discharges to water. The water used at the Site will be contained in a closed circuit; all wastewater streams will either be recycled within the process or captured and rerouted to the adjacent WTW.

Discharges will be minimal, typically arising from periodic maintenance/cleaning operations. As such, there are no direct potentially contaminated discharges to controlled surface waters and no significant impacts. All drainage (surface water or foul water) will be captured by the on-site drainage system, and returned to the head of the WTW via a return pumping station. A drainage plan the Site is presented in document reference 790101_MSD_DrainagePlan_BUD.

The stormwater drainage of potentially contaminated areas from within the Site boundary will be routed into the sewage treatment process with no discharge outside of the Site. There will therefore be no risk of polluted runoff affecting off-site features due to the creation of a new hardstanding area.

Flows to site are dealt with in two locations. Sewage from the Portsmouth sub-catchment is managed offsite between Henderson Road, Eastney WPS and Fort Cumberland WPS. Sewage from Havant sub-catchment, Penner Road WPS and 'Stock Haying Island WPS' is received to site.

Storm separation occurs upstream of the liquor returns and as such all liquor returns follow the WtW process. Therefore, it is not possible for return liquors to directly discharge into the environment from the installation, without it receiving full treatment in the WtW.

Tankered trade and domestic waste including cess and chemical toilet waste are not received during storm.

3.2.3.3 Emissions to land

There will be no point source emissions to land as part of the activities carried out on-site.

All raw materials are handled and stored within the confines of the buildings on-site, or in intermediate bulk containers (IBCs) in bunded areas, with the exception of biogas which is contained within the gas handling system. Releases of raw materials to land are, therefore, considered to be negligible due to adequate containment of the materials within the suitable storage vessels, the provision of bunding and the present of a contained drainage system.

3.2.3.4 Noise and vibration

There have not been any noise complaints recorded at the Site in the past five years.

Initial screening has been carried out for the Site. Since the Site is not undergoing changes to equipment and vehicle movements prior to application submission, a Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) is not considered to be required in respect of this application. Appropriate mitigation for noise and vibration impacts are provided in Appendix B. The sensitive receptors located within 1km of the Site are shown in Figure A 4 of Appendix A.

Since noise and vibration impacts are considered to be appropriately mitigated in the ERA, a Noise and Vibration Management Plan is not considered to be required.

3.2.4 **Odour**

A review of the nearest human receptors has been undertaken to establish the level of odour risk to the receptors before and after mitigation. Sensitive receptors to odour are users of the adjacent land, which may vary in their sensitivity to odour. A conservative approach is taken to sensitive receptor determination as the Site is located in a densely populated urban area. There are five sensitive human receptors within 500m of the buffer, as shown in figure A4 in Appendix A.

Current odour mitigation measures to prevent and reduce odours from receipt of waste, transfer across the Site, treatment and storage of waste have been assessed and are detailed in Appendix B.

The Budds Farm WTW lies within an urban setting approximately 5 km east of Portsmouth city centre, and 100 m northwest of Langstone Harbour. There are no residential receptors in the immediate vicinity of the site; the nearest residential receptors are located in Langstone, approximately 500 m east of the site.

The Site has received four odour complaints from 2018 to 2023, the latest in 2019.

There are no proposed works to be undertaken on the Site in respect of this permit application, therefore, the activities on-site are not anticipated to increase the off-site impact or result in adverse impact upon nearby sensitive receptors or the amenity of the area surrounding the Site.

The Site has an Odour Management Plan (OMP), reviewed and updated in January 2025, which identifies potential odour emissions from the site operations and procedures to manage, control and minimise odour impacts. It sets out the procedures for engaging with neighbours and how the Operators will manage complaints, and the actions to be taken in the case of pollution events. The OMP also describes the monitoring and maintenance procedures to maintain the control measures.

The OMP was written in accordance with Environment Agency's H4 Odour Management guidance (2011) (document reference 79101_MSD_OdourMP_BUD January 2025). The level of odour risk from the Site is considered to be low, as shown in Appendix B, and the OMP provides sufficient mitigation.

3.2.5 Particulate matter, litter, mud and debris

Appendix B describes the aspects of the Site that generate litter, mud and debris within and outside the Site boundary and assesses their risk to the environment. Current waste management and site cleaning procedures (EMS308) have been assessed in the ERA table in Appendix B to justify whether additional measures could be required. Measures to prevent debris and dust leaving the Site have also been addressed, in addition to the sensitivity of nearby receptors and the effectiveness of existing measures to reduce the escape of dust.

The need for a dust management plan is triggered if the keeping and/or treating of biowaste in the open including the finished material is located:

- In, or within 2km of, an air quality management area for PM10;
- Within 500m of a sensitive receptor such as a home, school, hospital or nursing home, food preparation facility or similar; and
- Within 250m of a sensitive receptor when treating biowaste.

Most of the Site operations are fully enclosed. All sludge treatment processes are covered or enclosed, with the exception of aeration lanes, FET, storm tanks, primary settlement tanks and storage silos which are open lidded but kept indoors, where a masking spray is used to mask smell. The inlet works is partially uncovered.

The sludge cake is stored in a 220t silo and one 50-60t cake bay and is moved about the site by eight 20t tippers. Although the site has been screened as being within 250m of sensitive receptors (see Appendix A), a Dust Management Plan is not considered to be required since operations and waste types use on-site cause minimal dust emissions and appropriate mitigation is in place.

3.2.6 **Pests**

Discussions with the Site operator during a site visit have addressed whether the Site activities are likely to attract pests, what measures are in place to deter pests and how effective these are. These are covered in Appendix B.

Pest control measures are implemented under EMS227. The Site has monthly visits, by a contractor. Bait boxes are also kept on-site in case of infestation, if there is an increase in pest issues then a request is made for additional contractor visits.

Pests are not considered to be an issue since the waste types handled on-site do not attract them, contractors regularly check the Site for pests and appropriate mitigation is in place. Since the residual risk is considered to be low, a Pest Management Plan is not considered to be necessary.

3.2.7 Human health and environment safety

3.2.7.1 Visual impacts

The Site was built in 1953. The Site is on the coast with close proximity to urban areas. Approximately 200m to the north, an industrial area including Havant Household Waste Recycling centre is present, and further north of the A27 is a residential area. There is another residential area to the east of the Site, with a business park in-between approximately 60m east.

Since no changes to the Site will occur prior to submission of this permit application, there will not be any changes in heights and configuration of the placement of equipment which could be noticed by nearby receptors.

Although the Site is surrounded business and industrial parks, the Site predates any other developments and as the Site is located away from residential properties, visual impacts from the Site are therefore considered to be moderate to low.

3.2.7.2 Site security

Activities are managed and operated in accordance with the management system.

Activities are managed and operated in accordance with the management system. Access to Site and waste is restricted by palisade fencing approximately 2.8m high, the entrance to the site secured by an automatic gate. The Site is manned 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. For visitors and unauthorised personnel an automatic gate with an intercom system at the Site entrance is used, a visitor sign-in book is also used. The Site also benefits from a CCTV system comprising of 20+ cameras, infra-red and motion detectors on gas and oil tanks and number plate recognition. Regular inspections of the boundary fencing and buildings are undertaken to ensure that these have not been compromised and continue to prevent easy access to the site. Repairs are undertaken in accordance with the EMS requirements.

Other risks relating to human health and the environment are presented in the ERA in Appendix B.

3.2.7.3 Flood risk

Initial screening was undertaken to determine the flood risk for the Site. The data utilised for this study was published online by the Environment Agency and related to the flood risk from surface water, rivers and the sea.

The site is located within Flood Zone 3 benefitting from flood defences, which mitigate the high probability of flooding (less than 1 in 100 annual probability of river flooding or 1 in 200 annual probability of sea flooding).

Activities are managed and operated in accordance with a management system and management plans, and procedures implemented include (but not limited to) the removal and clean-up of spiled waste material, including sludge, cake etc. and other pollutants (which may also include removal used spill kits and mobile bunds) before these could enter any flood waters if an event was to occur.

There are no known issues with flooding at the Site from the nearby waterbodies, The Site did experience on-site flooding in June 2021 from overwhelmed drains, no historical floods have been recorded.

Since no changed to the Site are planned prior to application submission, and no impacts to flood pathways or sensitive receptors are anticipated, a full flood risk assessment (FRA) (defined here as a detailed assessment involving bespoke hydraulic modelling work) is unlikely to be required. When proposed changed do occur these are understood to be either of a relatively minor nature or are unlikely to significantly alter existing development footprints.

3.2.8 Natural habitats and ecology

Ecological features that are situated within set distances of the Site boundary have been identified and screened. For the following ecological features, the Study Area was defined as the following:

 Statutory designated European sites: Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), candidate Special Areas of conservation (cSAC), Special Protection Areas (SPA), potential Special Protection Areas (pSPA), Sites of Community Importance (SCI) and Ramsar sites within 10km of the Site boundary;

- Statutory designated national sites: Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs), National Nature Reserves (NNRs), Local Nature Reserve (LNRs), Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) within 2km of the Site boundary;
- Non-statutory designated sites: Local Wildlife Sites (LWS), Ancient Woodlands, Country Parks, Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC), Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust Reserves within 2km of the Site boundary;
- Priority habitats: within 2km of the Site boundary. Priority habitats are those listed under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006) and include deciduous woodland, grassland, heathland, reedbed, vegetated shingle, wood-pasture and parkland, marshes, mudflats and fens; and
- Granted European Protected Species (EPS) within 2km of the Site boundary. Licences available on Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC), data from Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust. Accurate to within the nearest 100-200m depending on local council survey data accuracy.

No ecological field surveys have been completed to inform this screening. This screening identifies the likelihood of ecological features being present or further investigation being required.

Initial screening has been carried out for the Site, the high-level results of which are shown in Table 3.4. Where habitat sites are situated within the study area surrounding the Site, the relevant cells are highlighted in red and indicate the number of habitats sites located therein. Cells highlighted in green indicate that relevant habitat sites are not located within the specified study area. For cells highlighted in orange, there is potential for these protected species to be present within the study area.

Table 3.5: Results of initial screening of natural habitats and ecology for Budds Farm STC

Natural habitats and ecology	Budds Farm STC
Statutory designated European sites within 10km of the	Site boundaries
Special Areas of Conservations (SAC)	2
Special Protection Areas (SPA)	3
Sites of Community Importance (SCI)	
Ramsar sites	2
Statutory designated national sites within 2km of the Sit	e boundaries
Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs)	3
Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs)	
National Nature Reserves (NNRs)	
Local Nature Reserves (LNRs)	3
Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONBs)	1
Non-statutory designated sites within 2km of the Site bo	undaries
Local Wildlife Sites (LWS)	
Ancient Woodlands	1
Country Parks	
Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC)	
Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust Reserves	2
Priority habitats within 2km of the Site boundaries	
Priority habitats	12
Protected species	

Common nesting birds, common reptiles, terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, common amphibians: within a 10m buffer of the Site boundaries	
Wintering birds: within a buffer of up to 500m of the Site	
Species of nesting birds: within 200m buffer of the Site boundaries	
Bats: within 50m buffer of the Site boundaries	
Badgers: within a 30m buffer of the Site boundaries	
Hazel dormice: within a 20m buffer of the Site boundaries	
Great crested newts – ponds within 500m buffer of the Site boundaries and terrestrial habitat within 10m	

Two SACs, three SPAs and two Ramsar sites are located within 10km of the site. The two SACs are located approximately 2m and 2.5km from the Site boundary. There SPAs are located approximately 2m, 3.7km ad 5.2km from the site. However, it is considered unlikely that a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) would be required for the Site because Environment Agency best practice methods will be followed, during the operation of the facility to prevent significant effects to designated habitats. These are described in Appendix B.

Any potential impacts to statutory designated European and national habitat sites have been considered in the ERA following review of the following site-specific information:

- Discharges to water, groundwater and emissions to air and land, and from dust, noise and vibration, from all activities on-site, particularly from the anaerobic digestion processes;
- Pollution prevention and mitigation measures, including for emissions and spills; and
- Site plans detailing storage arrangements and drainage plans.

Three SSSIs, three LNRs and one AONB are located within 2km of the site boundary. Langstone Harbour in particular is located approximately 2m from the site boundary. An unnamed Ancient Woodland is located on-site; however, it is considered unlikely that the Site activities will impact these habitat sites. This is covered in Appendix B along with appropriate mitigation.

It is considered very unlikely that Site activities would lead to the disturbance or removal of terrestrial habitats, and. therefore, protected species surveys are not considered to be required for the Site.

The proposal for a varied permit does not involve the removal of vegetation, or structural modification to built structures therefore, a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal is not considered to be required for the Site.

The application is to permit anaerobic digestion activities in order to meet the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED). The site has been operating in its current capacity for a number of years and mitigation measures already in place directly or indirectly prevent or limit harm to existing habitats and species, as shown in Appendix B. No changes to operations are proposed and therefore the current risks posed to these habitats and species are likely to improve upon granting of the permit.

A. Environmental Constraints Maps

Legend N Budds Farm WTW Site Boundary 2km Buffer 10km Buffer Ancient Woodland Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AON Local Nature Reserve (LNR) Local Wildlife Sites (LWS) National Nature Reserve (NNR) National Parks Ramsar Sites Special Area of Conservation (SAC) Special Protection Areas (SPAs) Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) Data included: Statutory Protected Areas and Ancient Woodland from Natural England SNCIs from Local Biodiversity Record Centres WATER wes Road Lewes Hoad Falmer, Brighton East Sussex BN1 9PY Telephone (01273) 60 Fax (01273) 663636 E Project Title IED Permit Application [Page 1 of 1] **Drawing Title** Environmental Information Map Budds Farm Wastewater Treatment Works Scale 1:110,000 wn by Date drawn 05/10/2021 5,000 Sheet size Designed by metres Based upon the Ordnance Survey map by Southern Water Serv imited 1000019426 es Limited by p of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Co

Figure A 1: Statutory designated habitat sites within 10km of the Site





Figure A 3: Designated heritage sites within 1km of the Site



coord no responsibility for the consequences of this document being noise to by any other party, or being under any other purpose, or containing any more ran or comission while evisibility555Mott MacDonald/SWS EMA - Lot 8 - EIA - 001_GIS03_Map_composition/SWS_EMA_Pro/SWS_EMA_Pro/S apx/inception_Report_Designated_Heritage_Assets





B. Environmental Risk Assessment Tables

Emissions to air									
Data and information	n			Judgment				Action (by permitting)	
Receptor	Source	Hazard	Pathway	Probability of exposure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk	Justification for magnitude	Risk management	Residual risk
Local human population	Releases of NO2, SO2, CO, NH3 and other gases	Harm to human health – respiratory irritation and illness	Air transport then inhalation	Low	Medium	Low	There is potential for exposure to anyone living close to the Site or at locations where members of the public might be regularly exposed.	Activities will be managed and operated in accordance with the EMS. This will include regular inspection and maintenance of associated equipment. Point source emissions to air will be monitored in line with the permit requirements and any relevant TGNs including M2 and will meet Monitoring Certification Scheme (MCERTS) standards, where suitable and available. NOx and GHG emissions are controlled by emission limits. Storage of high ammonia bearing material will be covered at all times. Any emissions of substances harmful to human health not controlled by emission limits (excluding odour and noise) shall not cause pollution	Low
Local human population	Release of unburnt biogas	Harm to human health – respiratory irritation and illness.	Local human population	Medium	High	High	There is potential for exposure to anyone living close to the Site or at locations where members of the public might be regularly exposed. The operation of the flare will be prioritised for during emergencies, such as during CHP maintenance or downtime. In any other scenario the imports of the biogas to the CHP unit will be controlled to reduce the time of operation of the flare where possible.	Activities shall be managed and operated in accordance with the EMS and will include measures covering inspection and maintenance of equipment, including engine management systems. Point source emissions to air will be monitored to ensure emission limits for biogas are not exceeded, in accordance with permit requirements and any relevant TGN's including M2. There are pressure release valves on: 2 x per digester (10 total) 2 x gas holder (2 total) 2 x gas holder (2 total) 2 x per PDST (4 total) Operational record including date, time duration of pressure relief events and calculated annual mass release. Linked to SCADA. Gas modelling shows the site experiences annual flaring of 448 hours (5.1% of time). The CHP is planned for replacement in AMP8 and will ensure appropriately sized equipment to BAT standards. The existing flare will be retained at this site. The flare has been tested and the emissions are compliant. Work is required to ensure all BAT requirements are met (e.g. access platforms for testing, the required testing is fully adopted into BAU and related processes, ensure all required signals for data collation and reporting are provided, all specific requirements are met for MCERTs and M1 & M2 guidance). The detail of this is under review and any identified scope will be completed in AMP8. The flare use data forms part of wider data collation and reporting (IT) system improvements planned to meet BAT 2c for inventory, BAT 11 energy and has an influence on BATs 15b, 16b and 21c for incident reporting (re. PVRVs and gas system management).	Medium
Domestic properties, local human population, local	Releases of particulate matter (dust) from cake and storage bays and	Nuisance, loss of amenity.	Air transport then deposition	Medium	Low	Low	Local residents and the surrounding environment are often sensitive to dust.	No wastes consisting solely of dusts are accepted.	Low

Mott MacDonald | Budds Farm Sludge Treatment Centre Environmental Permit Application Environmental Risk Assessment 790101_ERA_BUD

amenity, site staff, visitors and offices. Haul roads, public highways.	Transport off-site						Dust may be produced from dirt deposits from vehicles or other users of the haul road and treatment and storage of cake. There is one small cake bay on-site, the cake is stored within a 220t silo. Waste types on-site are unlikely to cause significant dust emissions, therefore, the magnitude of risk is considered to be low.	General operations at the Site do not create dust materials. Cake is stored in an enclosed silo in the main building on-site, there is one 220 tonne silo used for cake storage on the Site. Cake is moved around the site eight 20t tippers which move 150t per day. Vehicles, equipment and impermeable surfaces are swept and washed down when necessary. Internal roads are swept, as required, to reduce the likelihood of any dust becoming airborne. Vehicles removing cake from site are kept covered, whilst in transport to prevent the escape of waste. The majority of the Site operations are fully enclosed. All sludge treatment processes are covered or enclosed, with the exception of aeration lanes, FET, storm tanks, primary settlement tanks and storage silos which are open lidded but kept indoors, where a masking spray is used to mask smell. The inlet works is partially uncovered. Liquid lime solution is dosed into digested liquid sludge prior to the dewatering stage, it is therefore not dusty by nature.	
Local human population.	Release of microorganisms (bioaerosols)	Harm to human-health – respiratory irritation and illness.	Air transport then inhalation	Low	Medium	Low	The permitted waste is non- hazardous sludge in liquid and cake form. The nature of waste and the 'wet' processes undertaken on-site are not likely to cause a release of bio- aerosols. There are two sensitive receptors within 250m of the Site. Most key sludge and wastewater treatment processes of the Site are enclosed. The uncovered operations aeration lanes, primary settlement tanks, storm tanks are 'wet' processes and so resuspension and probability of exposure of bioaerosols is minimised. Emergency situations such as failure of the flare of CHP/boilers could result in uncontrolled emissions of bioaerosols.	Multiple control measures are in place at the Site which reduce and contain emissions of bioaerosols from the processes on-site by inhibiting the pathway between source and receptor. The majority of the Site operations are fully enclosed. All sludge treatment processes are covered or enclosed, with the exception of aeration lanes, FET, storm tanks, primary settlement tanks and storage silos which are open lidded but kept indoors, where a masking spray is used to mask smell. The inlet works is partially uncovered. Cake is removed from site and is dropped directly from the silo into the tipper trucks. The cake reception is enclosed in a building, with lime treatment systems in operation. Sludge cake is delivered in both sealed and open containers and is unloaded inside the main building. and the cake is transferred straight into the hopper. Any emergency event would be temporary and infrequent due to the extensive monitoring and maintenance programmes undertaken at the Site as well as the emergency procedures and warning systems in place. Combustion of biogas occurs at very high temperatures in the CHP, boilers and flare, which would destroy bioaerosols. Stringent loading and unloading procedures are in place for receipt of sludge and liquor. Appropriate wash facilities are also provided for drivers to clean the vehicles after loading or unloading in sludge storage bays and loading points, hose wash facilities are used at waste receptions, using final effluent. Lorry and tanker drivers are required to hose down any spillage after each loading or unloading and clean contaminated wheels before leaving site. A Bio-aerosol Risk Assessment has been undertaken to assess the risks of bio-aerosol risks are low to medium	Low

Mott MacDonald | Budds Farm Sludge Treatment Centre Environmental Permit Application Environmental Risk Assessment 790101_ERA_BUD

Emissions to water and land

Emissions to wate	imissions to water and land								
Data and information	1			Judgement				Action (by permitting)	
Receptor	Source	Hazard	Pathway	Probability of exposure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk	Justification for magnitude	Risk management	Residual risk
All surface waters close to and downstream of the Site.	Tank failure, spillages of digestate and/or liquids including oil. Damage to drainage system. Spillage of raw materials of sludge/liquor during delivery/storage. Contaminated run off from cake storage e.g. containing suspended solids.	Aquatic or chronic effects to aquatic life, contamination, and water deterioration of water quality.	Direct run-off from the Site across ground surface, via surface water drains, ditches etc. Indirect run-off via the soil layer. Transport through soil/groundwater then extraction/ abstraction at borehole or intake.	Medium	High	High	Potential for leaks from digestions tanks, storage vessels/bays and drainage system which may cause contamination or deterioration of surface water quality. The hardstanding and pavement across the site is in reasonable condition. Parts of the site are bunded including storage areas for raw materials and waste stored on- site, and as hardstanding is in place, all water flows to the drainage network which diverts all water to the head of works. Quantities of liquids stored are generally low. The nearest stream to the Site is Brockhampton Creek bounds the northwest of the Site. The site is bounded to the southwest by the Broad Lake, approximately 6km south of the Site. However, no pollution incidents substantiated or related to the STC have been recorded in the past five years.	The Site drainage plan is documented and all staff are trained in the event of emergency or accident. Impermeable surface and secondary containment, in the form of constructed bunds or portable bunds, is in place around storage areas of all wastes and raw materials surrounding the STC and WTW. There is a waste area where all skips are and bins are stored on a hardstanding area. Additional containment around digesters and other storage vessels is subject to a risk assessment and will be undertaken as part of the BAT requirements and in accordance with the Construction Industry Research and Information Association (CIRIA) standard 736. All transfer of digestate and material takes place under supervision and with flow rate control. All tanks undergo a delegated inspection regime and the process parameters are monitored and understood by Site operatives. Digestion tanks are built to appropriate standard and require appropriate bunding. There is one 220m ³ cake storage silo on-site and one 40t cake bay. Cake is moved around the Site by 20t tippers. Activities are managed and operated in accordance with the EMS. Spill procedures are in place under EMS363 and 364 as well as a pollution prevention procedure EMS360 All spillages are recorded in the site diary including actions taken.	Medium
Abstraction from watercourse downstream of facility (for agricultural or potable use).	Spillage of liquids, contaminated rainwater run-off from waste e.g. containing suspended solids.	Acute effects, closure of abstraction intakes.	Direct run-off from site across ground surface, via surface water drains etc. then abstraction.	Low	Medium	Low	Watercourse must have medium / high flow for abstraction to be permitted, which will dilute contaminated run-off. No groundwater abstractions are present on-site.	 Site Manager ensures the programme of Planned Preventative Maintenance (PPM) is implemented effectively to minimise the probability of equipment malfunction. Control of substances hazardous to health (COSHH) assessment undertaken for all raw materials. Both clean and contaminated surface water is directed to 	Low
Groundwater, land and surface water	Spillages of liquids, contaminated rainwater run-off from wate e.g. containing suspended solids. Sludge/liquid spillages as a result of loss of tank/pipe integrity carelessness during transfer or overfilling	Chronic effects: contamination of groundwater, requiring treatment of water or closure of borehole or closure of abstraction intakes. Acute or chronic effects to aquatic life, contamination and deterioration of land and water quality. Pollution of water or land.	Transport through soil/groundwater then extraction at borehole or intake.	Low	Medium	Low	Potential for leaks from digestion tanks and storage vessels. Site infrastructure and hardstanding is generally in good condition. The hardstanding and pavement across the key areas of the site is in good condition, with no cracks. Quantities of liquids stored are generally low.	 a pumping station which recirculates it back into the system. The surface drainage of potentially contaminated areas from within the Site boundary is routed into the head of the works with no discharge outside of the Site boundary. Regular inspections of the Site drainage systems and other equipment are undertaken, with any repairs and maintenance carried out if necessary. All complaints and other incidents are recorded in the site diary including actions taken. Sampling and testing of condensate is planned to be undertaken to understand the parameters it contains to ensure appropriate treatment is achieved. 	Low
Groundwater, land and surface water	Spillages of sludge/liquids during transfer of imported and indigenous/unknown sludge and liquids from tankers.	Acute or chronic effects: contamination of groundwater, requiring treatment of water or closure of borehole or closure of abstraction intakes. Acute or chronic effects to aquatic life, contamination and	Transport through soil/groundwater then extraction/ abstraction at borehole or intake.	Low	Medium	Low	Potential for spillage during transfer of liquid/sludge from tankers. 7-10 lorries a day of sludge are imported to the Site from other SWS Sites, cake and liquid sludge are imported seven days a week.	Impermeable surface required for storage of all waste. Activities to be managed and operated in accordance with the EMS and management plans and procedures implemented to reduce spills when transferring liquids/sludges from tankers. Established procedures in place for the acceptance of Tankered trade waste (EMS387), waste duty of care	Low

Data and information	on			Judaement				Action (by permitting)	
Noise and vibrati	on								
Groundwater, land and surface water	Flooding of site	If waste is washed off-site it may contaminate natural habitats downstream.	Flood waters	Low	Medium	Low	Permitted waste types are sludges/bio-solids, which may contain pathogens, so any waste washed off-site will add to the volume of the local post- flood clean up and may be hazardous to human health. Area is at risk of flooding, but there are no historical floods are on record., The drains did experience overflows on-site in June 2021.	The drainage network sends water to the head of the works for treatment. There are no direct potentially contaminated discharges to controlled surface waters. Activities to be managed and operated in accordance with a management system and management plans and procedures implemented, including the removal of spilled waste and other pollutants (such as use of spill kits and mobile bunds) before these could enter any flood waters if an event was to occur.	Low
Groundwater, land and surface water	Damage to drainage system	Acute or chronic effects: to aquatic life, contamination and deterioration of land and water quality. Pollution of water or land.	Transport through soil/groundwater then extraction/abstraction at borehole or intake.	Low	Medium	Low	Condition of underground pipework is unknown There is no leak detection of underground pipework on the Site.	Site Manager ensures the programme of PPM is implemented effectively and inspections are carried out frequently to minimise the probability of damage to the drainage system.	Low
		quality. Pollution of water or land.					covered containers and is unloaded inside the main building. Cake is transported around the site via 20t tippers Cake is dropped directly from the silo into the trucks.	(ENISSE), operational waste procedures (ENISSE) and waste rejection (EMS488).Compliance with the waste duty of care requirements to ensure waste accepted meets the permit conditions and relevant legislation.All liquid run off will be captured in the drainage network and returned to head of works.	

Data and information	n			Judgement				Action (by permitting)	
Receptor	Source	Hazard	Pathway	Probability of exposure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk	Justification for magnitude	Risk management	Residual risk
Local human population	Noise and vibration from the following activities: Vehicles delivering/removing wastes and materials. Vehicles arriving/leaving the Site.	Nuisance, loss of amenity, loss of sleep	Noise through the air and vibration through the ground.	Low	Low	Low	Local residents and site staff are often sensitive to noise and vibration. There have not been any noise complaints recorded at the Site in the past five years. Therefore, the magnitude of the risk is low. There are 2 sensitive receptors within 250m of the Site	Site will only accept imports within existing operating hours established in current Environmental Permit (fully complying with site's planning conditions). Vehicles do not exceed the site speed limit of 10mph and will not generate a great amount of noise. The main truck movements are away from residential housing and other sensitive receptors. Noise and vibration shall be minimised and not cause nuisance. Noise kept to a minimum during operating hours. Exceptional noisy operations e.g. construction – inform residents. Noise complaints to be investigated and actioned and remedial measures will be undertaken. All complaints are recorded in the site diary including actions taken.	Low
Local human population	Noise and vibration from the following activities: Waste treatment processing. Plant boilers and engines.	Nuisance, loss of amenity, loss of sleep	Noise through the air and vibration through the ground.	Low	Low	Low	Local residents and site staff often sensitive to noise and vibration. Majority of site operations are fully enclosed. There have not been any noise complaints recorded at the Site in the past five years. Therefore, the magnitude of the risk is low. There are 2 sensitive receptors within 250m of the Site	Limitation of operating hours established in current Environmental Permit (fully complying with site's planning conditions). Fans and condensate traps will be checked for water and fans and extraction systems checked. Most equipment is enclosed. Flare usage is kept to a minimum to reduce noise impact. The design has been developed to minimise noise off-site. All equipment is maintained either in house or by a sub-contractor such that noise and vibration are maintained within the required limits and to manufacturers recommendations.	Low

				 Where equipment is to be replaced, preference will be given to procuring quiet plant and silencing equipment. Proper maintenance of plant and equipment. There is no equipment on-site that can cause vibration nuisance at the local receptors. Nonetheless, equipment is turned off when not in use, where appropriate. Any complaints received are investigated and actioned in line with the complaint's procedure. All complaints are recorded in the site diary including actions taken. 	
dgement				Action (by permitting)	
bability of bosure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk	Justification for magnitude	Risk management	Residual risk
V	Medium	Low	Local residents often sensitive to odour.	Odours are likely to be generated and released due to the nature of the wastes.	Low
			Wide range of waste may cause odour issues at reception from	There are two odour control units (OCU) on-site (one stationary, another mobile unit).	
			wastes, release or blogas and from digestate hence control measures adopted. There have been four odour complaints throughout the last five years. There are 5 sensitive receptors within 500m of the Site.	There is a OCU on the Site which treats extracted odorous air from the main control and process building, the screens, grit and grease removal process, sludge reception area, sludge thickeners, sludge holding tanks and centrifuges. The OCU consists of a single stage dual tower wet chemical scrubber system, using sodium hypochlorate and caustic to treat odorous air before the treated air is released via two stacks to the atmosphere. The OCI does not have a carbon filter.	
				A Cobra Odourmaster Mobile unit is retained on- site for emergency or unexpected odour and/or dust problems; this unit sprays a fine mist which suppresses odour and dust. It is used to control odours during maintenance.	
				Processes on-site are carried out indoors, with very little exposed to air, shutters are kept closed on buildings unless something is moving through them. The Site operations are fully enclosed. All sludge treatment processes are covered or enclosed	
				Odour is monitored to ensure emissions are free of odorous compounds	
				The Site's Odour Management Plan, which was reviewed and updated in February 2024, identifies potential odour emissions from site operations and procedures to manage, control and minimise odour impacts.	
				Using appropriate measures, non-point source emissions of biogas shall be minimised.	
				All available measures and Best Available Techniques will be implemented. All abatement systems are designed, monitored and maintained to treat specified emissions and off gases.	
				Any emissions of substances not controlled by emission limits (excluding odour and noise) shall	

Odour								 Where equipment is to be replaced, preference will be given to procuring quiet plant and silencing equipment. Proper maintenance of plant and equipment. There is no equipment on-site that can cause vibration nuisance at the local receptors. Nonetheless, equipment is turned off when not in use, where appropriate. Any complaints received are investigated and actioned in line with the complaint's procedure. All complaints are recorded in the site diary including actions taken. 	
Data and informatio	n			Judgement				Action (by permitting)	
Receptor	Source	Hazard	Pathway	Probability of exposure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk	Justification for magnitude	Risk management	Residual risk
Local human population.	Odour from site activities	Nuisance, loss of amenity, (e.g. disruption during outdoor activities)	Air transport then inhalation	Low	Medium	Low	Local residents often sensitive to odour. Wide range of waste may cause odour issues at reception from wastes, release of biogas and from digestate hence control measures adopted. There have been four odour complaints throughout the last five years. There are 5 sensitive receptors within 500m of the Site.	Odours are likely to be generated and released due to the nature of the wastes. There are two odour control units (OCU) on-site (one stationary, another mobile unit). There is a OCU on the Site which treats extracted odorous air from the main control and process building, the screens, grit and grease removal process, sludge reception area, sludge thickeners, sludge holding tanks and centrifuges. The OCU consists of a single stage dual tower wet chemical scrubber system, using sodium hypochlorate and caustic to treat odorous air before the treated air is released via two stacks to the atmosphere. The OCI does not have a carbon filter. A Cobra Odourmaster Mobile unit is retained on- site for emergency or unexpected odour and/or dust problems; this unit sprays a fine mist which suppresses odour and dust. It is used to control odours during maintenance. Processes on-site are carried out indoors, with very little exposed to air, shutters are kept closed on buildings unless something is moving through them. The Site operations are fully enclosed. All sludge treatment processes are covered or enclosed. Odour is monitored to ensure emissions are free of odorous compounds. The Site's Odour Management Plan, which was reviewed and updated in February 2024, identifies potential odour emissions from site operations and procedures to manage, control and minimise odour impacts. Using appropriate measures, non-point source emissions of biogas shall be minimised. All available measures and Best Available Techniques will be implemented. All abatement systems are designed, monitored and maintained to treat specified emissions and off gases. Any emissions of substances not controlled by emission limits (excluding odour and noise) shall not cause pollution. Cake is stored in a 220t silo on-site, imported cake is processed immediately. Cake is transported around the site via 20t tippers.	Low

23

								All wast lorries o Any con actioned
Local human population, domestic properties, site offices.	Spillages of odorous materials including oils, fuels, chemicals. Failure to clean up spillages. Contaminated spill equipment not disposed of appropriately.	Nuisance, loss of amenity.	Air transport, then inhalation.	Low	Medium	Low	Local residents and staff often sensitive to odour. There are 5 sensitive receptors within 500m of the Site	Procedu in the E There is Manual sites (FF The Site are appr that all s All areas Site Ma schedul houseke All spills actions
Local human population, domestic properties, site offices.	Fugitive release of H2S.	Nuisance, loss of amenity.	Air transport, then inhalation.	Low	Medium	Low	Local residents and staff often sensitive to odour. There are 5 sensitive receptors within 500m of the Site Fugitive release, not expected to occur under normal operating conditions.	Activitie accorda and mai manage H ² S poir accorda

								All waste is imported and exported in covered lorries or contained in tankers.	
								Any complaints received are investigated and actioned in line with the complaints procedure.	
Local human population, domestic properties, site offices.	Spillages of odorous materials including oils, fuels, chemicals. Failure to clean up spillages. Contaminated spill equipment not disposed of appropriately.	Nuisance, loss of amenity.	Air transport, then inhalation.	Low	Medium	Low	Local residents and staff often sensitive to odour. There are 5 sensitive receptors within 500m of the Site	Procedures for dealing with spillages are covered in the EMS under EMS363 and 364 for the Site. There is also a Field Event Co-ordinators (FEC) Manual which provides spillage procedures for EP sites (FEC322). The Site Manager shall ensure all relevant staff are appropriately trained to use the spill kits and that all spillages are cleaned up immediately. All areas of the Site are to be cleaned regularly; Site Manager to oversee regular cleaning schedule, all staff trained on importance of good housekeeping and site cleanliness. All spills are recorded in the site diary including actione teleon	Low
Local human population, domestic properties, site offices.	Fugitive release of H2S.	Nuisance, loss of amenity.	Air transport, then inhalation.	Low	Medium	Low	Local residents and staff often sensitive to odour. There are 5 sensitive receptors within 500m of the Site Fugitive release, not expected to occur under normal operating conditions.	Activities are managed and operated in accordance with the EMS (and include inspection and maintenance of equipment, including engine management systems). H ² S point source emissions to air are controlled in accordance with emission limits.	Low
Litter, mud and de	bris								
Data and information	Source	Hazard	Pathway	Judgement Probability of	Consequence	Magnitude of	lustification for magnitude	Action (by permitting)	Posidual risk
Receptor	oource		i auiway		oonacquence	magnitude of			i condun i lor
				exposure		risk	-	······································	
Local human population, livestock and wildlife, domestic properties and local amenity.	Waste and litter on local and internal roads. Vehicles entering and leaving site.	Nuisance, loss of amenity and road traffic accidents.	Air transport then deposition.	Low	Low	Low	Local residents, surrounding environmental and animals sensitive to litter. There is some potential for litter to be generated from general site activities, but limited potential for it to leave the Site boundary. Cake that is delivered to the Site is transported in tankers.	All vehicles leaving the site which are transporting waste are to be covered to prevent waste/materials escaping from them. All waste produced from general site activities is kept in enclosed containers, or inside a building, prior to removing from site. All waste is removed by an external contractor when required. Regular inspections for litter and debris are undertaken. Nuisance management measures are included in the EMS and the site-specific management plant. Details of the procedures SWS follows with regards to the controls of mud and debris and potentially polluting leaks and spillages can be found in EMS 360 and EMS 381. A Residue Management Plan has been produced for the Site which identifies the waste types generated and appropriate storage arrangements on Site.	Low

				Hose wash facilities are available at waste receptions. Any emissions of substances not controlled by emission limits (excluding odour and noise) shall not cause pollution. Vehicle routes are to be inspected regularly and swept where necessary. All vehicles leaving the Site, transporting waste/ cake are to be covered to prevent waste/materials being blown from them.	
gement		Bar we then the off		Action (by permitting)	D
bability of osure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk	Justification for magnitude	Risk management	Residual risk
,	Low	Low	Permitted wastes are unlikely to attract scavenging animals and birds but certain areas may become nesting / breeding sites. The waste types handled on-site do not attract pests and contractors regularly check the Site for pests. Therefore, the magnitude of risk is considered to be low	Activities to be managed and operated in accordance with the EMS and management plans and procedures implemented. Pest control measures are implemented under EMS227. The waste site adjacent to the Site uses birds of prey to deter birds, the presence of pigeons and gulls is reduced. The site has monthly visits, by a contractor. Bait boxes are also kept on-site in case of infestation, if there is an increase in pest issues then a request is made for additional contractor visits. All reports of pests are sent to the contractor who will investigate and report findings and outcomes and detail any actions required. Ensure waste cannot be accessed by scavengers. All waste produced from general site activities are kept in enclosed containers, or inside a building, prior to removing from site. Doors of buildings are to remain closed at all times when not in use. Regular inspection and maintenance of boundary fencing and buildings is carried out to prevent access to the Site. Well established and proven operational controls and procedures are in place, including regular inspection and monitoring of the Site for pests by contractors.	Low
······································					
gement	-			Action (by permitting)	
osure	Consequence	risk	Justification for magnitude	KISK management	Residual risk
	Medium	Low	Permitted waste types are sludges/bio-solids, which may contain pathogens, so any waste washed off- site will add to the volume of the local post-flood clean up and may be hazardous to human health. The site is located within Flood Zone 3 benefitting from flood defences, which mitigate the high probability of flooding (less than 1 in 100 annual probability of river flooding or 1 in 200 annual probability of sea flooding).	The drainage network sends water to the head of the works for treatment. There are no direct potentially contaminated discharges to controlled surface waters. Activities to be managed and operated in accordance with a management system and management plans and procedures implemented, including the removal of spilled waste and other pollutants (such as use of spill kits and mobile bunds) before these could enter any flood waters if an event was to occur.	Low

There are no known issues with flooding at the Site from the nearby waterbodies, The Site did experience

Pests

Data and informat	tion			Judgement				Action (
Receptor	Source	Hazard	Pathway	Probability of exposure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk	Justification for magnitude	Risk ma
Local human population	Vermin, birds and insects	Harm to human health from wastes carried off-site and faeces. Nuisance and loss of amenity.	Air transport and over land	Low	Low	Low	Permitted wastes are unlikely to attract scavenging animals and birds but certain areas may become nesting / breeding sites. The waste types handled on-site do not attract pests and contractors regularly check the Site for pests. Therefore, the magnitude of risk is considered to be low	Activities accordar and proc Pest con EMS227 birds of p pigeons The site boxes ar if there is is made All repor will inves and deta Ensure v scaveng activities a buildin buildings not in us Regular fencing a access t Well esta and proc inspectio contracto

Human health and	environmental safety					
Data and information	I			Judgement		
Receptor	Source	Hazard	Pathway	Probability of exposure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk
Local human population and local environment.	Flooding of the site.	If waste is washed off-site it may contaminate buildings / gardens / natural habitats downstream.	Flood waters	Low	Medium	Low

								on-site flooding in June 2021 from overwhelmed drains, no historical floods have been recorded.	
	Local human population and / or livestock after gaining unauthorised access to the installation.	All on-site hazards: machinery, wastes and vehicles.	Bodily injury, death.	Direct physical contact.	Low	Medium	Low	Potential injury to on-site personnel as a result of vehicle movements or equipment malfunction or misuse. Direct physical contact is minimised by activity being carried out within enclosed digesters so a low magnitude risk is estimated. Contact with waste is minimal with exception of leaks or spills from unloading of tanker and transfer of filter cake.	Overall r experien appropria (CoTC) a Industry compete appropria operative All opera operating environm
_	Local human population and local environment.	Explosion of biogas causing release of polluting materials to air (smoke or fumes), water or land	Respiratory irritation, illness and nuisance to local population. Injury to staff, fire fighters or arsonists/vandals. Potential for uncontrolled release of fugitive emissions of gaseous, liquid or solid materials to air, water or land. Acute or chronic effects to aquatic life, contamination and deterioration of land and water quality.	Air transport Direct run-off from site across ground surface, via surface water drains, ditches etc. Indirect run-off via the soil layer Transport through soil/ groundwater then abstraction.	Low	High	Medium	Emissions to air, land or water may cause harm to and deterioration of air, land or water. Smoke and fumes may cause irritation, illness or nuisance to local residents and site staff. An explosion could cause injury to local residents and site staff from flying debris. Although biogas is flammable, risk of direct physical contact is minimised by activity being carried out within the sludge treatment works and in containerised units or locked buildings. Permitted waste types limited to sludges and liquids.	 Rept up t Training potential measure Preventa review a Activities accordar security access. permitted work and The main automict high. Fun fence su prevent of The Site are 20 C
-	Local human population and local environment.	Explosion of pressurised tanks due to equipment and/ or process failure.	Respiratory irritation, illness and nuisance to local population. Fatality/injury to staff, fire fighters. Potential for uncontrolled release of fugitive emissions of gaseous, liquid or solid materials to air, water or land. Acute or chronic effects to aquatic life, contamination and deterioration of land and water quality.		Low	Medium	Low	Emissions to air, land or water may cause harm to and deterioration of air, land or water. Smoke, fumes and material released from tanks may cause irritation, illness or nuisance to local residents and site staff. Impact from the tank explosion may cause external damages to other equipment, buildings located close to the epicentre of the explosion.	degree, t recogniti control ro The Site Authorise using a f personne intercom signing-i Regular buildings not been
	Local human population and local environment	Accidental fire causing the release of polluting materials to air (smoke or fumes), water or land. Equipment failure.	Respiratory irritation, illness and nuisance to local population. Injury to staff or fire fighters. Potential for uncontrolled release of fugitive emissions of gaseous, liquid or solid materials to air, water or land. Acute or chronic effects to aquatic life, contamination and deterioration of land and water quality.	Air transport Direct run-off from site across ground surface, via surface water drains, ditches etc. Indirect run-off via the soil layer Transport through soil/ groundwater then abstraction	Low	Medium	Low	Emissions to air, land or water may cause harm to and deterioration of air, land or water. Smoke and fumes may cause irritation, illness or nuisance to local residents and site staff. Although biogas is flammable, risk of direct physical contact is minimised by activity being carried out within the sludge treatment works and in containerised units or locked buildings. Risk of accidental combustion of waste is minimal. Permitted waste types limited to sludges and liquids.	 easy acc accordar Key slud activities 7-10 lorri Site, cak days a w Vehicle r dependir undertak trailer is The cake tippers. \ frequent undertak contractor

management of the site is overseen by an need member of staff holding an iate Certificate of Technical Competence awarded by the Waste Management Training and Advisory Board. This ent person delegates responsibilities to iately experienced and trained site es throughout the operating hours.

ational staff are fully trained in the site ng procedures and SWS' safety and mental management procedures and are to date on changes.

i includes awareness raising of the I on-site hazards and health and safety es to adhere to.

tative measures will be under continuous as part of the EMS procedures.

es are managed and operated in ance with the EMS – this includes site r measures to prevent unauthorised No maintenance work or contractor is ed on-site without a suitable permission to ad qualification.

in site entrance is secured by an tically operated gate approximately 2.8m inthermore, a 2.8m high metal palisade urrounds the entire site boundary to unauthorised access of pedestrians.

e also benefits from a CCTV system, there CCTV cameras. Combination of fixed, 360thermal imaging and number plate ion. All monitored and controlled from room.

e is staffed 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Seed personnel can gain access to the Site fob system. For visitors and unauthorised let there is an automatic gate with an in system at the site entrance, and a visitor in book is used.

inspections of the boundary fencing and s are undertaken to ensure that these have n compromised and continue to prevent cess to site. Repairs are undertaken in nce with the EMS requirements.

dge treatment and wastewater treatment s undertaken within enclosed systems. ries a day of sludge are imported to the ke and liquid sludge are imported seven week.

movements around the Site vary ing on what activities are being ken. Cake is moved to cake bays once a s full. Cake is remove from the site daily. ke is dropped directly from the silo into Waste is removed as required. Therefore, t vehicle movements are typically ken only by site staff and maintenance tors.

Low
Low
Low
Low

Low

There i DSEAF permitte Firewat contain dispose connect system intervert the pur	H&S44 H&S44 There DSEAF Permitt Firewa contair dispose connec system	subr biow dige envi prov linstr H&S The DSE perr	maint Site M imple of fire equip manu Emery Adeq on-sit A Fire subm	Acute or chronic effects to aquatic life, contamination of land and water quality. Transport through soil/ groundwater then abstraction.	Population and local environment. Population and local environment. Pollution materials to air, water or land. Potential for uncontrolled release of fugitive emissions of gaseous, liquid or solid materials to air, water or land. Potential to ar, water or land. Potential for uncontrolled materials to air, water or land. Potential to ar, water or land. Pot
--	---	---	---	---	---

Operator has produced a hazard review and risk assessment documents relating to this and other types of potential incidents, within the EMS, H&S and O&M manuals.

key sludge treatment and WTW processes undertaken within enclosed systems such as AD and biogas systems. All sludge storage are covered and enclosed.

vities are managed and operated in ordance with the EMS, H&S and O&M manuals is includes site security measures to prevent uthorised access. No maintenance work or tractor is permitted on-site without a suitable mission to work and qualification.

e detection equipment is installed in the CHP tainers and the boiler building which activate alarm on detection of a fire. Slam shut valves biogas lines will automatically close on ection of a fire to prevent any fuel being plied to the CHP engines or boilers.

ning and regular toolbox talks are given to ratives on-site and all operators and staff erstand their role in an emergency.

EMS includes procedures relating to intenance and inspection of bunding of tanks. Manager shall ensure the programme PPM is demented effectively to minimise the probability ire through faulty plant and equipment. All hipment is checked and calibrated as per the nufacturer's instructions.

ergency operating procedures are in place. equate firefighting measures are implemented

ire Prevention Plan is not required to be mitted for the permit application as the vaste process on-site is wet anaerobic estion. However, fire prevention and ironmental fire risk assessment procedures are vided in the EMS, H&S manual and Safety ruction Book (SIB) (EMS362, H&S204, S440, and SIB603).

re is also Safety zoning of areas under EAR/PEXA on-site and Smoking is only mitted in designated areas.

water within a newly bunded area will be tained by the bund and allow for appropriate oosal. There will be no gravity hydraulic nection from the bund to the drainage tem/return to head of works. Manual rvention by an operator will be required to start pumps and remains subject to the preeptance (sample/test) procedure to ensure the er is appropriate for discharge to head of ks. In the event of an incident, depending on nature of the contamination (firewater in this text) the product will be held within the bund be subject to alternative disposal methods. bending on the scale and nature of the incident may include temporary holding in road tankers acilitate safe recovery activities. The detail arding this procedure remains subject to further luation as solutions are designed and lemented.

Low

							Firewater use on other process/equipment areas (which either have existing, or will be provided with new, impermeable surfaces) will drain to site drainage systems. A robust means of isolating the site drainage from returning to the head of works is required. Where sites have pumped return to head of works stopping the pump and ensuring no hydraulic link (syphoning) is required. Where return to head of works is (or could be) gravity returned, a new isolation valve is required which is to be shut in the event of an incident. Implementation of these measures will ensure no firewater returns to the WtW without appropriate controls including sampling/testing. Further design development is underway to determine the most appropriate solution to address this requirement and ensure compliance.	
Operator Error.	Pollution to air, land, surface water and groundwater and human health	Air transport Direct run-off from site across ground surface, via surface water drains, ditches etc. Indirect run-off via the soil layer. Transport through soil/ groundwater then abstraction.	Low	Medium	Low	Possible contamination to air, land, groundwater and surface water. Given the level of operator controls which are in place and management plans, it is considered the probability and magnitude will be low.	Activities to be managed and operated in accordance with the EMS and management plans and procedures implemented. All equipment is checked under preventative maintenance plans and is checked and calibrated as per the manufacturer's instructions. Overall management of the Site is overseen by an experienced member of staff holding an appropriate Certificate of Technical Competence (CoTC) awarded by the Waste Management Industry Training and Advisory Board. This competent person delegates responsibilities to appropriately experienced and trained site operatives throughout the operating hours. All operational staff are fully trained in the Site operating procedures and SWS' safety and environmental management procedures and are kept up-to-date on changes. Training includes awareness raising of the potential implications of failure to control operations and the potential impact on the environment. Preventative measures will be under continuous review as part of the EMS procedures. Emergency operating procedures are in place and detailed in the Site's Operational Contingency Plan Senior site-based management have direct responsibility for implementing risk management measures.	Low
nd ecology								
			Judgement				Action (by permitting)	
Source	Hazard	Pathway	Probability of exposure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk	Justification for magnitude	Risk management	Residual risk
Any, but principally NOx.	Harm to protected site through toxic contamination, nutrient enrichment, disturbance etc.	Air transport Direct run-off from site across ground surface water drains, ditches etc. Indirect run-off via the soil layer.	Low	Medium	Low	Physical disturbance and emission to air, water or land may cause harm to and deterioration of nature conservation sites. However, impacts to these sites are unlikely. Two SACs, three SPAs, and one Ramsar site are located within 10km of the Site. Three SSSI's, three LNRs and one AONB are located within 2km of the	Activities to be managed and operated in accordance with the EMS and management plans and procedures implemented. Emissions of substances not controlled by emission limits (excluding odour and noise) shall not cause pollution. Storage of high ammonia bearing material will be covered at all times. Emission limits for stack gases are specified.	Low
	Operator Error.	Operator Error. Pollution to air, land, surface water and groundwater and human health Intersection Nove Source Hazard Any, but principally NOx. Harm to protected site through toxic contamination, nutrient enrichment, disturbance etc.	Operator Error. Pollution to air, land, surface water and groundwater and human health Air transport Direct run-off from site across ground surface, via surface water drains, diches etc. Indirect run-off via the soil layer. Transport through soil/ groundwater then abstraction. Ad ecology Hazard Pathway Any, but principally NOx. Hazard Pathway Air transport through soil/ groundwater then abstraction. Air transport through soil/ groundwater then abstraction. Source Hazard Pathway Any, but principally NOx. Harm to protected site through toxic contamination, nutrient enrichment, disturbance etc. lec. Indirect run-off via the soil layer.	Operator Error. Pollution to air, land, surface water and groundwater and human health Air transport Low Direct run-off rom site accoss ground surface, via surface water drains, ditches otc. indirect run-off via the soil layer. Low Indirect run-off rom site soil surface, via surface water drains, ditches otc. indirect run-off via the soil layer. Low Indirect run-off rom site across ground surface, water drains, ditches etc. indirect run-off via the soil layer. Low	Operator Error. Pollution to air. land, surface water and groundwater and human health Air transport Low Medium orgen and groundwater and human health average sprund surface water and groundwater and human health average sprund surface water and groundwater and human health average sprund surface water and groundwater and groundwater and groundwater through soli gro	Operator Error. Pollution to air, land, surface water and groundwater and human health Art transport Low Medium Low Direct run-off from site across ground surface, via surface water drains, diches etc. Low Medium Low I decology Transport through solif groundwater then abstraction. Judgement Medium Low Source Hazard Pethway Probability of consequences Consequence Magnitude of risk No. Ham to protoched site through NOz. Ham to protoched site through solid ground surface, encidement, disturbance etc. Art transport production of the consequence Low Medium Low	Operator Error. Politicon to art. Iard, surface wate and groundwate and human health Art transport biogram and brance transmission is art. Iard, wate and groundwate and human health Art transport biogram and biogram and	Observe Terr Nation is and large processional sectors of the sectors of

Natural	habitats	and ed	cology
---------	----------	--------	--------

Data and information				Judgement				Action (by
Receptor	Source	Hazard	Pathway	Probability of exposure	Consequence	Magnitude of risk	Justification for magnitude	Risk mana
Protected nature conservation sites – European and national designated sites.	Any, but principally NOx.	Harm to protected site through toxic contamination, nutrient enrichment, disturbance etc.	Air transport Direct run-off from site across ground surface water drains, ditches etc. Indirect run-off via the soil layer.	Low	Medium	Low	Physical disturbance and emission to air, water or land may cause harm to and deterioration of nature conservation sites. However, impacts to these sites are unlikely. Two SACs, three SPAs, and one Ramsar site are located within 10km of the Site. Three SSSI's, three LNRs and one AONB are located within 2km of the	Activities to accordance and proceed Emissions emission li not cause Storage of covered at Emission l

			Transport through soil/ groundwater then abstraction.				Site, where Langstone Harbour SSSI is located adjacent to the Site. 12 LWS, and one ancient woodland are located within 2km of the Site, out of the 12 LWS's the closest are located on the Site.	BAT and measure EMS228 prevent o minimise As requi
Protected species, including nesting birds, wintering birds, common reptiles, terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, common amphibians, bats, badgers, hazel dormice and great crested newts.	Any, but principally NOx.	Harm to protected species through disturbance or removal of habitats.	_	Low	Medium	Low	Physical disturbance and emissions to air may cause harm to protected species. The proposal for the Permit does not involve the removal of vegetation, or structural modification to built structures. It is considered very unlikely, therefore, that Site activities would lead to the disturbance or removal of terrestrial habitats.	houseke are in pla include s classifica designat

d appropriate additional mitigation es set out in the EMS (EMS323, EMS223, and EMS220), have been taken to or where that is not practicable, to e, those emissions.

ired by the Southern Water EMS various eeping and waste management practices ace to monitor waste emissions. These segregation of wastes according to their ation and nature, labelling waste and using ted storage containers.

Low



mottmac.com