

GPP

GP PLANNING LTD



NOISE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Heritage Way, Corby

Baileys Skip Hire and Recycling Ltd.

Proposed Permit Variation		
Noise Management Plan	Baileys Skip Hire & Recycling Ltd	B003-06

Revision	Comments	Author	Date
0	Submission Version	MD	November 2024

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	2
1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	Planning History.....	2
1.3	The Site	3
2	IDENTIFICATION OF NOISE SENSITIVE RECEPTORS	4
2.1	Introduction	4
2.2	Receptors	4
3	SITE DESCRIPTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF NOISE SOURCES	5
3.1	Hours of Operation	5
3.2	Site Operations	5
4	ASSESSMENT OF NOISE	7
4.1	Assessment	7
5	MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL OF NOISE LEVELS	8
5.1	Controls.....	8

Appendices

APPENDIX 1: SITE LAYOUT

APPENDIX 2: NOISE ASSESSMENT

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 This Noise Management Plan (NMP) supports a substantial variation to an Environmental Permit Application submitted to the Environment Agency (EA) by Baileys Skip Hire & Recycling Ltd. to operate a waste transfer and recycling facility at their site at Heritage Way, Corby NN17 5XW.
- 1.1.2 The facility operates under a Standard Rules Permit, SR2008no3 (reference EPR/AB3604HM). The Permit restricts operations to 75,000 tonnes of household, commercial and industrial waste transfer station with treatment.
- 1.1.3 This application seeks a substantial variation to operational throughput to 200,000 tonnes of waste per annum, along with some additional waste codes.
- 1.1.4 Materials are imported to site sorting and processing, predominantly through a picking line. Some of the materials are baled for onward transportation.
- 1.1.5 The NMP has been prepared to ensure that the control and management of noise is maintained during the operation of the facility.
- 1.1.6 The NMP will be updated periodically and following any substantial changes to the plant and equipment required on site.
- 1.1.7 The current Site Plan is attached as Appendix 1.

1.2 Planning History

- 1.2.1 Planning permission was granted for the facility under planning permission e=references 12/00080/WASFUL & 12/00396/COC, granted on 7th February 2024.
- 1.2.2 Condition 4 of the planning permission restricted waste throughput to 50,000 tonnes per annum.
- 1.2.3 Planning permission was granted in 2015 for a soil handling facility (15/00003/WASFUL & 15/00032/COC – Construction and operation of a Soils Treatment Hub, granted on 15th April 2015. The business case and commercial case for this has decreased. At the same time, waste transfer and materials recycling demand has increased. This demand includes commercial arrangements associated with a waste contract with North Northamptonshire Council.

- 1.2.4 The Applicant no longer requires the permitted waste throughput associated with the soil handling facility and has relinquished that throughput and transferred it over to the waste transfer and materials recycling activities.
- 1.2.5 Planning permission was granted on 21st February 2024 (NN/23/00015/WASVOC) for an increased throughput of waste to 117,500 tonnes per annum. This permission had the effect of superseding, consolidating and updating the previously granted planning permission for the site and is the current operative planning permission.
- 1.2.6 This permission has two conditions relating to noise (conditions 15 and 16 as set out below):
- 1.2.7 15. Inert material stockpiles shall be used to act as an acoustic screen for the aggregate crushing operations to minimise noise disturbance to the nearest residential receptors.
- 1.2.8 Reason: In the interests of amenity protection having regard to Policy 18 of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan (2017).
- 1.2.9 16. No vehicles or mobile plant used exclusively on site shall be operated unless they have been fitted with white noise alarms to ensure that, when reversing, they do not emit a warning noise that would have an adverse impact on residential amenity.
- 1.2.10 Reason: In the interests of amenity protection having regard Policy 18 of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan (2017).

1.3 The Site

- 1.3.1 The site is located at the southern end of Heritage Way, adjacent to Phoenix Parkway/A6116, on the north eastern industrial edge of Corby. Access to the site is via Heritage Way.
- 1.3.2 The site lies adjacent to the Kettering to Oakham railway line. West of the railway line is open green space at the end of Brunel Road, and to the south west there is a residential development off Stephenson Lane. Pen Green Lane provides a connection between the residential development across the railway line to the site, dissecting the areas of open green space. The open green space includes two water bodies, which it is understood provided water to the steel works. North, East and South of the site, beyond Phoenix Parkway/A6116 is a wide range of industrial sites and former industrial sites, including Earlstrees Industrial Estate.

2 IDENTIFICATION OF NOISE SENSITIVE RECEPTORS

2.1 Introduction

- 2.1.1 A Noise Assessment has been conducted by Enzygo and this forms part of the application.
- 2.1.2 The assessment has been undertaken to assess impact of the increase in throughput and its compliance with the relevant standards at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors.

2.2 Receptors

- 2.2.1 The site is described as following in the Noise assessment. It is located to the northeast of Corby between Heritage Way and the A6086 Phoenix Parkway and between Earlstree and Willowbrook East Industrial Estates.
- 2.2.2 The site and the nearest noise sensitive receptor, No.73 Pen Green Lane, are shown in Figure 1 below.




Figure 1: Site and Nearest Sensitive Noise Receptor

3 SITE DESCRIPTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF NOISE SOURCES

3.1 Hours of Operation

3.1.1 Currently the site is permitted to operate between 07:00 and 18:00 hours Monday to Friday, between 07:00 and 13:00 on Saturday, and limited operation between 09:00 and 17:00 hours on Sundays and Bank Holidays.

3.1.2 The Site Layout is attached as Appendix 1.

3.2 Site Operations

3.2.1 Baileys Skip Hire and Recycling Ltd. operates a household, commercial and industrial waste transfer with treatment facility (materials recycling facility – MRF) at the Heritage Way site.

3.2.2 The Site Layout plan shows the various, designated areas across the site and the main waste processing areas. In summary, the site comprises the necessary infrastructure to accommodate the proposed 200,000 tonnes throughput per annum:

- • Site Reception Area (located to the north west of the site), comprising:
 - o Evacuation point
 - o Parking Area
 - o In/Out Weighbridge
 - o Office/Canteen Area
- • Skip storage Area (located to the north east of the site);
- • Workshop, Baler and Wood Stock Pile
- • Baled Recycling Storage (located on the western boundary of the site);
- • MRF Warehouse and Storage Building and Storage / Unloading Area (to the west of the building) – located central to the site;
- • Hard Core Storage (located on the eastern boundary);
- • C&D Incoming Material Storage (located to the south of the MRF building);
- • Baler (located on the western boundary of the site);
- • Refuse Derived Fuel (RDF) and Solid Recovered Fuel (SRF) (located to the south east of the site);
- • Dry Mixed Recycling (to the south west);
- • Segregated Bays x 3 no. (located to the south east);

- • Dedicated Local Authority (Council Bay);
- • Segregated Bays x 3 no. (located on the southern boundary of the site);
- • Dedicated vehicle access and egress routes, and
- • Pedestrian Emergency Access Routes.

4 ASSESSMENT OF NOISE

4.1 Assessment

- 4.1.1 From A noise assessment has been prepared to demonstrate that the operation of the site would not result in adverse noise impacts. A copy of the noise assessment is provided in Appendix B.
- 4.1.2 The assessment has been undertaken to assess compliance with the relevant standards at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors during the proposed operational hours.
- 4.1.3 The assessment is based on the results of a series of noise predictions undertaken in accordance with the calculation methodology contained in ISO9613 'Acoustics – Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors – Part 2: General method of calculation' and the results of baseline noise surveys undertaken at locations representative of the nearest noise-sensitive receptors.
- 4.1.4 Sound levels generated by the site have been predicted using the proprietary noise modelling software CadnaA. An assessment has been made in accordance with the guidance contained in BS4142. Reference has also been made to the noise exposure hierarchy described in the planning practice guidance.
- 4.1.5 The BS4142 assessment has shown that noise levels from the site with increased HGV throughput would be equal to or below the prevailing background noise levels and should have a low impact at the nearby residential receptors.
- 4.1.6 Furthermore, based on the noise exposure hierarchy described in the planning practice guidance, noise from the facility should fall into the No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) threshold of impact.
- 4.1.7 The assessment concluded that there are no reasons on noise grounds why an EA permit should not be granted.

5 MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL OF NOISE LEVELS

5.1 Controls

- 5.1.1 The assessment indicated that the noise levels at surrounding properties with the mitigation measures proposed would result in acceptable noise levels.
- 5.1.2 There are no proposed changes to the existing noise mitigation scheme as part of the permit variation. All existing noise mitigation measures, including the 3-metre perimeter wall, remain unchanged.
- 5.1.3 Appropriate management and control measures will be implemented to ensure that noise levels attributable to the operation of the site are minimised. Measures that could be adopted include:
- Regular inspection of the plant and equipment, with a log of the dates of the inspections maintained on site. Any defective parts would be identified and repaired / replaced as soon as possible and ideally during the next scheduled maintenance period;
 - Where possible, external doors to the facility to remain closed;
 - Where possible, subject to health and safety restrictions, non-tonal reversing signals to be fitted on mobile plant operating on site;
 - Access doors to be closed at all times whilst the plant inside the building is operating;
 - Engines on mobile plant and vehicles parked on site to be switched off when not in use;
 - Noise levels attributable to the operation of any additional fixed or mobile plant to be assessed prior to the installation / operation commencing.

6 COMPLAINTS SCHEDULE

6.1 Complaints

- 6.1.1 Should a complaint be received in relation to noise from the operation of the facility, the site manager (or other appointed person), would visit the complainant's property within 1 working day of the complaint being received.
- 6.1.2 A subjective assessment of the noise at the property would be made, with the aim of identifying the source of the complaint. A further inspection of the plant would be made on site, again with the aim of identifying the source of the plant giving rise to the complaint.
- 6.1.3 If it is possible to identify the plant, remedial measures would be taken to reduce noise levels, ideally within a period of 1 week of the complaint having been received.
- 6.1.4 Upon completion of the works, the complainant would be contacted to ensure that the measures taken had resolved the issue.
- 6.1.5 A record of all complaints received, and the measures taken would be held on site and made available to the Local Planning Authority and Environment Agency for inspection upon request.
- 6.1.6 Should no single item of plant be identified, and the site manager considers the complaint justified, the Local Planning Authority and/or Environment Agency would be contacted to inform them of the situation. A noise monitoring exercise would be arranged within a period of 14 days of the complaint having been received, to ensure that the site is operating within the appropriate noise limits, or to identify additional remedial measures which may need to be taken to reduce noise levels. The noise monitoring and timescales for remedial measures would be carried out in accordance with the procedures specified in Section 7.


7 NOISE MONITORING

7.1 Requirements

- 7.1.1 Given the low noise levels predicted for the site, no regular noise monitoring would be carried out. Noise monitoring would normally be undertaken following receipt of a justified complaint as specified in Section 6.
- 7.1.2 In these circumstances, It is envisaged that any noise monitoring exercises would normally be carried out during the early morning or late evening periods, when noise from other surrounding sources (e.g. road traffic) is minimised.
- 7.1.3 The measurements would be taken by a suitably qualified person, using a meter conforming to minimum Class 2 standards. The meter would be calibrated before and after the exercise using an Acoustic Calibrator. Should the two calibration levels differ by more than 0.5 dB(A) the results would be discarded and the exercise repeated.
- 7.1.4 Measurements would be made in a freefield location (i.e. at least 3.5 metres away from building facades or other reflecting surfaces other than the ground) and at a height of 1.2 metres above the ground.
- 7.1.5 At least one measurement would be made over a period of 15 minutes in accordance with the monitoring procedure specified in BS 4142:2014 + A1:2019, with the following parameters noted:
- LAeq;
 - LAmax,F; and
 - LA90.
- 7.1.6 One measurement would be made whilst the site was fully operational, with a second made, where possible, whilst the plant was stood.
- 7.1.7 Notes upon the main influences on the measured noise levels would also be made, including the audibility of the plant.
- 7.1.8 The measured noise levels would be compared to the results of the noise assessment presented in Appendix A, with a revised assessment made in accordance with the requirements of BS 4142.

- 7.1.9 As it is anticipated that it would not be possible to measure the noise levels from the facility directly, additional measurements would be taken adjacent to the main noise generating elements of the plant within the site and the noise level calculations updated upon which to base the assessment.
- 7.1.10 Where the assessment concluded that the noise levels attributable to the operation of the facility were resulting in noise levels which would have the potential to give rise to adverse noise impacts, when assessed against the requirements of BS 4142, the assessment would include details of remedial measures to be taken to reduce noise levels to a satisfactory standard.
- 7.1.11 The results of the noise monitoring exercise and details of any proposed additional noise mitigation measures, if required, would be issued to the Local Planning Authority / Environment Agency within 14 days following completion of the exercise.
- 7.1.12 Any additional mitigation measures would be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority/ Environment Agency and completed within a period three months following the monitoring exercise. Upon completion, the noise monitoring would be repeated to ensure that the measures taken had satisfactorily reduced noise levels.

APPENDIX 1: SITE LAYOUT



All dimensions to be verified on site. Figure dimensions to take precedence to those scaled. Any areas indicated on this drawing are for guidance only, no responsibility is taken for their accuracy.
 The drawing is the property of GP Planning Limited. Copyright is reserved by them and the drawing is issued on the condition that it is not copied, reproduced, related or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part without the written consent in writing of GP Planning.
 © 2023 GP Planning Limited. All rights reserved.

ALPHA COURT

KEY

- Permit Boundary
- Hardstanding
- Evacuation Point
- Q Quarantine Area (this could contain Haz, POPS, Batteries, Gas Bottles, etc)
- WB1 Weighbridge 1
- WB2 Weighbridge 2
- O Oils
- F Fuels
- SEC Security Cabin (hooked up to propane bottles)
- E Electric Substation and Switch Room
- W Pump House and Water Tanks
- B Picking Line Bays
- SP Shredder Power Room
- S Shredder for Picking Line
- PP Pre Pick Area. This will be a series of 40 yard bins some may include POPS
- 1 Series of enclosed bins (copper, cable, batteries)
- 2 Wood Bay - high concreted sides
- 3 Workshop with 2 pits (covered in area)
- 4 Baler (covered in area)
- 5 Bale Storage
- 6 Loading Ramp
- 7 Cardboard Storage (covered in area)
- 8 Mixed Waste (2 places for this material as per plan)
- 9 Bale Storage
- 10 Baler (covered in area)
- 11 Bale Storage
- 12 Plasterboard Area - 2 x RoRo, 1 x Loose
- 13 Residual Bay (covered in area)
- 14 RDF (refuse-derived fuel) Stock
- 15 Aggregate Bay
- 16 Inert Bay
- 17 Green Waste
- 18 Spare (misc)
- 19 DMR Storage (covered in area)
- 20 Spare (misc)
- 21 Aggregate Bay
- 22 Aggregate Bay
- 23 Aggregate Bay

NOTE - this is a working site and changes are needed on a weekly / daily basis



2	Amendments to site layout.	KD	01/12/23
Rev	Description	Initial	Date

GPP
GP PLANNING LTD

Planning Consultants

T: 01604 771123 E: info@gppanning.co.uk W: www.gppanning.co.uk
 iCon Environmental Innovation Centre, Eastern Way,
 Daventry, Northamptonshire, NN11 0GB
 Registered in England No. 6019666

TITLE: Heritage Way, Corby, Northamptonshire
Permit - Site Layout Plan

CLIENT: BAILEYS SKIP HIRE AND RECYCLING LIMITED

Ordnance Survey Licence: Reproduction from the Ordnance Survey Map with sanction of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright, Licence number 100038901. For Identification purposes only.

DRAWN BY: KD **CHECKED BY:** MD

DRAWING NO.: GPP/BSH/HWP/23/02 **REV NO.:** 2

SCALE: 1:500 at A1 **DATE:** 02 Oct 2023

SCALE BAR: ORIGINAL A1 SIZE SHEET

APPENDIX 2: NOISE ASSESSMENT



HGV Movement Change Assessment – EA Permit

Baileys Skip Hire, Heritage Way, Corby, NN17 5XW

Baileys Skip Hire & Recycling Ltd

SHF.799.001.NO.R.002



Contact Details:

Enzygo Ltd.
Samuel House,
5 Fox Valley Way
Stocksbridge
Sheffield
S36 2AA

tel: 0114 321 5151
email: acoustics@enzygo.com
www: enzygo.com

HGV Movement Change Assessment

Project:	Baileys Skip Hire, Heritage Way, Corby, Northamptonshire, NN17 5XW
For:	Baileys Skip Hire & Recycling Ltd
Status:	Draft
Date:	October 2024
Author:	Harry Russell-Lees BSc MIOA
Reviewer:	Darren Lafon-Anthony MSc MIOA FIQ

Disclaimer:

This report has been produced by Enzygo Limited within the terms of the contract with the client and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client.

We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.

This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk.

Enzygo Limited Registered in England No. 6525159
Registered Office Stag House Chipping Wotton-Under-Edge Gloucestershire GL12 7AD

Contents

1 Introduction.....	4
2 Standards and Guidance	6
3 Noise Monitoring Surveys	9
4 Noise Assessment.....	12
5 Conclusion	16
Glossary of Terminology	17
Statement of Uncertainty	20
Statement of Competency.....	21

Tables & Figures

Figure 1-1: Site and Receptor Location.....	4
Table 2-1: Noise Hierarchy Table Excerpt.....	6
Table 2-2: BS4142 Subjective Method Rating Corrections	7
Table 3-1: Noise Monitoring Location	9
Table 3-2: Survey Equipment.....	9
Table 3-3: Weather Conditions.....	9
Table 3-4: Summary of Baseline Survey Results, dB.....	10
Table 3-5: Summary of On-site Measurements.....	11
Table 4-1: Predicted Specific Sound Levels at the Noise-Sensitive Receptors	12
Table 4-2: BS4142 Assessment – Worst-case	14
Table G-1: Typical Noise Levels.....	17
Table G-2: Terminology	18
APPENDIX A – Baseline Noise Data.....	22
Table B-1: Baseline Noise Data - No.73 Pen Green Lane - Midweek Daytime.....	22
Table B-2: Baseline Noise Data – No.73 Pen Green Lane - Midweek Night-time	22
Table B-3: Baseline Noise Data – No.73 Pen Green Lane - Weekend Daytime	22
Table B-4: Baseline Noise Data – No.73 Pen Green Lane - Weekend Night-time	23
APPENDIX B – Noise Contour Plot	24
Figure B-1: Noise Contour Plot – 117,500tpa	24
Figure B-2: Noise Contour Plot – 200,000tpa	25

1 Introduction

1.1 Project Introduction

- 1.1.1 Enzygo Limited (Enzygo) has been commissioned by Baileys Skip Hire & Recycling Ltd to undertake an a brief noise assessment to support the EA permit application to increase the HGV haulage serving the site from 117,500tpa to 200,000tpa.
- 1.1.2 Previously, permission has been granted for an extension of operating hours, increasing the throughput from 75,000tpa to 117,500tpa. A further increase to 200,000tpa has now been proposed.
- 1.1.3 The assessment has been undertaken to assess impact of the increase in throughput and its compliance with the relevant standards at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors.
- 1.1.4 Details of the assessment methodology employed, together with the results of the baseline survey, assessment and conclusions are presented within this report.

1.2 Site Description

- 1.2.1 The site is located to the northeast of Corby between Heritage Way and the A6086 Phoenix Parkway and between Earlstree and Willowbrook East Industrial Estates. The site and the nearest noise sensitive receptor, No.73 Pen Green Lane, are shown in Figure 1-1.

Figure 1-1: Site and Receptor Location



© Google

- 1.2.2 To the north of the site is Phoenix Parkway which runs north to south past the eastern site boundary with commercial and/or industrial premises on either side of the road. Further north is Corby Power Station and Breedon's Corby Asphalt, Concrete & Ready-mixed Concrete Plant.
- 1.2.3 To the east, beyond Phoenix Parkway, BCA Automotives vehicle storage area and other commercial/industrial premises at the northern part of Willowbrook East Industrial Estate. To the south is open land to Willowbrook East Industrial Estate. To the west is the Kettering North Junction and Melton Mowbray Line railway, which runs north to south, beyond which is Earlstree Industrial Estate and open land.
- 1.2.4 The Pen Green Lane receptor is located 197m to the southwest of the site.

1.3 Description of the Proposals

- 1.3.1 Currently the site is permitted to operate between 07:00 and 18:00 hours Monday to Friday, between 07:00 and 13:00 on Saturday, and limited operation between 09:00 and 17:00 hours on Sundays and Bank Holidays.
- 1.3.2 The application proposes an uplift of allowed haulage movements during these operational hours, from 117,500tpa to 200,000tpa.

1.4 Noise Assessment Methodology

- 1.4.1 The noise assessment has been conducted in accordance with the guidance contained within British Standard 4142:2014+A1:2019 '*Method for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound*' (BS4142).
- 1.4.2 Noise levels generated by the proposed development have been predicted to the nearest noise-sensitive receptors, using the calculation methodology outlined in ISO9613:1996 '*Acoustics – Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors – Part 2: General method of calculation*' (ISO9613) using the proprietary noise modelling software CadnaA.

2 Standards and Guidance

2.1 Planning Practice Guidance: Noise

- 2.1.1 The Planning Practice Guidance: Noise is the Government’s online guidance on managing potential noise impacts from new developments.
- 2.1.2 The guidance includes a noise exposure hierarchy table which relates response to noise and example outcomes to effect levels. The hierarchy table also identifies actions required for each effect level.
- 2.1.3 Particularly relevant to this assessment are the No Observed Effect Level and the No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) to which the guidance states:

Table 2-1: Noise Hierarchy Table Excerpt

Response	Example of Outcomes	Increasing Effect Level	Action
No Observed Effect Level			
Not present	No effect	No Observed Effect	No specific measures required
No Observed Adverse Effect Level			
Present and not intrusive	Noise can be heard, but does not cause any change in behaviour, attitude, or other physiological response. Can slightly affect the acoustic character of the area but not such that there is a change in the quality of life	No Observed Adverse Effect	No specific measures required

2.2 British Standard 4142:2014+A1:2019 *Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound*

- 2.2.1 BS4142 provides a methodology for rating and assessing sound associated with both industrial and commercial premises. The purpose of the Standard is clearly outlined in the opening section where it states that the method is appropriate for the consideration of:
- Sound from industrial and manufacturing processes;
 - Sound from fixed installations which comprise mechanical and electrical plant and equipment;
 - Sound from the loading and unloading of goods and materials at industrial and/or commercial premises; and
 - Sound from mobile plant and vehicles that is an intrinsic part of the overall sound emanating from premises or processes, such as that from forklift trucks, or that from train or ship movements on or around an industrial and/or commercial site.
- 2.2.2 The Standard is based around the premise that the significance of the noise impact of an industrial/commercial facility can be derived from the numerical subtraction of the background noise level (not necessarily the lowest background level measured, but the typical background of the receptor) from the measured/calculated rating level of the specific sound

under consideration. This comparison will enable the impact of the specific sound to be concluded based upon the premise that typically *“the greater this difference, the greater the magnitude of the impact”*. This difference is then considered as follows:

- A difference of around +10dB or more is likely to be an indication of a significant adverse impact, depending on the context;
- A difference of around +5dB is likely to be an indication of an adverse impact, depending upon context; and
- The lower the rating level is relative to the measured background sound level, the less likely it is that the specific sound source will have an adverse impact or a significant adverse impact.

2.2.3 BS4142 further states that *“where the rating level does not exceed the background sound level, this is an indication of the specific sound source having a low impact”* again depending upon the specific context of the site. The Standard further qualifies the assessment protocol by outlining conditions to the comparative assessment and stating that *“not all adverse impacts will lead to complaints and not every complaint is proof of an adverse impact”*, thus implying that all sites should be assessed on their own merits and specifics.

2.2.4 The Standard quantifies the typical reference periods to be used in the assessment of noise, namely:

Typical Daytime	07:00 – 23:00	1-hr assessment period
Typical Night-time	23:00 – 07:00	15-min assessment period

2.2.5 The Standard outlines methods for defining appropriate *“character corrections”* within the rating levels to account for tonal qualities, impulsive qualities, other sound characteristics and/or intermittency. These are a) the Subjective Method, b) the Objective Methods for tonality and c) the Reference Method. It is noted by the Standard that where multiple features are present the corrections should be added in a linear fashion to the specific level.

2.2.6 The Subjective Method is based on the following corrections:

Table 2-2: BS4142 Subjective Method Rating Corrections

Level of Perceptibility	Tonal Correction	Impulsivity Correction	Correction for “Other sound characteristics”	Intermittency Correction
No Perceptibility	+0 dB	+0 dB	Where neither tonal nor Impulsive but clearly identifiable +3 dB	If intermittency is readily identifiable +3 dB
Just Perceptible	+2 dB	+3 dB		
Clearly Perceptible	+4 dB	+6 dB		
Highly Perceptible	+6 dB	+9 dB		

2.3 ISO9613 Acoustics – Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors – Part 2: General method of calculation

2.3.1 The noise levels generated by the operation of the proposed development have been predicted using the calculation methodology set out in ISO9613-2. The methodology considers

the distance between the sources and the receptors and applies the amount of attenuation due to atmospheric absorption and other site-specific characteristics.

- 2.3.2 The methodology assumes downwind propagation, i.e., a wind direction that assists the propagation of noise from the source to all receptors.

3 Noise Monitoring Surveys

3.1.1 Baseline noise surveys were undertaken on Thursday 2nd, Friday 3rd, Sunday 5th and Wednesday 22nd February 2023 to gather background and ambient noise levels at a location representative of the nearest noise-sensitive receptor to the site.

3.1.2 The monitoring location used for the survey is detailed in Table 3-1 and shown in Figure 1-1. The microphone was mounted on a tripod with a windshield approximately 1.5m above the ground in free-field conditions.

Table 3-1: Noise Monitoring Location

Location/Receptor	Approximate Distance from Site Boundary	Reflecting Surfaces between Source & Receptor ⁽¹⁾	Topography of Intervening Ground	Justification for Choice of Measurement Location
No.73 Pen Green Lane	200m	None	Open grassland, ponds and a railway	Nearest residential receptor to the site

Note ⁽¹⁾ – Reflecting surfaces other than the ground.

3.1.3 The noise monitoring equipment used during the survey is shown in Table 3-2, and was set to record a number of parameters, including the $L_{Aeq,T}$, L_{A90} , L_{A10} and L_{AFmax} .

Table 3-2: Survey Equipment

Equip. Make & Model	Class	Calibration Level, dB	Serial No.	Calibration Date Prior to Survey
RION NL-52 Sound Level Meter	1	94.0	0052099	August 2022
RION NC-75 Acoustic Calibrator	-	-	34724233	August 2022

3.1.4 The sound level meter was field calibrated, using an electronic calibrator, prior to and upon completion of the overall survey. No drift in calibration was noted. The external calibration documentation for the equipment used is available upon request.

3.2 Weather

3.2.1 The weather conditions during the survey period are detailed in Table 3-3 below.

Table 3-3: Weather Conditions

Date	Period	Precipitation	Cloud Cover	Max. wind-speed	Wind Direction	Temperature
Thursday 2 nd February	Day	None	60%	<5.0m/s	SW	9°C
Friday 3 rd February	Night	None	100%	<5.0m/s	W	6°C
Sunday 5 th February	Night	None	30%	<5.0m/s	W	3°C
	Day	None	0%	<5.0m/s	NW	6°C

3.3 Survey Results

3.3.1 The 15-minute L_{Aeq} , L_{A90} , L_{A10} and L_{AFmax} results the measurement position are summarised in Table 3-4.

Table 3-4: Summary of Baseline Survey Results, dB

Location	Period	Average ¹⁾ $L_{Aeq,T}$	L_{AFmax} ²⁾	L_{A90} ³⁾	L_{A10} ³⁾
No.73 Pen Green Lane	Midweek Daytime (07:00-18:00)	46.7	75.6	41.5	47.4
	Midweek Night-time (23:00-07:00)	36.5	58.9	33.8	37.9
	Sunday Daytime (07:00-18:00)	45.7	83.4	40.3	46.8
	Sunday Night-time (23:00-07:00)	43.2	75.0	38.9	44.8
<p>Note:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Logarithmic average of the L_{Aeq} parameter reported. 2) Maximum recorded L_{AFmax} value reported. 3) Arithmetic average value reported. 					

3.4 Subjective Field Monitoring Notes

Thursday 2nd February 2023 - Daytime

3.4.1 The daytime noise climate at No.73 Pen Green Lane comprised background road traffic noise, a continuous ringing sound from the industrial estate to the north and birdsong. Site operations were only just audible, engines and glass bottles (possibly) being dropped although these were difficult to distinguish from the ambient noise climate.

Friday 3rd February 2023 – Night-time

3.4.2 The night-time noise climate at the receptor comprised background road traffic noise and continuous humming from the industrial estate to the north. The site being assessed was not operational.

Sunday 5th February 2023 – Night-time

3.4.3 The night-time noise climate at the receptor during the early hours of Sunday morning comprised background road traffic noise and a continuous humming from the industrial estate to the north. The site being assessed was not operational.

Sunday 5th February 2023 – Daytime

3.4.4 The daytime noise climate at the receptor on Sunday comprised background road traffic noise, a continuous humming from the industrial estate to the north, but less noticeable than at night, birdsong, and occasional overhead aircraft. The site being assessed was not operational.

3.5 Measurement of On-site Operations

3.5.1 On-site measurements of typical operations at the site were undertaken on Thursday 2nd February 2023. The results are shown in Table 3-5.

Table 3-5: Summary of On-site Measurements

Activity	Measured dB L _{Aeq,T}	Distance m
Doosan Excavator loading hopper to sorting line	75.5	10
Case Loading Shovel moving waste and reversing away	72.6	varies
HGV unloading waste	81.7	10
Mobile Screen Operations after sorting line	81.7	4.5
Doosan Excavator loading mobile shredder	79.8	9.5
Doosan Excavator loading trommel screen (in building)	82.0	10

4 Noise Assessment

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 Specific sound levels generated by site operations at haulage throughputs of 117,500tpa and 200,000tpa have been predicted to the outdoor amenity space of the nearest noise-sensitive receptor identified using the calculation methodology outlined in ISO9613.

4.1.2 The resulting predicted specific sound levels have then been assessed in accordance with the guidance contained in BS4142.

4.2 Noise Modelling

4.2.1 The noise model was constructed using the proprietary noise modelling software package CadnaA. The noise model was constructed using Google Maps geo-referenced 1:1 scaled aerial photography. The following assumptions have been made during the modelling process:

- The ground absorption has been set to 1.0 to represent soft ground between the site and the nearest property and 0 to represent hard ground within the site.
- All equipment has 100% on time when in operation.
- Worst case throughput has been assumed

4.2.1 The on-site measured operational noise data shown in Table 3-5 has been used to verify the noise levels within the site. Noise levels during operations have been predicted to the garden space of No.73 Pen Green Lane, the nearest receptor.

4.3 Predicted Sound Levels

4.3.1 Noise levels generated by on-site operations, including HGV movements, have been predicted to a height of 1.5m to represent an individual within the outdoor amenity space during the day and evening.

4.3.2 Table 4-1 details the resulting predicted noise levels.

Table 4-1: Predicted Specific Sound Levels at the Noise-Sensitive Receptors

Receptor	Haulage Throughput, tpa	Location	Assessment Height above local ground level, m	Specific Sound Level, dB
No.73 Pen Green Lane	117,500	Back Garden	1.5	33
	200,000	Back Garden	1.5	34

4.4 Subjective Impressions at the Receptors

4.4.1 During the visit to undertake on-site measurements, a visit was also made to the receptor to determine the subjective operational noise from the site. It was noted that site operations could not be easily distinguished from other sources in the area.

4.5 Sound Rating Levels

Existing Context – Site not operational

- 4.5.1 The receptor is currently subject to noise levels generated by road traffic using the A6116 Phoenix Parkway, A6086 and local roads, and industrial noise from businesses on Earlstrees Industrial Estate during the evening and on Sundays.

Derived Sound Rating Levels

- 4.5.2 The proposed increase in haulage movements would not generate any noise which would have a tonal, intermittent feature which would be perceptible over those that already exist at the receptor, i.e., vehicle movements, noise from Earlstrees Industrial Estate, etc. However, it is possible that an impulsive feature may just be perceptible, therefore, a +3dB corrections has been made to the specific sound source for this characteristic.

4.6 BS4142 Assessment

- 4.6.1 BS4142 states:

“The significance of sound of an industrial and/or commercial nature depends upon both the margin by which the rating level of the specific sound source exceeds the background sound level and the context in which the sound occurs.”

- 4.6.2 A comparative assessment has been undertaken to determine the potential impact of the predicted sound rating levels of each haulage total. The average measured background sound levels are considered typical and have been used in the assessment. Table 4-2 summarises the results of the assessment.
- 4.6.3 The assessment reflects a worst-case situation when all operations at site are being undertaken simultaneously throughout the assessment period, and maximum allowed haulage throughput is carried out hourly.

Table 4-2: BS4142 Assessment – Worst-case

Location	Haulage Throughput, tpa	Period	Rating Level dB L _{Aeq,T}	Background Noise Level, dB L _{A90}	Difference, dB
No.73 Pen Green Lane	117,500	Weekday Daytime	36	42	-6
		Sunday/Bank Holiday Daytime		40	-4
		Sunday/Bank Holiday Night-time		39	-3
	200,000	Weekday Daytime	37	42	-5
		Sunday/Bank Holiday Daytime		40	-3
		Sunday/Bank Holiday Night-time		39	-2

4.6.4 Table 4-2 shows that the predicted sound rating level at both existing and proposed haulage throughput levels are below the measured background noise level. This would indicate that the specific sound level would have a low impact depending on the context.

4.6.5 As the predicted noise levels are below the measured background noise levels it is considered that local amenity protection has been maintained as required by the reason for Condition 5 and Policy 18 of the Northamptonshire MWLP.

4.7 Context

4.7.1 BS4142 states that where the initial estimate of impact needs to be modified due to the context, all pertinent factors should be taken into consideration.

Sensitivity of the Receptors

4.7.2 The receptor is located relatively close to major transport infrastructure (Phoenix Parkway and the Kettering North Junction and Melton Mowbray Line railway) and industrial noise sources (Earlstrees and Willowbrook East Industrial Estates) which generate noise throughout the day, evening and overnight.

The Absolute Level of Sound

4.7.3 The worst-case specific sound levels generated during the proposed varied operating hours are predicted to be below the prevailing average ambient L_{Aeq,1hr} noise levels on Sundays/Bank Holidays and in the evening.

The Character and Level of the Residual Sound

4.7.4 The specific sound levels are predicted to be below the measured ambient noise level at the receptor and as such the character and level of the sound are likely to be indistinguishable against the residual noise climate.

Summary of Context

4.7.5 The context should not affect the initial estimate of impact as predicted noise levels would be equal to or below the prevailing background noise levels.

- 4.7.6 Based on the findings and context of the assessment, mitigation measures above those incorporated into the design of the site are considered unnecessary.

5 Conclusion

5.1 Background

- 5.1.1 Enzygo Limited (Enzygo) has been commissioned by Baileys Skip Hire & Recycling Ltd to undertake an a brief noise assessment to support the EA permit application to increase the HGV haulage serving the site from 117,500tpa to 200,000tpa.
- 5.1.2 The assessment has been undertaken to assess compliance with the relevant standards at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors during the proposed operational hours.
- 5.1.3 The assessment is based on the results of a series of noise predictions undertaken in accordance with the calculation methodology contained in ISO9613 '*Acoustics – Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors – Part 2: General method of calculation*' and the results of baseline noise surveys undertaken at locations representative of the nearest noise-sensitive receptors.

5.2 Noise Assessment

- 5.2.1 Sound levels generated by the site have been predicted using the proprietary noise modelling software CadnaA. An assessment has been made in accordance with the guidance contained in BS4142. Reference has also been made to the noise exposure hierarchy described in the planning practice guidance.
- 5.2.2 The BS4142 assessment has shown that noise levels from the site with increased HGV throughput would be equal to or below the prevailing background noise levels and should have a low impact at the nearby residential receptors.
- 5.2.3 Furthermore, based on the noise exposure hierarchy described in the planning practice guidance, noise from the facility should fall into the No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) threshold of impact.
- 5.2.4 As the predicted noise levels are below the measured background noise levels it is considered that local amenity protection has been maintained as required by the reason for Condition 5 and Policy 18 of the Northamptonshire MWLP.
- 5.2.5 Therefore, there are no reasons on noise grounds why an EA permit should not be granted for an increase of HGV movements servicing the site.

Glossary of Terminology

Noise is defined as unwanted sound. The range of audible sound is known to be from 0dB (threshold of hearing) to 140dB (threshold of pain). Examples of typical noise levels relating to ‘everyday’ occurrences are given in Table G-1 below.

Table G-1: Typical Noise Levels

Source	Sound Pressure Level in dB(A)	Subjective Level
Gun shot	160	Perforation of eardrum
Military Jet take-off	140	Threshold of pain
Jet Aircraft at 100m	120	Very Loud
Rock Concert, front seats	110	Threshold of Sensation
Pneumatic Drill at 5m	100	Very Loud
Heavy goods vehicle from pavement	90	
Traffic at kerb edge	70 – 85	Loud
Vacuum Cleaner, Hair Dryer	70	
Normal conversation at 1m	60	Moderate
Typical Office	50 – 60	
Residential area at night	40	Quiet
Rural area at night, still air	30	
Leaves Rustling	20	
Rubbing together of fingertips	10	
	0	Threshold of hearing

The frequency response of the human ear to noise is usually taken to be around 18Hz (number of oscillations per second) to 18,000Hz. However, the human ear does not respond equally to different frequencies at the same level; it is more sensitive in the mid-frequency range than lower and higher frequencies and, because of this, when undertaking the measurement of noise the low and high frequency components of any given sound are reduced in importance by applying a filtering (weighting) circuit to the noise measuring instrument. The weighting which is widely accepted to correlate best with the subjective nature of human response to noise and is most widely used to quantify this is the A-weighted filter set. This is an internationally accepted standard for noise measurement.

For variable noise sources within an area an increase of 3dB(A) would be the minimum perceptible to the human ear under normal conditions. It is generally accepted that an increase/decrease of 10dB(A) corresponds to a doubling or halving in perceived loudness. The ‘loudness’ of a noise is a purely subjective parameter, dependant not only upon the sound pressure of the event but also on the dynamics of the listener’s ear, the time of the day and the general mood of the person.

With regard to environmental noise levels (in the open air), these are rarely steady but rise and fall according to the activities being undertaken within the surrounding area at any given time. In an attempt to produce a figure that relates this variable nature of noise to human subjective response, a number of statistical noise metrics have been developed. These and other useful terminology and descriptors are presented in Table G-2 below.

Table G-2: Terminology

Term	Definition
Sound	Pressure fluctuations in a fluid medium within the audible range of amplitudes and frequencies which stimulate the organs of hearing.
Noise	Unwanted sound emitted from a source and received by the sensitive receptor.
Decibel (dB)	Unit most often used to describe the sound pressure level. A logarithmic number, it correlates closely to the way in which humans perceive sound. Its wide range of values helps quantify sound pressures from a large variety of magnitudes.
A-Weighting (dB(A))	Human perception of sound is frequency dependant. A-weighting applies a range of corrections at each frequency to provide a 'human-averaged'. Can be frequency band or broadband values.
Frequency (Hz)	The number of cycles per second, for sound this is closely related (and often mistaken for) pitch.
Frequency Spectrum	A more detailed analysis of the frequency components that comprise a sound source.
L_{A10,T}	The 10 th statistical percentile of a measurement period, i.e. the level that is exceeded for 10% of the measurement duration. Closely correlates with traffic sources, A-weighted.
L_{A90,T}	The 90 th statistical percentile of a measurement period, i.e. the level that is exceeded for 90% of the measurement duration. Used to describe background sound levels, as this value is affected less by short, transient sound sources, A-weighted.
L_{Amax}	The root mean square (RMS) maximum sound pressure level within a measurement period, A-weighted.
Ambient Sound	The total sound climate of all sources incident at one location, both in the near- and far-field (<i>The ambient sound comprises the residual sound and the specific sound when present</i>).
Ambient Sound Level L_a = L_{Aeq,T}	Equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level of the totally encompassing sound in a given situation at a given time, usually from many sources near and far, at the assessment location over a given time interval, T.
Background Sound Level L_{A90,T}	A-weighted sound pressure level that is exceeded by the residual sound at the assessment location for 90% of a given time interval, T, measured using time weighting F and quoted to the nearest whole number of decibels.
Equivalent Continuous A-weighted Sound Pressure Level L_{Aeq,T}	Value of the A-weighted sound pressure level in decibels of continuous steady sound that, within a specified time interval, T = t ₂ – t ₁ , has the same mean-squared sound pressure as a sound that varies with time, and is given by the following equation:

Term	Definition
	$L_{Aeq,T} = 10 \lg_{10} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[p_A \frac{(t)^2}{p_0^2} \right] dt \right\}$ <p>Where p_0 is the reference sound pressure (20μPA); and $P_A(t)$ is the instantaneous A-weighted sound pressure level at time t.</p>
Measurement Time Interval T_m	Total time over which measurements are taken (<i>This may consist of the sum of a number of non-contiguous, short-term measurement time intervals</i>)
Rating level $L_{Ar,Tr}$	Specific sound level plus any adjustment for the characteristic features of the sound, over a period of time, T .
Reference Time Interval, T_r	Specified interval over which the specific sound level is determined (This is 1hr during the day from 07:00 to 23:00 hours and a shorter period of 15-min at night from 23:00 to 07:00 hours).
Residual Sound	Ambient sound remaining at the assessment location when the specific sound source is suppressed to such a degree that it does not contribute to the ambient sound.
Residual sound level $L_r = L_{Aeq,T}$	Equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level of the residual sound in a given situation at the assessment location over a given time interval, T .
Sound Pressure Level	The level of fluctuation in air pressure, caused by airborne sound sources. Measured in Pascals (Pa).
Sound Power Level	The rate at which sound is radiated by a source. This parameter is useful as it describes sound energy before environmental or decay factors. Quantified in dB and notated usually as L_w or SWL.
Specific sound level $L_s = L_{Aeq,Tr}$	Equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level produced by the specific sound source at the assessment location over a given time interval, T .
Specific Sound Source	Sound source being assessed.

Statement of Uncertainty

This report is based upon a range of measurements, a system of calculations and noise predictions. As such, this report attempts to quantify fluctuations in air pressure and is subject to the effects of meteorology, physical and perceived anomalies, tolerances within the measuring and monitoring equipment and accuracy margins within the noise modelling software. In the interests of repeatability, this report must be considered as being affected by common factors involved in the measurement and calculation of noise propagation.

All measurement values, outcomes and assumptions are subject to a margin of uncertainty. This has been quantified and assessed as follows:

- Rounding errors – systemic tolerance of $\pm 1\text{dB}$;
- Type 1 sound level meter – operational tolerance of $\pm 1.1\text{dB}$;
- Meteorology – allowance of $\pm 1.9\text{dB}$; and
- CadnaA noise propagation modelling software – operational accuracy of $\pm 2.1\text{dB}$

The most influential uncertainty factors for the assessment of noise are deemed to be equipment tolerances, meteorology and software accuracy. A root-sum-square statistical average has been used to provide an overall margin of uncertainty of $\pm 3\text{dB}$.

Statement of Competency

Darren Lafon-Anthony MSc MIOA FIQ

The assessment has been undertaken by, or under the supervision of, Mr. Darren Lafon-Anthony who is the Director of Acoustics at Enzygo Limited. Mr. Lafon-Anthony holds a Master of Science Degree in Applied Acoustics and has been a Corporate Member of the Institute of Acoustics since July 2004 having previously been an Associate Member of the institute since October 2001. Mr. Lafon-Anthony is also a Fellow of the Institute of Quarrying based on his contribution to the assessment of noise and the application of mitigation for minerals and mining sites, a qualification he has held since September 2014.

Mr. Lafon-Anthony has worked in acoustics since January 1981. Initially as an engineer designing and overseeing manufacture of noise control equipment for the water industry, standby power diesel generator and power generation markets for several noise control equipment manufacturers and, since February 2004, as an environmental noise consultant in various sectors, including mineral and mining sites, waste disposal and recycling sites, large industrial developments, energy supply projects (EfW, STOR and Battery Energy Storage and Solar Farm sites) and residential developments in the UK, Europe and sub-Saharan Africa.

APPENDIX A – Baseline Noise Data

Table B-1: Baseline Noise Data - No.73 Pen Green Lane - Midweek Daytime

Time	L _{Aeq} (dB)	L _{AFMax} (dB)	L _{A90} (dB)	L _{A10} (dB)
02/02/2023 10:15	43.8	64.1	40.9	44.5
02/02/2023 10:30	46.7	65.0	41.5	49.7
02/02/2023 10:45	45.4	71.2	41.9	46.3
02/02/2023 11:00	46.1	63.8	41.6	48.8
02/02/2023 11:15	43.8	61.0	41.3	45.2
02/02/2023 11:30	46.4	61.2	42.0	48.8
02/02/2023 11:45	43.0	57.2	41.0	44.6
02/02/2023 12:00	45.5	67.1	40.7	46.2
02/02/2023 12:15	46.3	68.9	40.7	45.2
02/02/2023 12:30	46.3	71.8	40.0	48.6
02/02/2023 12:45	47.5	65.2	42.7	48.4
02/02/2023 13:00	45.6	62.0	41.7	47.7
02/02/2023 13:15	51.0	62.6	43.1	52.9
02/02/2023 13:30	49.7	75.6	42.7	48.9
02/02/2023 13:45	46.0	72.4	41.3	45.2
Overall	46.7	75.6	41.5	47.4

Table B-2: Baseline Noise Data – No.73 Pen Green Lane - Midweek Night-time

Time	L _{Aeq} (dB)	L _{AFMax} (dB)	L _{A90} (dB)	L _{A10} (dB)
03/02/2023 02:15	35.1	45.8	32.7	36.5
03/02/2023 02:30	34.2	50.7	32.5	35.7
03/02/2023 02:45	36.6	56.2	34.0	38.1
03/02/2023 03:00	37.3	54.8	34.9	38.8
03/02/2023 03:15	35.9	51.1	33.4	37.7
03/02/2023 03:30	36.5	52.0	34.1	38.6
03/02/2023 03:45	38.5	58.9	34.7	39.6
Overall	36.5	58.9	33.8	37.9

Table B-3: Baseline Noise Data – No.73 Pen Green Lane - Weekend Daytime

Time	L _{Aeq} (dB)	L _{AFMax} (dB)	L _{A90} (dB)	L _{A10} (dB)
05/02/2023 07:00	44.5	64.1	40.6	46.8
05/02/2023 07:15	49.5	61.9	42.0	53.5
05/02/2023 07:30	44.5	61.6	40.6	46.8
05/02/2023 07:45	43.9	58.5	40.6	46.0
05/02/2023 08:00	43.8	56.9	40.9	46.0
05/02/2023 08:15	43.1	58.3	40.8	44.6
05/02/2023 08:30	44.0	67.6	40.5	45.8

05/02/2023 08:45	43.5	62.3	40.4	45.7
05/02/2023 09:00	46.4	67.7	40.8	45.8
05/02/2023 09:15	44.6	63.2	41.6	46.0
05/02/2023 09:30	45.6	62.1	40.8	47.4
05/02/2023 09:45	43.8	66.9	40.9	45.2
05/02/2023 10:00	44.8	66.9	40.0	47.3
05/02/2023 10:15	44.3	60.9	39.6	47.1
05/02/2023 10:30	43.8	59.9	40.1	45.3
05/02/2023 10:45	44.8	61.1	40.9	46.9
05/02/2023 11:00	47.1	69.6	38.4	45.3
05/02/2023 11:15	44.0	69.5	38.8	45.0
05/02/2023 11:30	47.6	61.1	41.6	50.4
05/02/2023 11:45	49.0	83.4	41.3	47.5
05/02/2023 12:00	45.9	66.8	41.2	46.6
05/02/2023 12:15	44.3	68.6	39.7	45.3
05/02/2023 12:30	47.1	72.6	39.0	47.4
05/02/2023 12:45	47.4	62.5	40.2	49.6
05/02/2023 13:00	45.2	69.1	40.2	46.3
05/02/2023 13:15	44.0	69.2	38.4	44.1
05/02/2023 13:30	46.4	69.5	39.8	48.6
05/02/2023 13:45	46.4	72.4	38.8	46.8
Overall	45.7	83.4	40.3	46.8

Table B-4: Baseline Noise Data – No.73 Pen Green Lane - Weekend Night-time

Time	L _{Aeq} (dB)	L _{AFMax} (dB)	L _{A90} (dB)	L _{A10} (dB)
05/02/2023 02:15	40.9	52.8	37.7	43.4
05/02/2023 02:30	46.7	75.0	39.5	46.2
05/02/2023 02:45	42.2	50.7	39.1	44.3
05/02/2023 03:00	42.3	51.0	38.7	44.7
05/02/2023 03:15	42.7	50.5	39.2	45.2
05/02/2023 03:30	42.7	50.7	39.1	45.4
05/02/2023 03:45	42.0	48.8	38.9	44.2
Overall	43.2	75.0	38.9	44.8

APPENDIX B – Noise Contour Plot

Figure B-1: Noise Contour Plot – 117,500tpa




Figure B-2: Noise Contour Plot – 200,000tpa





Enzygo specialise in a wide range of technical services:

Property and Sites

Waste and Mineral Planning

Flooding, Drainage and Hydrology

Landscape Architecture

Arboriculture

Permitting and Regulation

Waste Technologies and Renewables

Waste Contract Procurement

Noise and Vibration

Ecology Services

Contaminated Land and Geotechnical

Traffic and Transportation

Planning Services

BRISTOL

The Byre
Woodend Lane
Cromhall
Gloucestershire
GL12 8AA
Tel: 01454 269 237

SHEFFIELD

Samuel House
5 Fox Valley Way
Stocksbridge
Sheffield S36 2AA
Tel: 0114 321 5151

MANCHESTER

Ducie House
Ducie Street
Manchester
M1 2JW
Tel: 0161 413 6444

CARDIFF

Regus House
Malthouse Avenue
Cardiff Gate Business Park
CF23 8RU
Tel: 02920 023 700

Please visit our website for more information.

enzygo.com

GPP

GP PLANNING LTD

GP PLANNING LTD

Mr Christian Smith DipTP MRTPI MCMi
Miss Maureen Darrie BSc (Hons) MRTPI

Registered in England
Number 6019666

Registered Office:
iCon Innovation Centre, Eastern Way,
Daventry, Northamptonshire, NN11 0QB

