SITE CONDITION REPORT TEMPLATE

For full details, see H5 *SCR guide for applicants* v2.0 4 August 2008

**COMPLETE SECTIONS 1-3 AND SUBMIT WITH APPLICATION**

**DURING THE LIFE OF THE PERMIT: MAINTAIN SECTIONS 4-7**

**AT SURRENDER: ADD NEW DOC REFERENCE IN 1.0; COMPLETE SECTIONS 8-10; & SUBMIT WITH YOUR SURRENDER APPLICATION.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **1.0 SITE DETAILS** | |  |
| Name of the applicant | Greenway Environmental Ltd | |
| Activity address | Medway City Estate, Enterprise Close, Rochester, Kent, ME2 4LY | |
| National grid reference | 575004 169611 | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Document reference and dates for Site Condition Report at permit application and surrender | No previous report, permit being varied by new operators. Our report reference is **129-003719** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Document references for site plans (including location and boundaries) | SPROC003A  SPROC003B  SPROC003C  SPROC003D |

**Note:**

In Part A of the application form you must give us details of the site’s location and provide us with a site plan. We need a detailed site plan (or plans) showing:

* Site location, the area covered by the site condition report, and the location and nature of the activities and/or waste facilities on the site.
* Locations of receptors, sources of emissions/releases, and monitoring points.
* Site drainage.
* Site surfacing.

If this information is not shown on the site plan required by Part A of the application form then you should submit the additional plan or plans with this site condition report.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue** | | |
| Environmental setting including:   * geology * hydrogeology * surface waters | | Geological records indicate that the site is underlain by Head Deposits, which  overlies the combination of Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation, Seaford Chalk  Formation and Newhaven Chalk formation. The Head Deposits consist of clay and  silt.  The Head Deposits have been classified by the Environment Agency as Unproductive  Strata.  The Lewes Nodular, Seaford and Newhaven Chalk Formations have been classified  as Principal Aquifers.  The nearest surface water features are Whitewall Creek and River Medway  approximately 330m North and 460m South West, respectively. Further to this,  maps show that the River Medway also lays approximately 570m to South East. |
| Pollution history including:   * pollution incidents that may have affected land * historical land-uses and associated contaminants * any visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination * evidence of damage to pollution prevention measures | | **N/A** |
| Evidence of historic contamination, for example, historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available) | | **N/A** |
| Baseline soil and groundwater reference data | | As per report 129-003179 |
| **Supporting information** | * Source information identifying environmental setting and pollution incidents * Historical Ordnance Survey plans * Site reconnaissance * Historical investigation / assessment / remediation / verification reports * Baseline soil and groundwater reference data | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3.0 Permitted activities** | |
| Permitted activities | Attached to permit variation application WP3036ZR |
| Non-permitted activities undertaken | N/A |
| Document references for:   * plan showing activity layout; and * environmental risk assessment. | Attached to permit variation application WP3036ZR |

**Note:**

In Part B of the application form you must tell us about the activities that you will undertake at the site. You must also give us an environmental risk assessment. This risk assessment must be based on our guidance (*Environmental Risk Assessment - EPR H1*) or use an equivalent approach.

It is essential that you identify in your environmental risk assessment all the substances used and produced that could pollute the soil or groundwater if there were an accident, or if measures to protect land fail.

These include substances that would be classified as ‘dangerous’ under the Control of Major Accident Hazards (COMAH) regulations and also raw materials, fuels, intermediates, products, wastes and effluents.

If your submitted environmental risk assessment does not adequately address the risks to soil and groundwater we may need to request further information from you or even refuse your permit application.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 4.0 Changes to the activity | | |
| Have there been any changes to the activity boundary? | | If yes, provide a plan showing the changes to the activity boundary. - NO |
| Have there been any changes to the permitted activities? | | If yes, provide a description of the changes to the permitted activities – YES. Removal of clinical waste treatment activities, addition of hazardous waste transfer activities including storage, bulking and repackaging, battery sorting, container washing, and non hazardous waste sorting. As per document ref ROC002 |
| Have any ‘dangerous substances’ not identified in the Application Site Condition Report been used or produced as a result of the permitted activities? | | If yes, list of them – 2 x List 2 records to the North of the site (NOT relating to site activities) relating to Iron and pH release to the Medway Estuary authorised by Rochester upon Medway Council as per section 4.5.3 of the supplied SCR |
| Checklist of supporting information | * Plan showing any changes to the boundary (where relevant) * Description of the changes to the permitted activities (where relevant) * List of ‘dangerous substances’ used/produced by the permitted activities that were not identified in the Application Site Condition Report (where relevant) | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5.0 Measures taken to protect land | |
| Use records that you collected during the life of the permit to summarise whether pollution prevention measures worked. If you can’t, you need to collect land and/or groundwater data to assess whether the land has deteriorated. | |
| Checklist of supporting information | * Inspection records and summary of findings of inspections for all pollution prevention measures * Records of maintenance, repair and replacement of pollution prevention measures |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 6.0 Pollution incidents that may have had an impact on land, and their remediation | |
| Summarise any pollution incidents that may have damaged the land. Describe how you investigated and remedied each one. If you can’t, you need to collect land and /or groundwater reference data to assess whether the land has deteriorated while you’ve been there. – 10 Records of pollution incidents within 500m of the site boundary, none have been recorded as having any significant impact on land or water. None involving Greenway Environmental Ltd therefore no records supplied other than our baseline SCR | |
| Checklist of supporting information | * Records of pollution incidents that may have impacted on land * Records of their investigation and remediation |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where undertaken) | |
| Provide details of any soil gas and/or water monitoring you did. Include a summary of the findings. Say whether it shows that the land deteriorated as a result of the permitted activities. If it did, outline how you investigated and remedied this.- Our report shows that the previous operators (and current permit pre-variation) have had no impact during their activities on the ground conditions. Tests for metals, phenols, PCB’s, PAH, VOC’s and SVOC’s, were all found to be well within limits, or below detection limits as per report 129-003179 submitted with this document | |
| Checklist of supporting information | * Description of soil gas and/or water monitoring undertaken * Monitoring results (including graphs) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk | |
| Describe how the site was decommissioned. Demonstrate that all sources of pollution risk have been removed. Describe whether the decommissioning had any impact on the land. Outline how you investigated and remedied this. – Site is not being decommissioned, continued operation under varied permit activities as described previously | |
| Checklist of supporting information | * Site closure plan * List of potential sources of pollution risk * Investigation and remediation reports (where relevant) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant) | |
| Say whether you had to collect land and/or groundwater data. Or say that you didn’t need to because the information from sections 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the Surrender Site Condition Report shows that the land has not deteriorated.  If you did collect land and/or groundwater reference data, summarise what this entailed, and what your data found. Say whether the data shows that the condition of the land has deteriorated, or whether the land at the site is in a “satisfactory state”. If it isn’t, summarise what you did to remedy this. Confirm that the land is now in a “satisfactory state” at surrender. – N/A | |
| Checklist of supporting information | * Land and/or groundwater data collected at application (if collected) * Land and/or groundwater data collected at surrender (where needed) * Assessment of satisfactory state * Remediation and verification reports (where undertaken) |

|  |
| --- |
| 10.0 Statement of site condition |
| Using the information from sections 3 to 7, give a statement about the condition of the land at the site. This should confirm that:   * the permitted activities have stopped * decommissioning is complete, and the pollution risk has been removed * the land is in a satisfactory condition. – N/A Land is in good condition and suitable to continue activities with suitable safety measures applied. |