

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc:
Subject: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application CRM:0163039 - Follow Up
Date: 28 August 2024 15:03:00
Attachments: [RE EPRXP3632QEV003 We Need More Information About Your Application CRM0163039 - Follow Up.msg](#)
[Proposed Scenarios - Feltwell Farm and Airfield Farm - V003 - 28082024.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.jpg](#)

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for the additional information you have provided in relation to the temporary use of Airfield Farm. With regards to your email yesterday detailing the phased approach, we have summarised our understanding of the three scenarios, where there are possibilities over overlap in operations, into the attached document 'Proposed scenarios – Feltwell Farm and Airfield Farm – V003 - 28082024'. This consists of:

- (Interim) scenario one – Production pigs in existing houses 1, 6 and 7 at Feltwell Farm and 4,874 production pigs in houses 1 and 2 at Airfield Farm. Plus, associated slurry and manure storage.
- (Interim) scenario two – 4,874 production pigs in houses 1 and 2 at Airfield Farm and 7,000 production pigs in new houses 1-7 at Feltwell Farm. Plus, associated slurry and manure storage.
- Scenario three – 14,000 production pigs in new houses 1-14 at Feltwell Farm and 870,000 broilers in houses 1-20 at Methwold Farm. Plus, associated slurry and manure storage.

Please review and complete the document, and make any amendments required.

In addition, please provide the following further information:

1. We have assumed that houses 1, 6 & 7 on the 'old' Feltwell Farm will stock only Production pigs >30kg on solid floor – straw system. **Please update the document attached if this is incorrect with the proposed livestock types and numbers of each livestock type.**
2. We have presumed that lagoons A & B will be used during the interim period unless told otherwise. You have included an emission point for Airfield Farm for '*offsite emission to slurry lagoon B east side of pig house 1 as shown on the Airfield Fm, scale 1:480 site drainage plan included with application EPR/XP3632QE/V003*' in addition you have confirmed that the source for this is '*slurry from pig houses 1 and 2 via reception pits and via a solid underground pipe*'. Please confirm if any slurry will be transferred to Lagoon B during the interim operating scenario and if so, how will the slurry be transferred to Lagoon B, is this via tanker or via an underground pipe network? **If it is via underground pipe, please indicate this on the site boundary**

plan showing the location of the pipe network and temporarily include this in the installation boundary.

3. Please confirm if Methwold Farm is proposed to be redeveloped and stocked in phases (like Feltwell farm) during the interim period or if the site is to be redeveloped completely before any broilers are stocked? **Please provide further details on any proposed phases of stocking at Methwold by adding this to the attached document as appropriate.**

Please can we ask you to provide this information by **4th September 2024**.

Many thanks in advance,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Permitting Officer – Installations

National Permitting Service | Part of Operations – Regulation, Monitoring & Customer Environment Agency | [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Please accept my thanks for your email in advance, I have made a commitment to stop sending e-mails that just say thank you. This will help me to reduce my carbon footprint <https://carbonliteracy.com/the-carbon-cost-of-an-email/>

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 5:09 PM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Subject: RE: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application
CRM:0163039 - Follow Up

Hi [Redacted]

Submitted

Application variation supporting information for Feltwell Fm 27082024

Application variation Airfield Fm scale 1_480 drainage plan 27082024

Added additional table of emission points at Airfield Fm in supporting information on p10. Created a site layout and drainage plan showing emission points using an existing drawing from farm records drawn to scale on 2 pages. Tricky to draw and present in A4 owing to being elongated and relatively narrow but does accurately include all the drainage

infrastructure.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2024 9:19 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application
CRM:0163039 - Follow Up

Hi [REDACTED]

Many thanks for your quick interim response – much appreciated.

We will continue with our checks based on the responses you have provided below and will await the plans for Airfield Farm and revised Q7 Emissions to air, water, and land for emissions to air and into soakaways at Airfield Fm in the supporting information document from yourselves in due course.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2024 11:26 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application
CRM:0163039 - Follow Up

Hi [REDACTED]

Responses in your text below in [blue](#). So checking can continue on the document, ammonia report and files.

Otherwise please bear with me for a few days more to create a layout and drainage plan for Airfield Fm. Latter will also require me to update Q7 Emissions to air, water, and land for emissions to air and into soakaways at Airfield Fm in the supporting information document, but should be achieved well ahead of the response date.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, August 12, 2024 5:14 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application CRM:0163039
- Follow Up

Hi [REDACTED]

Many thanks for sending over your response to the request for further information – very much appreciated.

We have been reviewing the response and just wanted to check a few things with you in relation to the proposal to retaining Airfield Farm within the permit – please can you confirm the following:

- In your response you refer to submitting a revised '*supporting information to vary an intensive farming permit for proposed development at Feltwell Farm Pig Unit*' which includes updates to Q2b *Summary of proposed change* to include lagoon A and confirmation Airfield Fm must be preserved in the application to vary permit. Attached is the version received in the response but we cannot see that the changes stated above are reflected in this version. We wanted to confirm with you if the attached version had been superseded as the document advises '*At Airfield Fm already ceased rearing pigs so propose to remove from the permit all 4,874 places for production pigs >30kg. Will not be used for rearing pigs, but not proposing to surrender any part of the permit*'.

Could you confirm if the attached version has been superseded. If so, please can you submit a copy of the updated version with a statement confirming that the stocking of 4,874 production pigs >30kg at Airfield Farm is a temporary measure whilst the demolition and construction phases take place at Feltwell Farm and that following completion of the re-development at Feltwell Farm, no livestock will be housed at Airfield Farm.

Apologies but I had updated the Word document but not saved as a pdf. Submitted revised version for:

Supporting information to vary an intensive farming permit for proposed development at Feltwell Farm Pig Unit 13082024' attached.

In Q2b *Summary of proposed change* includes confirmation to "*Continue stocking 4,874 production pigs >30kg at Airfield Farm is a temporary measure whilst the demolition and construction phases take place at Feltwell Farm and that following completion of the re-development at Feltwell Farm, no livestock will be housed at Airfield Farm.*" Highlighted all the changes.

- We have reviewed the revised ammonia modelling submitted and note that the proposal now includes the addition of the temporary measure to stock 4,874

production pigs >30kg at Airfield Farm. Please can you confirm that this addition has also been reflected in the revised ammonia modelling files that have been submitted?

Modelling report and files include 4,874 pigs temporary stocked at Airfield during demolition and construction phase at Feltwell Fm.

- As the proposal is to continue to use Airfield Farm temporarily, please can you provide up to date copies of the site layout and site drainage plans for Airfield Farm. The copies that we have are currently hand drawn and span over multiple A4 pages and it would be beneficial for the purposes of this application to have the plans so that they each fit onto one page.

Requested Wayland send me surface water and dirty water drainage plans for Airfield Fm. Will take a few days longer to create a revised plan using a planning app with drainage routes and emission points. Latter will require me to update Q7 Emissions to air, water, and land in the supporting information to add additional soakaways.

Please can we ask that you send us your response by **Tuesday 27th August 2024**. Please do let us know if you need any further clarity on the above.

Many thanks,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Permitting Officer – Installations

National Permitting Service | Part of Operations – Regulation, Monitoring & Customer

Environment Agency | [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Please accept my thanks for your email in advance, I have made a commitment to stop sending e-mails that just say thank you. This will help me to reduce my carbon footprint <https://carbonliteracy.com/the-carbon-cost-of-an-email/>

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Monday, August 5, 2024 6:26 PM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Subject: FW: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application

CRM:0163039

Hi [REDACTED]

The updated OS plan for the permit including lagoon A attached this time. Please replace the plan emailed with all the other information on Friday last week. Just realised I'd scanned it to use in the management plans but not saved it as an up-to-date pdf to be included in the permit.

Kind regards
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, August 5, 2024 6:23 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application
CRM:0163039

Hi [REDACTED]

The updated OS plan for the permit including lagoon A attached. Please replace the plan emailed with all the other information on Friday last week. Just realised I'd scanned it to use in the management plans but not saved it as an up-to-date pdf to be included in the permit.

Kind regards
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, August 2, 2024 5:49 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application
CRM:0163039

Hi [REDACTED]

'EA modelling files' via Google WeTransfer still larger than server allows for email. Please let me know when you have received them.

Kind regards
[REDACTED]

From: Karl Collett <k.collett420@btinternet.com>

Sent: Friday, August 2, 2024 5:46 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application
CRM:0163039

Hi [REDACTED]

Response to EA request for more information 22/07/2024 and associated updated documents, attached.

For quick reference not relying on demonstrating a reduction in EF result of reducing crude protein (below), having identified the correct EF to be used.

Sending 'EA modelling files' in separate email otherwise package of attachments are larger than server allows.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2024 5:16 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application
CRM:0163039

Hi [REDACTED]

Many thanks for your email.

I can confirm that we can agree to the deadline extension of Friday 9th August. Please note that it is unlikely that further extension beyond this date will be agreed.

In relation to the emission factor for pigs 7-30kg on FSF with vacuum removal, when demonstrating a reduction to the average emission factor of 0.70kg NH3/animal place/yr (note: this is based on an average of the standard emission factors for 7-15kg and 15-30kg pigs on FSF with vacuum removal), you will need to provide evidence (e.g. diet sheets) of the actual crude protein percentage in the feed for each relevant weight range to support the reduction. The maximum reduction in emissions factors that we currently accept is 20% based on a crude protein reduction of 2% from the levels on which the standard emission factors are based on. Any emission factor reduction greater than 20% would require evidence to demonstrate this, which would then need further consideration for approval.

In addition to the above, you could also review occupancy levels in the housing. The average emission factor of 0.70kg NH₃/animal place/yr for 7-30kg pigs on FSF with vacuum removal assumes 100% occupancy and does not account for any downtime for cleaning etc.

Please do let me know if you have any further questions.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 5:50 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application
CRM:0163039

Hi [REDACTED]

Not forgotten and would like to ask for extension of the deadline to another week to Friday 9th August please.

To complete the revised modelling and reporting and update plans externally.

Work is progressing on revised ammonia modelling. Conducted a site survey last week to confirm depth of all the slurry pits <800mm and slurry could be removed every 10 weeks, if all the existing houses were in use. Have instructed Redmore's to revise the modelling with updated emission factors as instructed for pigs >30kg, and identified a likely appropriate EF to be used for the small pigs 7-30kg from the BREF of 0.50 so not using the BAT-AEL 0.53. Alternatively working on demonstrating we might justify using 0.46 based on reducing crude protein in diets by as much as 3.5% in recent years. Site layout and drainage plans to be updated to enclose lagoon A inside the installation boundary. Expert drawing will ensure all the labelling on these very large plans remains legible. Otherwise collected all the other information required, including a drive-by to confirm the sensitive receptors at Feltwell Fm.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 5:14 PM
To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application
CRM:0163039

Dear [REDACTED]

I just wanted to send a gentle reminder for the below additional request for further information following review of the not duly made response. The deadline for submission of the further information is Friday 2nd August.

Please do let us know if you need any further clarity on the below.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Permitting Officer – Installations

**National Permitting Service | Part of Operations – Regulation, Monitoring & Customer
Environment Agency** [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



Please accept my thanks for your email in advance, I have made a commitment to stop sending e-mails that just say thank you. This will help me to reduce my carbon footprint <https://carbonliteracy.com/the-carbon-cost-of-an-email/>

From: SM-Defra-RESP-notifications (DEFRA) <RESP-notifications@defra.gov.uk>

Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:09 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: EPR/XP3632QE/V003 We Need More Information About Your Application CRM:0163039

Dear [REDACTED]

Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016

Application reference: EPR/XP3632QE/V003

Operator: WAYLAND FARMS LIMITED

Facility: Methwold Farm Pig Unit - EPR/XP3632QE, Methwold Group,

Methwold, IP26 4RJ

Thank you for your application received on 08/11/2023.

Following our review of your not duly made response, we need to ask for further clarification on the below before we can do any more work on your application. We need further information on the following:

1. Slurry lagoon A

Slurry lagoon A is currently outside of the installation boundary for Feltwell Farm – as you are proposing to use this lagoon, and it has been included in the ammonia modelling, please include it within the installation boundary assuming this is land owned by the operator.

- a. If land is owned by the operator, please extend the boundary to include this area of land on both the site boundary plan and the site layout & drainage plan, and other documents as required.
- b. If the slurry lagoon is not owned by the operator, please confirm this. If there is agreement by a third party for it to be used as slurry storage capacity, please confirm this. If slurry lagoon A is owned by a third party, please confirm whether the installation would be the sole user of slurry lagoon A or if not please confirm the % of liquor from the installation relative to full capacity of the lagoon.

2. Feltwell Farm OMP, NMP and DMP

On our mapping system there appear to be two receptors within 100m of the installation boundary that have not been included. They are located at approximate grid references: TL 73040 92824 and TL 73037 92804. Please either include these receptors within the management plans or provide the reason why they have been excluded.

3. Evaporative cooling units on Methwold (Breckland) Farm poultry unit

In the not duly made response (in response to Question 4) it advises that *no chemicals or disinfectant to be used for any cleaning will enter into the water circulation system and evaporate into air stream.*

Please could you confirm if the evaporative cooling units have an emissions to air point? If so, please can these be included as emissions to air points under Question 7 within the Methwold Supporting Information document.

4. Ammonia Modelling

You have advised in the ammonia modelling that pigs >30kg at Feltwell Farm are housed on fully slatted floor (FSF) systems. In addition, you have also advised that Pigs 7-30kg at Feltwell Farm are also housed on fully slatted floor (FSF) systems.

In your response to our duly making questions (in response to Question 2 g & h) you advise that the Operator complies with BAT 30 for this housing by using frequent slurry and manure removal to external storage.

Please conduct a revised ammonia modelling assessment and submit a revised report that uses appropriate emission factors (EF's) (not BAT-AELs) for pigs on Fully Slatted Floor systems that comply with the BAT-AEL's for existing housing (please see below for further details).

For pigs >30kg at Feltwell Farm that are currently housed on fully slatted

floor (FSF) systems please advise on the following:

A. If using frequent slurry and manure removal to external storage

- i. Which narrative BAT 30 measure the Operator uses for frequent slurry removal (Techniques a1, a3 and a4 reference methods for frequent slurry removal).
- ii. Please confirm if the frequent slurry removal for the pigs >30kg at Feltwell Farm housed on fully slatted floor (FSF) systems meets the following criteria: Please confirm if the depth of the slurry pit below the slats is less than 800mm and that the slurry is removed at least every 10 weeks or less.

Note – if the frequent slurry removal meets the above criteria your revised ammonia modelling should use an emission factor of 2 kg NH₃/animal place/year for pigs > 30kg on FSF with frequent slurry removal which would meet the required BAT-AEL.

Please note: vacuum removal does not refer to a mechanically created vacuum, rather the flow of slurry when sluices are opened creating a temporary vacuum to draw the slurry out. Whether it flows this way or via gravity, when sufficient slurry is present to allow movement, should not make any difference.

or

B. If using a deep pit (in case of a fully or partly slatted floor) (technique a0)

- i. If the existing housing for pigs >30kg at Feltwell Farm on FSF system utilises deep pit storage with infrequent slurry removal you will need to confirm which of the additional measures, e.g. a combination of nutritional management techniques, air cleaning system, pH reduction of the slurry, slurry cooling or other alternative measures, provide the equivalent ammonia reduction levels to meet the BAT-AEL. If alternative measures are employed, please provide justification of ammonia emission reduction levels.
- ii. Based on the additional measures used, you will need to demonstrate what reduction can be applied to the standard EF for FSF (4.14 kg NH₃/animal place/year) in order to meet the BAT-AEL for existing housing of 3.6 kg NH₃/animal place/year. Please provide evidence to support reduction below the BAT-AEL e.g. specific level of reduction in feed crude protein levels and confirmation evidence that these measures have been actioned over the last 5 years to support both BAT and an emissions reduction proposal.

Note - You will need to use your proposed emission factor (not the BAT-AEL) within the revised ammonia modelling assessment.

For pigs 7-30kg at Feltwell Farm that are housed on fully slatted floor (FSF) systems please advise on the following:

C. If using frequent slurry and manure removal to external storage

- i. Which narrative BAT 30 measure the Operator uses for frequent slurry removal (Techniques a1, a3 and a4 reference methods for frequent slurry removal).
- ii. Please confirm if the frequent slurry removal for the pigs 7-30kg at Feltwell Farm housed on fully slatted floor (FSF) systems meets the following criteria: Please confirm if the depth of the slurry pit below the slats is less than 800mm and that the slurry is removed at least every 10 weeks or less.
- iii. Please confirm what measures you use to demonstrate a reduction in ammonia emissions from the standard EF for FSF (0.94 kg NH₃/animal

place/year) in order to meet the BAT-AEL for existing housing of 0.7 kg NH₃/animal place/year.

Note - You will need to use your proposed emission factor (not the BAT-AEL) within the revised ammonia modelling assessment.

or

D. If using a deep pit (in case of a fully or partly slatted floor) (technique a0)

i. If the existing housing for pigs 7-30kg at Feltwell Farm on FSF system utilises deep pit storage with infrequent slurry removal you will need to confirm which of the additional measures, e.g. a combination of nutritional management techniques, air cleaning system, pH reduction of the slurry, slurry cooling or other alternative measures, provide equivalent ammonia reduction levels to meet the BAT-AEL. If alternative measures are employed, please provide justification of ammonia emission reduction levels.

ii. Based on the additional measures used, you will need to demonstrate what reduction can be applied to the standard EF for FSF (0.94 kg NH₃/animal place/year) in order to meet the BAT-AEL for existing housing of 0.7 kg NH₃/animal place/year. Please provide evidence to support reduction below the BAT-AEL, e.g. specific level of reduction in feed crude protein levels and confirmation evidence that these measures have been actioned over the last 5 years to support both BAT and an emissions reduction proposal.

Note - You will need to use your proposed emission factor (not the BAT-AEL) within the revised ammonia modelling assessment.

5. Ammonia Modelling Data Input Files

Once the changes have been made to the ammonia modelling assessment, please submit the revised modelling data input files.

Airfield Farm

It was stated in the not duly made response that although Airfield farm is not currently being used, it is proposed for it to be used temporarily for rearing 4,874 permitted production pigs >30kg until demolition and construction phases at Feltwell Farm are complete. Please be aware that should the variation to the permit be granted, then there cannot be any pigs at Airfield Farm from that point onwards. This is because the assessments are based upon livestock at Feltwell and Methwold Farms only.

Please reply directly to this email with your information and copy in [REDACTED]

Please send us the information by **2nd August 2024**.

If we do not receive this by this deadline we will need to return your application.

If we receive what is missing by the deadline, we will continue to check your application. We'll check to see if there's enough information for the application to be 'duly made'. Duly made means that we have all the information we need to begin determination. Determination is where we assess your application and decide if we can allow what you've asked for.

We'll let you know by email whether your application can be duly made. If it can't be duly made, we'll return your application to you.

If we do have to return your application we'll send you a partial refund of your application payment. We'll retain 20% of the application charge to cover our costs in reviewing your application. This maximum amount we'll retain is capped at £1,500. Further information on charging can be found at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permits-and-abstraction-licences-tables-of-charges>

Note: Our email system has a file size limit of 25MB, if your returns exceed this limit you will have to arrange an online file transfer. Please ensure the file transfer link does not have a time limit on it.

If you have any questions please phone myself or [REDACTED] or email [REDACTED] (please send the email to us both).

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Image removed by sender.

[REDACTED]
Image removed by sender.

[REDACTED]

Information in this message may be confidential and may be legally privileged. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify the sender immediately, delete it and do not copy it to anyone else. We have checked this email and its attachments for viruses. But you should still check any attachment before opening it. We may have to make this message and any reply to it public if asked to under the Freedom of Information Act, Data Protection Act or for litigation. Email messages and attachments sent to or from any Environment Agency address may also be accessed by someone other than the sender or recipient, for business purposes.

Information in this message may be confidential and may be legally privileged. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify the sender immediately, delete it and do not copy it to anyone else. We have checked this email and its attachments for viruses. But you should still check any attachment before opening it. We may have to make this message and any reply to it public if asked to under the Freedom of Information Act, Data Protection Act or for litigation. Email messages and attachments sent to or from any Environment Agency address may also be accessed by someone other than the sender or recipient, for business purposes.

Information in this message may be confidential and may be legally privileged. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify the sender immediately, delete it and do not copy it to anyone else. We have checked this email and its attachments for viruses. But you should still check any attachment before opening it. We may

have to make this message and any reply to it public if asked to under the Freedom of Information Act, Data Protection Act or for litigation. Email messages and attachments sent to or from any Environment Agency address may also be accessed by someone other than the sender or recipient, for business purposes.

Information in this message may be confidential and may be legally privileged. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify the sender immediately, delete it and do not copy it to anyone else. We have checked this email and its attachments for viruses. But you should still check any attachment before opening it. We may have to make this message and any reply to it public if asked to under the Freedom of Information Act, Data Protection Act or for litigation. Email messages and attachments sent to or from any Environment Agency address may also be accessed by someone other than the sender or recipient, for business purposes.