



**Document 3.1- ES Volume 2
Appendix 5.4 Air Quality Assessment
of Ecological Impacts**

**The Kemsley Mill K4 Combined Heat and
Power Generating Station Development
Consent Order**

**Planning Act 2008 The Infrastructure Planning
(Applications: Prescribed Forms and
Procedure) Regulations 2009
Regulation 5(2)q**

April 2018 - Submission Version

PINS Ref: EN010090

Appendix 5.4: Assessment of Ecological Impacts

European and nationally designated nature conservation sites, within 10 km and 2 km of the Application Site have been identified:

- The Swale Special Protection Area (SPA);
- Medway Estuary and Marshes SPA;
- Thames Estuary and Marshes SPA; and
- Queendown Warren Special Area of Conservation (SAC).

Critical Levels

Critical levels are maximum atmospheric concentrations of pollutants for the protection of vegetation and ecosystems and are specified within relevant European air quality directives and corresponding UK air quality regulations. PCs and PECs of NO_x have been calculated for comparison with the 30 µg.m⁻³ critical level. Background NO_x concentrations at each designated site have been derived from the UK Air Pollution Information System (APIS) database [1].

Critical Loads

Critical loads refer to the quantity of pollutant deposited, below which significant harmful effects on sensitive elements of the environment do not occur, according to present knowledge.

Critical Loads – Nutrient N Deposition

Percentage contributions to nutrient nitrogen deposition have been derived from the results of the ADMS dispersion modelling. Deposition rates have been calculated using empirical methods recommended by the Environment Agency, as follows:

1. The dry deposition flux (µg.m⁻².s⁻¹) has been calculated by multiplying the ground level NO₂ concentrations (µg.m⁻³) by the deposition velocity of 0.003 m.s⁻¹ for forests/tall habitats and 0.003 m.s⁻¹ for forests.
2. Units of µg.m⁻².s⁻¹ have been converted to units of kg.ha⁻¹.year⁻¹ by multiplying the dry deposition flux by the standard conversion factor of 96 for NO_x.
3. Predicted contributions to nitrogen deposition have been calculated and compared with the relevant critical load range for the habitat types associated with the designated site. These have been derived from the APIS database.

Critical Levels – Acidification

The acid deposition rate, in equivalents keq.ha⁻¹.year⁻¹, has been calculated by multiplying the dry deposition flux (kg.ha⁻¹.year⁻¹) by a conversion factor of 0.071428 for N. This takes into account the degree to which a chemical species is acidifying, calculated as the proportion of N within the molecule.

Wet deposition in the near field is not significant compared with dry deposition for N [2] and therefore for the purposes of this assessment, wet deposition has not been considered.

Predicted contributions to acid deposition have been calculated and compared with the minimum critical load function for the habitat types associated with the designated site as derived from the APIS database.

Significance Criteria

Maximum PC and PEC of NO_x and N/acid deposition have been compared against the relevant EQS for the relevant habitat type/interest feature. As per the Environment Agency's guidelines [3], the following hierarchy of assessment has been followed:

If PC < 1% (<100% for LNR/NNR) of relevant EQS the emission is considered not significant;

If PC > 1% but the resulting PEC < 70% (European and SSSI sites) of the relevant EQS, the emission is not considered significant;

If PC > 1% and PEC > 70% (for European and SSSI sites) the emission is considered to result a potentially significant result and further, more detailed assessment undertaken to determine likely significant effect.

Further, as per EA 2007 [4]: *"Where the concentration within the emission footprint in any part of the European site(s) is less than 1% of the relevant long-term benchmark (EAL, Critical Level or Critical Load), the emission is not likely to have a significant effect alone or in combination, irrespective of the background levels"*.

Where *potentially* significant impacts have been identified, the impacts have been passed to the project's ecologist to allow the significance of the likely effect to be determined. See Chapter 10 of the ES for the assessment of ecological effects resulting from the Proposed Development.

Results

The ambient NO_x concentrations and existing deposition rates have been obtained from APIS. The highest deposition rates have been obtained taking into account the various habitats across the sites. The lowest critical loads for nitrogen deposition and the nitrogen component for acid deposition have been also obtained from APIS.

The maximum predicted annual-mean NO_x concentrations are compared with the critical level in Table C1. The maximum predicted nutrient N deposition rates are compared with the critical load in Table C2. The maximum predicted acid deposition rates are compared with the critical load function in Table C3.

Table C1 Predicted Annual-Mean NOx Concentrations at Designated Habitat Sites

| Habitat Site | CL ($\mu\text{g.m}^{-3}$) | PC ($\mu\text{g.m}^{-3}$) | PC/CL (%) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| The Swale | 30 | 0.7 (0.8) | 2 (3) |
| Medway Estuary and Marshes | | 0.1 (0.1) | 0 (0) |
| Thames Estuary and Marshes | | 0.1 (0.1) | 0 (0) |
| Queendown Warren | | <0.05 (<0.05) | 0 (0) |

NOx Critical Level is $30 \mu\text{g.m}^{-3}$ (irrespective of interest feature)

Table C2 Predicted Nutrient N Deposition at Designated Habitat Sites

| Habitat Site | Interest Feature | CL ($\text{kgN.ha}^{-1}.\text{yr}^{-1}$) | AC ($\text{kgN.ha}^{-1}.\text{yr}^{-1}$) | PC ($\text{kgN.ha}^{-1}.\text{yr}^{-1}$) | PC/CL (%) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|-----------|
| The Swale | Breeding Lapwing | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Ringed plover | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Eurasian reed warbler | 15 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Eurasian curlew | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Reed bunting | 15 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Dark-bellied brent goose | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Common shelduck | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Eurasian teal | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Mallard | Not available | 14.2 | 0.1 | - |
| | Common moorhen | Not available | 9.78 | 0.1 | - |
| | Gadwall | Not available | 9.78 | 0.1 | - |
| | Grey plover | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Dunlin | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| | Common coot | Not available | 9.78 | 0.1 | - |
| | Common redshank | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 |
| Eurasian oystercatcher | 20 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 1 | |
| Medway Estuary and Marshes | Common tern | 8 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Red-throated diver | Not sensitive | 10.83 | 0.01 | - |
| | Eurasian curlew | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|------|---|
| | Common greenshank | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Little tern | 10 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Hen harrier | 15 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Merlin | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Ringed plover | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Short-eared owl | Not available | Not available | 0.01 | - |
| | Great crested grebe | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Great cormorant | Not available | 13.21 | 0.01 | - |
| | Dark-bellied brent goose | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Eurasian teal | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Mallard | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Northern shoveler | Not available | 13.21 | 0.01 | - |
| | Common shelduck | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Eurasian wigeon | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Northern pintail | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Common pochard | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Eurasian oystercatcher | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Pied avocet | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Grey plover | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Red knot | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Dunlin | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Black-tailed godwit | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Common redshank | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Ruddy turnstone | 20 | 13.21 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Tundra swan | Not sensitive | 13.21 | 0.01 | - |
| | Common kingfisher | Not available | 10.83 | 0.01 | - |
| Thames Estuary and Marshes | Ringed plover | 10 | 12.05 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Hen harrier | 10 | 12.05 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Pied avocet | 20 | 12.05 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Grey plover | 20 | 12.05 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Red knot | 20 | 12.05 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Dunlin | 20 | 12.05 | 0.01 | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------|---|----|-------|--------|---|
| | Black-tailed godwit | 20 | 12.05 | 0.01 | 0 |
| | Common redshank | 20 | 12.05 | 0.01 | 0 |
| Queendown Warren | Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates | 15 | 15.4 | <0.005 | 0 |

Table C3 Predicted Acid Deposition at Designated Habitat Sites

| Habitat Site | Interest Feature | CLF C _{lmax} N (keq.ha ⁻¹ .yr ⁻¹) | PC (keq.ha ⁻¹ .yr ⁻¹) | PC/CLF (%) |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|------------|
| The Swale | Breeding Lapwing | 4.856 | 0.01 | 1 |
| | Eurasian curlew | 4.856 | 0.01 | 1 |
| | Common redshank | 4.856 | 0.01 | 1 |
| Medway Estuary and Marshes | Common tern | 4.856 | 0.001 | 0 |
| | Eurasian curlew | 4.856 | 0.001 | 0 |
| | Little tern | 4.856 | 0.001 | 0 |
| Thames Estuary and Marshes | Ringed plover | 4.856 | 0.001 | 0 |
| Queendown Warren | Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates | 4.856 | <0.0005 | 0 |

Note: CLF = Critical Load Function

The maximum NO_x PC is below 1% of the critical level for all habitat sites except the Swale SPA and the effects can be screened out as insignificant. For The Swale SPA, when the PC for K2, K3 and K4 is added to the ambient concentration of 12.3 µg.m⁻³, the PEC is 14.2 µg.m⁻³ which is only 47% of the critical level. On that basis the effects at The Swale can also be screened out as insignificant.

The maximum N deposition PC is below 1% of the critical load for all habitat sites and the effects can be screened out as insignificant.

The maximum acid deposition PC is below 1% of the critical load for all habitat sites and the effects can be screened out as insignificant.

-
- 1 Air Pollution Information Systems, www.apis.ac.uk
 - 2 Approaches to modelling local nitrogen deposition and concentrations in the context of Natura 2000 - Topic 4
 - 3 Environment Agency (2012a) Operational Instruction 66_12 Simple assessment of the impact of aerial emissions from new or expanding IPPC regulated Industry for impacts on nature conservation. EA.

Environment Agency (2012b) Operational Instruction 67_12 Detailed assessment of the impact of aerial emissions from new and expanding IPPC regulated industry for impacts on nature conservation. EA.
 - 4 Environment Agency, 2007, Stage 1 and 2 Assessment of new PIR permissions under the Habitat Regulations.