

# Bromsberrow North Sandpit: Environmental Monitoring Plan



31 January 2024



# Bromsberrow North Sandpit: Environmental Monitoring Plan

Prepared for

Allstone Sands Gravels Aggregates Trading Co. Ltd Allstone House Myers Road Gloucester GL1 3QD

Report reference: 331201261R7, January 2024 Report status: Final

#### CONFIDENTIAL

New Zealand House, 160-162 Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire SY2 6FD

Telephone: +44 (0)1743 276 100

Registered Office: Stantec UK Ltd Buckingham Court Kingsmead Business Park Frederick Place, London Road High Wycombe HP11 1JU Registered in England No. 1188070

#### Bromsberrow North Sandpit: Environmental Monitoring Plan

This report has been prepared by Stantec UK Ltd (Stantec) in its professional capacity as environmental specialists, with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the agreed scope and terms of contract and taking account of the manpower and resources devoted to it by agreement with its client and is provided by Stantec solely for the internal use of its client.

The advice and opinions in this report should be read and relied on only in the context of the report as a whole, taking account of the terms of reference agreed with the client. The findings are based on the information made available to Stantec at the date of the report (and will have been assumed to be correct) and on current UK standards, codes, technology and practices as at that time. They do not purport to include any manner of legal advice or opinion. New information or changes in conditions and regulatory requirements may occur in future, which will change the conclusions presented here.

This report is confidential to the client. The client may submit the report to regulatory bodies, where appropriate. Should the client wish to release this report to any other third party for that party's reliance, Stantec may, by prior written agreement, agree to such release, provided that it is acknowledged that Stantec accepts no responsibility of any nature to any third party to whom this report or any part thereof is made known. Stantec accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage incurred as a result, and the third party does not acquire any rights whatsoever, contractual or otherwise, against Stantec except as expressly agreed with Stantec in writing.

	Name	Signature
Author	Simon Howard	1.4.1.1
Checked by	Georgina Watkins	f
Reviewed by	Christopher Berryman	Aberry

#### Revision record:

Issue	Date	Status	Comment	Author	Checker	Reviewer
1	28/11/2023	Draft	First draft	SH	GW	-
2	23/01/2024	Draft	Draft for internal review	SH	GW	СВ
3	31/01/2024	Final	Permit application submission	SH	GW	СВ

# Contents

1	INTRODUCTION 1	
1.1	Report Context	1
1.2	Conceptual Site Model and Guidance	1
1.3	Scope of this Report	1
1.4	Management Structure and Technical Competence	2
1.5	Review of Environmental Monitoring Plan	2
2	LANDFILL GAS MONITORING AND REPORTING 3	
2.1	Landfill Gas Monitoring Installations	3
2.1.1	Locations within the Restored Area	3
2.1.2	Design	3
2.1.3	Construction	3
2.1.4	Construction Quality Assurance	5
2.1.5	Locations Outside the Restored Area	6
2.2	Maintenance	7
2.3	Landfill Gas Monitoring and Sampling Programme	7
2.3.1	Within Restored Area	7
2.3.2	External to Restored Area	8
2.3.3	Monitoring and Sampling Records	9
2.3.4	Quality Assurance of Monitoring and Sampling	9
2.3.5	Submission of Records	9
2.4	Baseline Gas Monitoring Results	9
2.5	Landfill Gas Monitoring Action Plan	9
2.5.1	Action Levels and Compliance Limits	9
2.5.2	Investigation Procedure	10
2.5.3	Problem Solving	11
2.5.4	Gas Control Measures	11
2.6	Landfill Gas Completion Criteria	11

3	LEACHATE MONITORING AND REPORTING13		
3.1	Leachate Storage, Collection, or Treatment Systems	13	
3.2	Leachate Monitoring Installations	13	
3.3	Leachate Monitoring and Sampling Programme	13	
3.3.1	Monitoring and Sampling Records	14	
3.3.2	Quality Assurance of Monitoring and Sampling	14	
3.3.3	Submission of Records	14	
4	GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND REPORTING 15		
4.1	Risk Assessment and Management	15	
4.2	Design, Construction and Construction Quality Assurance	15	
4.2.1	Groundwater Monitoring Installations	15	
4.3	Design and Construction	15	
4.4	Maintenance	15	
4.5	Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling Programme		
4.6	Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling Records		
4.6.1	Groundwater Levels		
4.6.2	Groundwater Sampling and Analysis		
4.6.3	Quality Assurance of Monitoring and Sampling		
4.6.4	Submission of Records	17	
4.7	Baseline Groundwater Quality Results	18	
4.8	Groundwater Action Plan	18	
4.8.1	Groundwater Control Levels and Trigger Levels	18	
4.8.2	Investigation Procedure and Follow-up Action	18	
4.8.3	Hydrogeological Risk Assessment Review	21	
4.9	Groundwater Completion Criteria	21	
5	SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING AND REPORTING 22		
6	DUST MONITORING AND REPORTING 23		
7	MONITORING OF RESTORATION FILL MATERIALS 24		
7.1	Topographic Surveys		
Report R	Reference: 331201261R7		

7.2	Settlement and Slope Stability Completion Criteria	24
8	REPORTING ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE 25	
8.1	Waste Reporting	25
8.2	Annual Report	25
8.3	HRA Review	25
FIGURE	S	
Figure 1.	1. Monitoring Point Plan	4
TABLES		
Table 2.1. Gas Monitoring Programme within the Restored Area Table 2.2. Gas Monitoring Programme - External to the Restored Area Table 3.1. Leachate Monitoring Programme Table 4.1. Groundwater Monitoring Programme Table 4.2. Groundwater Control and Trigger Levels		

#### APPENDICES

Appondix A	Borehole Installation Records
Appendix A	DUIEITUIE IIIStallation Records

Appendix B Monitoring Procedures

# 1 Introduction

# 1.1 Report Context

Stantec UK Ltd (Stantec) has been instructed by Allstone Sands Gravels Aggregates Trading Co. Ltd ('Allstone') to prepare an Environmental Permit (EP, or 'Permit') application for inert landfill at Bromsberrow North Sandpit, Bell Lane, Bromsberrow Heath, Ledbury, Gloucestershire, HR8 1NX (the 'Site'). The Operator of the EP will be Allstone. The operator of the sand pit is Bromsberrow Sand and Gravel Company Ltd , a wholly owned subsidiary of Allstone.

This report has been prepared for Allstone by Stantec as part of a new application for an EP in relation to the Site. The EP applied for, in accordance with the Review of Old Mineral Permits (ROMP) application (Bromsberrow Sand and Gravel Company Limited, 2022), would allow the progressive infilling of the former quarry void with approximately 670,000m<sup>3</sup> of imported inert materials.

This report sets out the proposed Site environmental monitoring plan and provides a framework for the management of potential environmental impacts associated with the site. As such, the plan is designed to offer suitable safeguards for the protection of various potential receptors (including human health, groundwater and local ecologies), as identified by a number of risk assessments performed in relation to the Site, as follows:

- Hydrogeological Risk Assessment (HRA) (Stantec, 2024b);
- Landfill Gas Risk Assessment (LFGRA) (Stantec, 2024c);
- Environmental Risk Assessment (Stantec, 2024d); and
- Ecological Appraisal (AA Environmental, 2022).

This report should be read together with the completed EP application form and the documents referenced therein.

# 1.2 Conceptual Site Model and Guidance

This environmental monitoring plan has been designed based on the conceptual site model, as set out in the ESSD report (Stantec, 2024a) and with reference to the requirements set out in the Environment Agency (EA) guidance on 'monitoring and report your performance' (EA, 2023).

# 1.3 Scope of this Report

The broad objectives of environmental monitoring (as set out in EA, 2023) are to:

- Demonstrate that the landfill is performing as designed and in accordance with the risk assessment predictions;
- Show that management and control systems are preventing pollution;
- Show compliance with the emission and compliance limits set in the EP;
- Identify where further investigation is needed and, where risks are unacceptable, the need for measures to prevent, reduce or remove pollution; and
- Identify when the Site no longer presents a risk of pollution.

The aim of the plan is to provide a summary of the methods, procedures and actions to be implemented at the site for the duration of the EP and up to the point of surrender, in order to meet the above objectives.

Report Reference: 331201261R7 Report Status: Final The plan will be reviewed and updated on a regular basis as the Site develops to ensure adequate controls are in place to meet the identified standards and objectives. Any changes will be agreed with the EA.

The plan provides details of the procedures for monitoring:

- Landfill gas (Section 2);
- Landfill leachate (Section 3);
- Groundwater (Section 4);
- Dust generation (Section 6); and
- Restoration fill materials (Section 7).

Drilling logs including installation records for the monitoring installations installed to date are included as Appendix A.

### 1.4 Management Structure and Technical Competence

The Site Manager will undertake overall management and supervision of the site.

Environmental monitoring (gas and groundwater) is undertaken by the monitoring contractor, Geotechnical Engineering Ltd (GEL). GEL's monitoring procedures, including details of the training and qualifications required for their technicians, are included as Appendix B.

Suitably qualified technicians who are experienced and trained in the use of monitoring and sampling equipment and interpretation of the data obtained will carry out all monitoring at the installation. Training records of monitoring personnel will be made available to the EA on request.

# 1.5 Review of Environmental Monitoring Plan

Monitoring data will be analysed, and this monitoring plan will be reviewed annually against:

- The monitoring objectives;
- The compliance limits; and
- The conclusions made in the risk assessments.

The monitoring plan will be revised based on this data review to make sure it remains appropriate. Any changes to the monitoring plan will be submitted to the local EA officer for their written agreement.

# 2 Landfill Gas Monitoring and Reporting

# 2.1 Landfill Gas Monitoring Installations

# 2.1.1 Locations within the Restored Area

Currently it is anticipated that eight in-waste monitoring installations will be provided within the restored area in the indicative locations shown on the monitoring point plan (MPP) (Figure 1.1). This is based on the EA Guidance (EA, 2023) requiring a minimum of two boreholes per hectare, to be installed as soon as each phase of the landfill is complete.

The pre-application advice sought for the inert landfill permit application indicates that in-waste gas monitoring will be required and could be combined with the leachate monitoring. The monitoring locations within the restored areas are, therefore, proposed as dual purpose landfill gas and leachate monitoring wells.

# 2.1.2 Design

The in-waste monitoring wells will be dual purpose landfill gas and leachate monitoring installations. These will be retrofitted by vertical drilling upon completion in each phase of the landfill.

The in-waste monitoring installations are expected to comprise a 150mm diameter HDPE monitoring standpipe installed within a minimum 250mm diameter borehole. The slotted (screened) section of the monitoring standpipe will extend throughout the waste mass.

The base of the standpipe will be sealed with a suitable plug or cap. The screened section of the standpipe will be perforated by holes not greater than 5mm diameter at intervals of approximately 75mm or equivalent area of slots. The perforated/slotted pipe will be surrounded by clean, non-calcareous gravel within the grading 10-20mm.

The upper section of the standpipe will comprise plain HDPE pipe surrounded by a bentonite seal. It is anticipated that this upper seal will be 3m thick.

The standpipe will be finished with a screwed plastic cap or similar fitted with a gas sampling valve and will be protected at the ground surface by a lockable steel cover set in concrete.

The final depth and installation details will be determined by suitably qualified and experienced personnel (e.g. experienced geologist or engineer) with previous experience of installing in-waste monitoring boreholes, having regard to the actual geology encountered.

# 2.1.3 Construction

The drilling of gas monitoring installations will be carried out using suitable drilling equipment operated by a suitably experienced driller. Boreholes will be drilled vertically.

Suitable measures will be taken to ensure that the basal and sidewall artificially enhanced geological barrier (AEGB) is not compromised during the drilling; this will include calculation of the required drill depths at each location based on the measured elevation at the surface and the known elevation of the top of the AEGB. The calculated drill depth will include an allowance for a stand-off of at least 0.5m above the top of the AEGB. Progress will be carefully monitored during drilling to ensure that the calculated drill depth is not exceeded.

Page 4



Figure 1.1 Monitoring Point Plan

Report Reference: 331201261R7 Report Status: Final Were the AEGB to be penetrated, which would be detected by the presence of engineered clay within the arisings, drilling will be stopped immediately and the AEGB reinstated by placement of bentonite grout or hydrated bentonite pellets back to 1m above the known elevation of the top of the AEGB.

The standpipe, with centralising devices attached at intervals where required, will be lowered carefully down the borehole, with the annulus between the borehole and standpipe backfilled with gravel (see Section 2.1.2) to the level at the top of the standpipe screened section.

The upper section of the borehole will be sealed with bentonite grout. Alternatively, compressed bentonite pellets may be used, in which case the pellets will be partially hydrated before being added to the borehole.

# 2.1.4 Construction Quality Assurance

### CQA Plan

Construction of monitoring installations will be carried out in accordance with a written Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) Plan, prepared by the CQA Engineer, approved by the EA and under the supervision of a CQA Inspector with previous experience of installing in-waste monitoring boreholes.

The CQA Plan will include the following:

- A detailed design description for the monitoring installations, including drawings;
- Specification of the proposed materials for monitoring installations, including the type and frequency of conformance testing (where appropriate);
- Pass or fail criteria for all the materials to be used;
- CQA procedures to be adopted;
- The procedure for agreeing changes to the design;
- Details of the measures to be taken take where any non-compliance with the specification is identified; and
- Details of the information to include in the Validation Report.

#### Monitoring Borehole Installation Records

Installation records will be prepared for each monitoring installation showing:

- Site name;
- Drilling contractors and Operators name;
- Borehole number;
- Date of drilling;
- Equipment and technique used;
- Diameter and depth of borehole and any casing used;

Report Reference: 331201261R7 Report Status: Final

- Depth of each change of stratum;
- Description of each stratum;
- Depth of groundwater strikes and flow rates;
- Depth and length of pipework, filters and bentonite seals;
- All above information to be presented on a geological log; and
- Details of manufacturer and supplier of each component with respective reference numbers.

The CQA Inspector will verify that the details included on each monitoring installation record are accurate.

#### CQA Validation Report

Following construction of the monitoring boreholes, a CQA Validation Report will be submitted to the EA within 4 weeks of completing the construction, or other time period agreed in writing with the EA.

The CQA Validation Report provides the final 'as-built' construction and engineering details of the works, and will include:

- Details of how the CQA Plan has been complied with;
- Justifications for any changes or deviations from the agreed plan;
- As-built plans and drawings of the monitoring installations;
- Copies of the site inspector's daily records;
- Records of any problems or non-compliance and the solution;
- Any other relevant site-specific information to prove the integrity of the construction; and
- All test results.

The Validation Report will be signed by the CQA Engineer.

#### 2.1.5 Locations Outside the Restored Area

A landfill gas monitoring system has been installed to enable monitoring of potential landfill gas migration outside the restored area. The locations of these gas monitoring installations are shown on the monitoring point plan (MPP) (Figure 1.1).

Drilling logs including installation records for the monitoring installations installed to date are included as Appendix A.

Some of the existing monitoring installations outside the restored areas (including BH03) have a dual purpose also satisfying requirements for groundwater monitoring. Details of the design, construction and quality assurance of these installations are provided in Section 4.2.

# 2.2 Maintenance

Inspections will be carried out during each routine monitoring visit to ensure that the installations are undamaged and functioning correctly. If any damage or defect is found the details will be recorded in the site diary and remedial measures implemented as soon as practicable.

Usually this will be within one month unless a replacement is required, in which case a new borehole will be installed as soon as ground conditions permit. A record of the remedial measures will also be made in the site diary. Once completed, the installations will be given permanent marker posts that will be located to Ordnance Survey National Grid and levelled to Ordnance Datum.

# 2.3 Landfill Gas Monitoring and Sampling Programme

### 2.3.1 Within Restored Area

Monitoring and sampling of landfill gas within the restored area will be carried out and recorded in accordance with Table 2.1 and GEL's procedures specified in Appendix B.

Phase	Sampling Frequency	Determinants	Units	Accuracy
Operational (following monitoring borehole installation in	Monthly	Methane (CH4)	% v/v	±0.3 - 3% v/v
		Carbon Dioxide (CO2)	% v/v	±1% v/v
each completed phase)		Oxygen (O2)	% v/v	±1% v/v
		Atmospheric Pressure	mb	±1 mb
		Flow Rate	l/s	±0.1 l/s
Site Post Closure	Site Post Closure Monthly for 1 year, then quarterly until beginning of Site Completion phase	Methane (CH4)	% v/v	±0.3 - 3% v/v
		Carbon Dioxide (CO2)	% v/v	±1% v/v
		Oxygen (O2)	% v/v	±1% v/v
		Atmospheric Pressure	mb	±1 mb
		Flow Rate	l/s	±0.1 l/s

#### Table 2.1. Gas Monitoring Programme within the Restored Area

Note. Accuracy of methane and carbon dioxide monitoring equipment varies depending on the concentration of gas being measured. The greater accuracy is achieved at lower gas concentrations.

Monitoring of surface emissions will not be undertaken as the gas risk assessment has identified that the risk of landfill gas generation is very low, consequently the likelihood of measurable surface emissions is very low. However, if gas concentrations and flow rates in the monitoring installations in the restored area exceed the designated trigger levels, the need for surface emission monitoring will be reviewed.

### 2.3.2 External to Restored Area

Monitoring and sampling of landfill gas external to the restored area will be carried out and recorded in accordance with Table 2.2 and GEL's procedures set out in Appendix B.

Phase	Boreholes	Sampling Frequency	Determinants	Units	Accuracy	
Site Pre Operation		Monthly	Methane (CH4)	% v/v	±0.5% v/v	
	BH04A		Carbon Dioxide (CO2)	% v/v	±0.5% v/v	
			Oxygen (O2)	% v/v	±1% v/v	
			Atmospheric Pressure	mb	±1 mb	
			Flow Rate*	l/s	±0.1 l/s	
Site Operation	peration BH01A, BH02A, BH03,	H02A, BH03,	Methane (CH4)	% v/v	±0.5% v/v	
	BH04A		Carbon Dioxide (CO2)	% v/v	±0.5% v/v	
				Oxygen (O2)	% v/v	±1% v/v
				Atmospheric Pressure	mb	±1 mb
			Flow Rate*	l/s	±0.1 l/s	
Site Post Closure	BH01A, BH02A, BH03,	Monthly for 1 year, then	Methane (CH4)	% v/v	±0.5% v/v	
	BH04A qu Si	quarterly until Site Completion	Carbon Dioxide (CO2)	% v/v	±0.5% v/v	
		phase begins	Oxygen (O2)	% v/v	±1% v/v	
			Atmospheric Pressure	mb	±1 mb	

Table 2.2. Gas Monitoring Programme - External to the Restored Area

Phase	Boreholes	Sampling Frequency	Determinants	Units	Accuracy
			Flow Rate*	l/s	±0.1 l/s
	*Only if methane concentration exceeds the Action Level. Note. Accuracy of methane and carbon dioxide monitoring equipment varies depending on the concentration of gas being measured. The greater accuracy is achieved at lower gas concentrations.				

# 2.3.3 Monitoring and Sampling Records

A record of the landfill gas monitoring and sampling results will be made in accordance with GEL's procedures, which are included as Appendix B.

A permanent record of all gas monitoring will be maintained by the Operator.

# 2.3.4 Quality Assurance of Monitoring and Sampling

The monitoring and sampling will be quality assured in accordance with GEL's procedures, which are included as Appendix B.

### 2.3.5 Submission of Records

A copy of the latest assured records of each monitoring or sampling result will be submitted to the EA, within one month of it being carried out. The format of the records will be agreed with the EA.

# 2.4 Baseline Gas Monitoring Results

Gas monitoring is ongoing in the boreholes external to the area to be restored in accordance with Table 2.2 and the procedures set out in Appendix B. This information will be used to assess baseline conditions and to calculate appropriate action level and compliance limits prior to the commencement of landfilling operations at the Site.

# 2.5 Landfill Gas Monitoring Action Plan

# 2.5.1 Action Levels and Compliance Limits

After the baseline monitoring results have been collected (see Section 2.4), appropriate action levels and compliance limits be calculated for methane and carbon dioxide concentrations for each of the landfill gas monitoring boreholes external to the area to be restored.

Action levels and compliance limits are defined as follows:

- Action Levels: These are set at a level at which the Operator should take action to remain compliant and form an early warning and/or may instigate additional monitoring or emergency procedures. The EA need not be informed of an exceedance. Action levels are not set in the Environmental Permit but should be in the operator's management procedures (i.e. this plan). Action levels should be concentrations between background and the Compliance limits.
- **Compliance Limits:** These are set in the Environmental Permit and are designed to show the landfill engineering is performing properly. Compliance limits are a regulatory requirement. If the results of monitoring are at or above the agreed compliance limits, the EA

must be informed immediately and remedial action implemented within an agreed, defined, timescale because the site is deemed not to be in compliance.

It is now recognised that carbon dioxide is a poor choice of gas to regulate emissions from landfills because there are alternative sources in the sub-surface environment. Therefore, it is proposed that no compliance limits will be calculated for carbon dioxide, but that carbon dioxide data will continue to be collected and assessed against a lower action level because this activity informs the conceptual model and initiates investigatory action by the Operator.

In the event that concentrations of landfill gas in any of the external monitoring installations exceed the action levels, the Operator will initiate the investigation procedure set out in 2.5.2 below.

Action levels and compliance limits are not set for oxygen as there is an inverse relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations. Consequently, conditions of low oxygen concentration will be identified anyway due to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations.

# 2.5.2 Investigation Procedure

The procedures for the assessment of gas monitoring results in relation to the action levels are as follows:

- 1. For methane and carbon dioxide concentrations below the action level allow as normal variability.
- 2. If methane or carbon dioxide concentrations exceed the action level, then check previous two readings from the gas database and:
  - a. If the methane concentrations also exceeds the relevant compliance limit, then inform the EA **immediately**. Increase monitoring frequency to weekly (including borehole gas flow rates) in affected and adjacent installations until concentrations fall back below the action level. Assess the possible cause of the increase in concentrations by problem solving described in Section 2.5.3 and review previous monitoring results to see if there is any indication of a trend.
  - b. Where only the action level has been exceeded, and if the previous readings do not exceed the action level, then no further action is required other than to note that an exceedance has occurred.
  - c. If the previous two readings do exceed the action level, then increase monitoring frequency to fortnightly (including borehole gas flow rates) in affected and adjacent installations until concentrations fall back below the action level. Assess the possible cause of the increase in concentrations by problem solving described in Section 2.5.3 and review previous monitoring results to see if there is any indication of a trend.

The procedures for the assessment of gas flow monitoring results are as follows:

- 1. If significant flow rates (greater than ±3 l/hr) are absent, then continue monitoring weekly until gas concentrations reduce below action level.
- 2. If significant flow rates are absent but methane concentrations do not reduce below the compliance limits within three months and the source of the gas has been identified as the licensed restoration, then consider the initiation of appropriate gas control measures in association with the EA (see Section 2.5.4).
- 3. If significant flow rates are present and methane concentrations persist above the compliance limit for more than six weeks with no signs of decreasing levels then carry out a gas survey of the site (for methane and carbon dioxide). Dependent on the results

of the survey, consider carrying out a gas survey of potentially affected off-site properties after discussion with the EA.

Should they be deemed necessary, immediate arrangements will be made to install gas control measures after consultation with the EA.

### 2.5.3 Problem Solving

In the preceding section, the first course of action proposed following any exceedance of an action level or compliance limit is to "assess the possible cause of the increase in gas concentrations". The routine to be followed to perform this instruction is set out below:

- 1. Check whether the barometric pressure was rising, falling or steady on the day and in the day(s) preceding the date of monitoring.
- 2. Check the results against those of other site monitoring installations to determine if the result is part of a general deterioration in the gas levels in the area, or a localised occurrence.
- 3. Check oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations to determine if these correlate to a deterioration in methane concentrations.
- 4. Ensure that monitoring equipment is functioning effectively and consider taking a confirmatory sample for gas-chromatographic analysis.
- 5. Attempt to identify the most likely source of methane, in relationship to the history of landfill at the site, and previous monitoring results.
- 6. Investigate the surrounding area for signs of gas or leachate escape.
- 7. If a problem is identified, it will be rectified as soon as possible. The Site Manager or his nominee will be informed immediately, and he will co-ordinate any action required.
- 8. Record all actions in the site diary.

#### 2.5.4 Gas Control Measures

The selection of the appropriate control measures will be discussed with the EA prior to installation, and will take into account the nature and depth of the waste deposited. As the site will only contain inert materials, it is possible that a passive vent trench or passive venting boreholes would be the most effective remedy. This solution might also be supported by the installation of pumped wells in virgin ground around the periphery of the site adjacent to affected area(s). Such wells would be installed after construction of any passive system to avoid drawing gas from the waste towards the perimeter. The wells would be used to bring about an immediate reduction in gas concentrations in land outside but adjacent to the restored areas. Pumping from these wells would be discontinued once gas concentrations are reduced to acceptable levels but would be retained in case of future gas excursions.

Increased gas monitoring in the affected installations will continue throughout and after installation of the control measures and until values drop below the action level. Monthly monitoring will then resume unless the action level is exceeded again.

# 2.6 Landfill Gas Completion Criteria

To meet the completion criteria for landfill gas, the Operator will need to demonstrate that:

• Methane concentration within the waste mass is less than or equal to 1.5%v/v

Carbon dioxide concentration within the waste mass is less than or equal to 5%v/v
Report Reference: 331201261R7
Report Status: Final

To demonstrate this, the Operator will provide a minimum of 12 datasets from regular monitoring, e.g., monthly for a year or over a longer period.

It is understood that the above limits have to be met throughout the waste, and must not be exceeded at any time during the monitoring period.

If the above gas completion criteria cannot be satisfied, the Operator will provide a minimum of 24 datasets from regular monitoring, e.g. monthly for 2 years or over a longer period. Where continuous monitoring is used to replace or supplement the regular monitoring, this will be done for a minimum of 6 months.

The landfill gas completion criteria will be deemed as being met when the Operator can show that the concentration of methane and carbon dioxide within the waste is no greater than background concentrations (either natural sources or because of non-landfill activities) in the surrounding environment.

# 3 Leachate Monitoring and Reporting

# 3.1 Leachate Storage, Collection, or Treatment Systems

As the Site will accept only inert waste, no leachate extraction or treatment systems are proposed. Further details on the proposals for leachate management are presented in the ESSD report (Stantec, 2024a).

# 3.2 Leachate Monitoring Installations

Within the pre-application advice sought for the inert landfill permit application, the EA have recommended the installation of leachate quality and level monitoring within the site (not leachate drainage) to validate the modelling undertaken, confirm the conceptual model presented, ensure any risks of leachate breakout are detected early and provide data suitable to support the surrender of the permit.

The pre-application advice confirms that in-waste gas monitoring will be required and could be combined with the leachate monitoring. The monitoring locations proposed within the restored areas are, therefore, proposed as dual purpose landfill gas and leachate monitoring wells.

Details of the locations, design, construction, CQA and maintenance of these wells are provided in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 of this plan.

# 3.3 Leachate Monitoring and Sampling Programme

Monitoring and sampling of leachate within the restored area will be carried out and recorded in accordance with Table 3.1 and the procedures set out in Appendix B.

Phase	Sampling Frequency	Determinands	
Operational Phase (following monitoring borehole	Monthly	Leachate Level	
installation in each completed phase)	Quarterly	pH, electrical conductivity (EC), ammoniacal nitrogen, chloride, sulphate, alkalinity, total organic nitrogen (TON), total organic carbon (TOC), sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead and zinc.	
Post Closure	Monthly*	Leachate Level	
	Quarterly*	pH, EC, ammoniacal nitrogen, chloride, sulphate, alkalinity, TON, TOC, sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead and zinc.	
* This frequency may be reduced based on a risk-based monitoring review during aftercare			

#### Table 3.1. Leachate Monitoring Programme

\* This frequency may be reduced based on a risk-based monitoring review during aftercare.

# 3.3.1 Monitoring and Sampling Records

A record of the leachate monitoring and sampling results will be made in accordance with the procedures set out in Appendix B. Note that these procedures are those provided by GEL for gas and groundwater monitoring, but are expected to be the same for leachate monitoring.

Any specific leachate monitoring procedures developed would be included in an updated version of this EMP and/or the Site Operating Plan (SOP).

A permanent record of all leachate monitoring will be maintained by the Operator.

### 3.3.2 Quality Assurance of Monitoring and Sampling

The monitoring and sampling will be quality assured in accordance with the monitoring procedures set out in Appendix B.

#### 3.3.3 Submission of Records

A copy of the latest assured records of each monitoring or sampling result will be submitted to the EA, within one month of it being carried out. The format of the records will be agreed with the EA.

# 4 Groundwater Monitoring and Reporting

#### 4.1 Risk Assessment and Management

Details of the groundwater risk assessment are presented in the HRA (Stantec, 2024b).

#### 4.2 Design, Construction and Construction Quality Assurance

#### 4.2.1 Groundwater Monitoring Installations

The locations of the groundwater monitoring installations are shown on the MPP (Figure 1.1).

As described in Section 2.1.5, some of these groundwater monitoring installations including BH03 are dual purpose for groundwater as well as landfill gas monitoring outside the restored area.

#### 4.3 Design and Construction

Drilling logs including installation records for the groundwater monitoring installations outside the restored area are included within Appendix A.

Construction of any additional groundwater monitoring installations required will be carried out in accordance with a written CQA Plan, prepared by the CQA Engineer, approved by the EA and under the supervision of a CQA Inspector with previous experience of installing groundwater monitoring boreholes.

Further details of the proposed CQA for monitoring installations is provided in Section 2.1.4 of this plan.

#### 4.4 Maintenance

Inspections will be carried out during each routine monitoring visit to ensure that the installations are undamaged and functioning correctly. If any damage or defect is found the details will be recorded in the site diary and remedial measures implemented as soon as practicable.

Usually this will be within one month unless re-drilling is required, in which case a new borehole will be installed as soon as ground conditions permit. A record of the remedial measures will also be made in the site diary. Once completed the installations will be given permanent marker posts that will be located to Ordnance Survey National Grid and levelled to Ordnance Datum.

#### 4.5 Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling Programme

Procedures for groundwater monitoring and sampling are set out in Appendix B. Sampling frequency and determinants will be as summarised in Table 4.1.

Phase	Boreholes	Sampling Frequency	Determinands
Pre Site Operation	BH01 (Bromsberrow South, northern boundary) BH01, BH02, BH03, BH04 (Bromsberrow North - perimeter boreholes) NB01, NB02, NB03 (Bromsberrow North, base of quarry)	Monthly	Water level pH, EC, sulphate, chloride, ammoniacal nitrogen, TOC, nitrate, nitrite, alkalinity, speciated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), iron, calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, manganese, nickel, zinc, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX), methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE), total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH-CWG)
Site Operation ('Requisite Surveillance' groundwater monitoring)	BH01 (Bromsberrow South northern perimeter) – up gradient monitoring borehole BH02, BH03 (Bromsberrow North northern perimeter) – down hydraulic gradient boreholes	Monthly Quarterly Annually	Water level pH, EC, ammoniacal nitrogen, chloride, sulphate, alkalinity, TON, TOC, sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium. As monthly, plus iron, manganese, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead and zinc. BTEX, MTBE, TPH- CWG. Hazardous substances**
Site Operation	BH01, BH04 (Bromsberrow North - perimeter monitoring boreholes)	Monthly Quarterly Annually	Water levelpH, EC, ammoniacal nitrogen, chloride, sulphate, alkalinity, TON, TOC, sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium.As monthly, plus iron, manganese, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead and zinc.Hazardous substances**
Site Operation (Turbidity Monitoring)	Two new sentinel wells SW01 and SW02 (refer to Figure 9.1 in SOP for proposed locations)	Continuous* by Aqua TROLL Turbidity Sensor (or similar)	Turbidity (Nephelometric Turbidity Units, NTU)

Table 4.1. Groundwat	r Monitoring Programme
----------------------	------------------------

Phase	Boreholes	Sampling Frequency	Determinands			
Site Post Closure	<ul> <li>BH01 (Bromsberrow</li> <li>South, northern</li> <li>boundary)</li> <li>BH01, BH02, BH03,</li> <li>BH04 (Bromsberrow</li> <li>North - perimeter</li> <li>boreholes)</li> </ul>	Quarterly	Water level pH, EC, ammoniacal nitrogen, chloride, sulphate, alkalinity, TON, TOC, sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead and zinc.			
		Annually	Hazardous substances**			
	<ul> <li>* Turbidity monitoring during the operation phase is yet to be agreed with the EA and Severn Trent Water as operators of the adjacent public water supply boreholes. It is understood that the EA must agree with the Operator's proposal before continuous monitoring is started. The SOP summarises Allstone's proposals for turbidity monitoring at the Site during the operational phase at this stage.</li> <li>** Hazardous substances as defined by the as defined by the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016, SI 2016 No.1154, schedule 22.</li> </ul>					

The minimum reporting value (MRV) is the lowest concentration of a substance that a laboratory can achieve with current analytical equipment. The laboratory must report this in the results of an analysis. The Operator will report groundwater monitoring data for hazardous substances to an MRV. The MRVs are defined as the limit of quantification. The limits of quantification for hazardous substances are presented in Table 1 of the Technical Report on Groundwater Hazardous Substances on the UK Technical Advisory Group on the Water Framework Directive website (UK TAG, 2016).

# 4.6 Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling Records

### 4.6.1 Groundwater Levels

Groundwater level monitoring will be undertaken in accordance with the procedures included in Appendix B and the results will be entered into the environmental database maintained by the Operator.

#### 4.6.2 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis

Groundwater sampling will be undertaken in accordance with the procedures included in Appendix B and the results will be entered into the environmental database maintained by the Operator.

The groundwater analysis will be carried out by a UKAS accredited laboratory.

# 4.6.3 Quality Assurance of Monitoring and Sampling

The records will be quality assured in accordance with the groundwater monitoring procedures set out in Appendix B.

# 4.6.4 Submission of Records

A copy of the latest quality assured records of each monitoring or sampling result will be submitted Report Reference: 331201261R7

Report Status: Final

to the EA in accordance with the sampling frequency indicated in Table 4.1 within one calendar month of the results becoming available. The format of the data to be submitted will be agreed with the EA.

### 4.7 Baseline Groundwater Quality Results

Prior to the operation of the site, groundwater monitoring will be performed for at least twelve months in accordance with Table 4.1 and the procedures set out in Appendix B. This information will be used to assess baseline conditions prior to operations at the site.

### 4.8 Groundwater Action Plan

### 4.8.1 Groundwater Control Levels and Trigger Levels

EA Guidance (EA, 2023) states that the Operator must set assessment levels and propose compliance and emission limits in your permit application.

- Assessment levels (**'Control Levels'**) are levels the Operator uses to decide whether the site and its pollution control systems are performing as you planned. The Operator will use these to identify negative trends in the monitoring data and then take appropriate action to prevent the breach of a compliance limit.
- Compliance limits ('**Trigger Levels**') are concentrations that the EA will put in the permit. If these limits are exceeded then the Operator will be deemed as in breach of the permit. This will be recorded as a non-compliance.

Control and Trigger Levels have been determined in the HRA (Stantec, 2024b) in accordance with the guidance provided by the Environment Agency (EA, 2003). These are presented in the HRA.

# 4.8.2 Investigation Procedure and Follow-up Action

In the event that any Control Level is exceeded in one monitoring installation on one occasion, the following action will be taken:

 The monitoring database will be queried to identify the past 12 months results from the affected location. If less than two of the last four results have breached the Control Level, no further action will be taken, other than to note that a breach has occurred. However if two or more of the last four sampling results have breached the Control Level at this location, then the borehole will be re-sampled as soon as possible after receipt of the results.

If the repeat sample also exceeds the Control Level the following course of action will be taken:

- 2. Data from the monitoring installation exceeding the Control Level and adjacent monitoring installations will be reviewed by use of statistics and graphical presentation to establish the presence of any trends or patterns.
- 3. Groundwater levels will be reviewed to establish flow direction in order to determine whether the landfill is the most likely cause of any change in groundwater quality.
- 4. A preliminary inspection will be carried out to determine whether there has been:
  - a. Any unusual activity or occurrence on or around the site that could account for the increase in the parameter exceeding the Control Level.
  - b. Any spillage of contaminants at the surface in the vicinity of the affected boreholes.
- 5. The results of all of the above information will be assessed and further action on future monitoring will be specified.

In the event that any Trigger Level is exceeded in one monitoring installation on one occasion, the following action will be taken:

1. The monitoring installation will be re-sampled as soon as possible after receipt of the results; whether the elevated determinant was derived from field or laboratory analysis the re-analysis will be carried out in the laboratory. This initial procedure will be used to eliminate errors that might be introduced during sampling, field analysis or laboratory analysis, before continuing. If this second sample does not exceed the Trigger Level, then no further action will be taken unless it exceeds the Control Level in which case the procedures set out above will be followed.

If the additional repeat sample also exceeds the Trigger Level, then:

- 2. The actions described to investigate exceedances of a Control Level detailed in 2 to 4 above will be carried out; and
- 3. The monitoring frequency will be increased to fortnightly for an agreed set of monitoring determinands by laboratory analysis in the affected borehole and adjacent boreholes until the determinand concentration falls below the Trigger Level.
- 4. If the laboratory results from the monitoring show no indication of decline over a four month period (or other period assessed appropriate with respect to the rate of flow of groundwater at the site), and the evidence indicates that the restoration is the most likely cause of the increase in concentrations, then a review of the HRA will be carried out (see below).
- 5. The risk assessment will conclude with an explanation of the effect of the groundwater quality changes on the groundwater and surface water resource with recommendations for any remedial action if it is considered necessary. A course of action will be agreed with the EA.

The control and trigger level procedure is summarised on the flow chart overleaf.



#### **Control and Trigger Level Procedure**

Report Reference: 331201261R7 Report Status: Final

# 4.8.3 Hydrogeological Risk Assessment Review

Where a hydrogeological risk assessment is required, it will have particular regard to those substances that have exceeded the Trigger Level. The assessment will determine the likely effects of the inert materials deposited within the licensed area on surrounding groundwater quality and will be presented in the form of a written report that will include:

- 1. A summary of all groundwater monitoring carried out to date, including graphical representations of time series data for water levels and the concentrations of determinants measured in the existing observation boreholes around the licensed area.
- 2. An assessment of the expected dilution and attenuation of contaminants migrating from the restoration area.
- 3. Consideration of the need for the construction of additional groundwater monitoring installations both up and down hydraulic gradient of the restored area together with a timetable for their installation.
- 4. Recommendations for water quality objectives at down hydraulic gradient groundwater monitoring boreholes.
- 5. An assessment of possible remedial options which could be taken in the event that adverse changes are detected in the quality of groundwater in the vicinity of the site.

# 4.9 Groundwater Completion Criteria

Completion is considered to occur once the Site no longer poses a threat to the environment. The risk assessment shows that the discharges from the Site will not pose a threat either to groundwater in the Bridgnorth Sandstone or to the Bromsberrow Heath PWS. We believe that a five-year period of monitoring following termination of the operational phase will suffice to demonstrate completion.

#### Page 22

# 5 Surface Water Quality Monitoring and Reporting

The proposals for surface water management are included in the ESSD report (Stantec, 2024a) Monthly checks of the SuDS infiltration trenches and basin, once established after completion of the landfill, to ensure that there is no visible oil or other pollutant entering the feature.

As there will be no point source discharge of surface water from the landfill, no other surface water monitoring is proposed.

# 6 Dust Monitoring and Reporting

Refer to the Dust and Emissions Management Plan (DEMP) (Stantec, 2024e) for details of the Site dust monitoring and reporting proposed.

# 7 Monitoring of Restoration Fill Materials

# 7.1 Topographic Surveys

Topographic surveys of the Site will be carried out annually, including:

- During the development phase;
- Before waste is placed in the landfill;
- During the operational phase;
- On completion of the restoration; and
- Until surrender of the permit.

Written agreement will be sought from the EA before topographic surveys of the Site are stopped.

Permanent survey markers will be established on the site, co-ordinated to the Ordnance Survey National Grid and levelled to Ordnance Datum before the Site development commences.

With regard to the accuracy of the topographic survey, the:

- Grid alignment will be accurate to within 1 metre;
- Horizontal accuracy will be at least 1 metre in 20,000 metres; and
- Level values of the stations will be accurate to within 20mm.

Plan positions of ground features will be taken at a density to allow adequate representation of the true landform.

The survey drawings produced will:

- Be an appropriate scale (such as 1:1,250) to show the surveyed features of the landfill;
- Include 1 metre contours;
- Include the landform or an indication of the landform immediately adjacent to the landfill;
- Include the roads, engineering structures, boundaries, monitoring points, landform features and all other relevant Site features in the permitted area; and
- Include significant landform changes such as embankments or stockpiles.

# 7.2 Settlement and Slope Stability Completion Criteria

For EP surrender, the Operator will need to demonstrate that waste settlement has stopped and all slopes are stable.

The Operator will ensure that the cap remains effective and that topographic surveys are carried out in accordance with the EP. Following the completion of settlement, the integrity of the cap will be confirmed.

# 8 Reporting Environmental Performance

# 8.1 Waste Reporting

It is expected that the EP will require reporting to the EA of how much waste has been accepted for disposal (and/or removed from site) at the end of each quarter, using a waste return form made available for the purpose (RATS2E or similar). This will include details of the types of wastes.

Waste reporting requirements will be set out within the EP.

# 8.2 Annual Report

It is expected that the EP will require the preparation of an annual report, to include:

- A summary of the previous year's progress against improvement targets (where appropriate);
- Improvement targets plan for the coming year;
- An assessment of the monitoring data, including a description of any trends, assessment level or compliance limit breaches and problems with individual monitoring points;
- A summary of the results of any waste validation (level 3) testing carried out; and
- A topographic survey to show the structure and composition of the landfill body, using this survey and the previous survey to calculate the void space taken up, the remaining void space and the settlement behaviour of the waste.

Once the Site is in 'definite closure' it is expected that a report will be required once every 3 years.

The annual reports will be submitted to the EA by 31st January each year unless the EA has agreed to accept it on another date.

Annual reporting requirements will be set out within the EP.

# 8.3 HRA Review

The Operator will undertake a review of the HRA every six years. Reviews will be due on the sixth anniversary of the original issue date of the EP and every subsequent 6 years.

HRA review requirements will be set out within the EP.

#### Page 26

# References

**AA Environmental Ltd, 2022.** Bromsberrow Quarry Ledbury Ecological Report. Job No. 223326. AA Environmental Ltd, October 2022.

**Bromsberrow Sand and Gravel Company Limited, 2022.** Initial periodic mineral review of planning consents G1209, G1209/A & G1209/C at Bromsberrow Sand & Gravel Company Bell Lane Bromsberrow Heath Gloucestershire HR8 1NX. The application, reference 22/0031/FDROMP, can be viewed on Gloucestershire County Council Planning Portal, here: https://planning.gloucestershire.gov.uk/publicaccess/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&k eyVal=RDX8X5HN01600

**EA**, **2003.** Hydrogeological Risk Assessments for Landfills and the Derivation of Groundwater Control and Trigger levels. March 2003.

**EA**, **2023.** Landfill operators: environmental permits. Monitor and report your performance. Last updated 29 June 2023. Accessed at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/landfill-operators-environmental-permits/monitor-and-report-your-performance</u>

**Stantec, 2024a.** Bromsberrow North Sandpit: Environmental Setting & Site Design. Report Reference 331201261R2. Stantec UK Ltd, 2024.

**Stantec, 2024b.** Bromsberrow North Sandpit: Hydrogeological Risk Assessment. Report Reference 331201147R3 Rev2. Stantec UK Ltd, 2024.

**Stantec, 2024c.** Bromsberrow North Sandpit: Landfill Gas Risk Assessment. Report Reference 331201261R6. Stantec UK Ltd, 2024.

**Stantec, 2024d.** Bromsberrow North Sandpit: Environmental Risk Assessment. Report Reference 331201261R3. Stantec UK Ltd, 2024.

**Stantec, 2024e.** Bromsberrow North Sandpit: Dust and Emissions Management Plan. Report Reference 331201261R8. Stantec UK Ltd, 2024.

**UKTAG, 2016.** UK Technical Advisory Group on the Water Framework Directive. Technical report on Groundwater Hazardous Substances. Working Paper Version 11b(iii) v12, UKTAG review September 2016. Accessed here:

https://www.wfduk.org/sites/default/files/Media/UKTAG\_Technical%20report\_GW\_Haz-Subs\_ForWebfinal.pdf



# Appendix A Borehole Installation Records

Report Reference: 331201261R7 Report Status: Final

			2022	BOREHOLE L	.0GS -	BASE OF QUARRY					
M	8	DRILL	ING S	ERVICES LTD.			DR	ILLER 4	Pete Gil	bbens	P
						SITE RECORD	15.5	REHOLE M			
DAY	Moro	lay	DATE	12-12-22	SITE	Bromsborrow Rd					
CLIENT	/ CONTRAC	т							JOB No.		
вн	DEPTH CA		CASING	5	FORMATION		RUN No.	DEPTH		T.C.R.	PENETRATION
100 C	FROM	TO						FROMA	TO		NAIE

вн	FROM	то	CASING	FORMATION	No.	FROM	то	1.C.R.	RATE
BhO,1	GL	10 00	1	Red brown Sandstone					
Insta		200		Plain num malante spal					
	GL 300	300 1000		Plain pipe bentonite seal Stothed pipe gravel poch (With Soch)					
Bh02	GL	10 00	/	Reel brown Sandstene					
Inste	GL	300 1000		Plain pipe bentenite Seal Slotted pipe gravel pack (With Sea	)				
0100									
Bh03 Insta	YL	10 00	-	Red brown Sandslene					
	GL 300	300		Plan pipe bentonike seal Slotted pipe gravel pack (With Sock	)				
Filt	ed log	hat i	Covers						
						· · · · · ·			

WATER LEVELS	TIME	FLUSHING MEDIUM	PLANT IN USE KOMM					
START OF SHIFT	10.00	AIR WATER FOAM OTHER	COMPRESSOR					
MID-SHIFT		LOSS OF FLUSH / EXTENT NONC	START TIME					
END OF SHIFT			BREAK TIME					
OTHER COMMENTS		OPEN HOLE DRILLING	FINISH TIME					
FIRST STRUCK 5.30		BIT TYPE PCD	WEATHER DRY-GOLD					
SEEPAGES		BIT SIZE LOHY	GAS MONITORING					
DRYING OUT		CORE DRILLING	CH4: () % LEL H25: () PPM					
	1.4.5	BIT TYPE	CO : O PPM O2 : 209 %					
CASING SIZE - DEPTH NONE		BIT SIZE	NOTES:					
		BACKFILL	REMARKS - VISITORS					
CORE BARREL - LINER		STANDPIPES/ PIEZOMETERS						
		INSTRUMENTATION	D F					


0
Vete Gibbons

### DAILY SITE RECORD GROUND INVESTIGATION

BOREHOLE No. ORIENTATION

DAY	Tues	day	DATE	13-12-22	SITE	Brom	berrow	Rd				
CLIENT	/ CONTRA	CT I								JOB No.		
вн	DE	PTH	CASING		F	ORMATION		RUN	DE	РТН	T.C.R.	PENETRATION
Rhai	FROM	TO	am	Till				No.	FROM	то		RATE
Inst	GL hucted	by Ma	ark Gil	FIII to abana	bn bo	vehde ar	d Move	positio	0			
BhOly	GL	400	500	Full Rod beause	Shan	Ichan						
Insi- to (	ruded Xistini	y Hore	h Gill hele	Fill Red brown to obondon	and	backfill	as posit	tion is	close			
80	)am -	945	ач	Loading a and Mevin	nd un ig to	looding Second	plant e location	Materi	als			

WATER LEVELS	TIME	FLUSHING MEDIUM	PLANT IN USE KOMM
START OF SHIFT		AIR 🖌 WATER FOAM OTHER	COMPLESSOR
MID-SHIFT		LOSS OF FLUSH / EXTENT NOR	START TIME
END OF SHIFT			BREAK TIME
OTHER COMMENTS		OPEN HOLE DRILLING	FINISH TIME
FIRST STRUCK		BIT TYPE PCO	WEATHER DRY - Cold
SEEPAGES		BIT SIZE MOHM	GAS MONITORING
DRYING OUT		CORE DRILLING	CH4 : 0 % LEL H25 : 0 PPM
		BIT TYPE	CO: 0 PPM 02: 209 %
CASING SIZE - DEPTH 1521	44	BIT SIZE	NOTES:
		BACKFILL OPC-Arisings	REMARKS - VISITORS
CORE BARREL - LINER		STANDPIPES/ PIEZOMETERS	
		INSTRUMENTATION	RE



**2021 Perimeter Boreholes** 



### **BROMSBERROW SAND AND GRAVEL**

QUARRY

### FACTUAL REPORT ON WELL INSTALLATION

## Prepared for ALLSTONE SAND AND GRAVEL AGGREGATES TRADING COMPANY LTD

Report Ref: 36646

**Geotechnical Engineering Ltd** Centurion House, Olympus Park Quedgeley, Gloucester. GL2 4NF

01452 527743 www.geoeng.co.uk





# BROMSBERROW SAND AND GRAVEL QUARRY

### FACTUAL REPORT ON WELL INSTALLATION

# Prepared for ALLSTONE SAND AND GRAVEL AGGREGATES TRADING COMPANY LTD

Report Ref: 36646

PROJECT: Proposed Quarry Infilling

CONSULTANT: P. E. Duncliffe Limited

VOLUME - VERSION	STATUS	ORIGINATOR	CHECKER	APPROVED	DATE
1 of 1 – A	FINAL	ΗL	СТ	СТ	23/09/2021
ORIGINATOR			APPROVER		
J	Hanson	-	0.	RIS	V V
JOHN HANSON			COLIN THOMAS		
Director			Consultant		

The report is not to be used for contractual or engineering purposes unless this sheet is signed and the report designated "Final".

The report has been prepared for the sole use and reliance by Allstone Sand and Gravel Aggregates Trading Company Limited. GEL accepts no liability as a result of the use or reliance of this report by any other parties.





### CONTENTS

#### REPORT

### PAGE

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	SITE LOCATION	1
3.	WELL INSTALLATION	1
3.1	L Fieldwork	1

FIGURES	Nos.
EXPLORATORY HOLE LOCATION PLAN	1

#### **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A INSTALLATION DETAILS



### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is proposed to backfill a quarry at Bromsberrow Heath, Ledbury. Geotechnical Engineering Limited (GEL) was instructed by P. E. Duncliffe Limited acting on behalf of Allstone Sand and Gravel Aggregates Trading Company Limited to install a number of monitoring wells to facilitate groundwater and gas monitoring.

The scope of works and terms and conditions of appointment were specified by the Consultant and GEL correspondence reference T32011-2 dated 4<sup>th</sup> August 2021. The investigation was carried out under supervision of the Client.

This report describes the investigation and presents the findings.

### 2. SITE LOCATION

The site is situated off Bell Lane, Bromsberrow Heath, near Ledbury HR8 1NX and may be located by its National Grid co-ordinates SO 7383 3304.

#### 3. WELL INSTALLATION

#### 3.1 Fieldwork

The monitoring wells were installed during the period 31<sup>st</sup> August to the \*\* September 2021 and comprised seven boreholes/installations. The borehole locations were selected by the Consultant and set out by this Company and are shown on Figure 1.

Statutory service plans were obtained and the location of the highlighted services identified in the field, prior to commencement of the boreholes. The statutory service plans are provide separately.



The boreholes, referenced BH01, BH01A, BH02, BH02A, BH03, BH04 and BH04A, were formed using a track-mounted Geotechnical P601 Rig. Initially, an inspection pit was hand excavated at each borehole location to a maximum depth of 1.20m to check for buried services.

Open hole drilling techniques were employed from the base of the inspection pit utilising a polycrystalline diamond full face bit with compressed air flush to form a 120mm diameter borehole. Temporary casing was installed to a maximum depth of 3.00m to ensure hole stability.

Boreholes were monitored for groundwater ingress as the borehole was formed. Water levels were also recorded on completion of the borehole and prior to the installation of the monitoring well. The water levels are tabulated below and presented on the installation records, Appendix A.

Borehole	Response Zone (m – bgl)*	Water level (m bgl)*
BH01	20.00-35.00	27.32
BH01A	1.00-20.00	Dry
BH02	20.00 - 35.00	25.84
BH02A	1.00 - 20.00	Dry
BH03	3.00 - 35.00	26.89
BH04	20.00 - 35.00	20.00 – recorded strike
BH04A	1.00 - 20.00	Dry

\* m bgl – m below ground level

On completion, gas/water monitoring standpipes were installed in each borehole. The installation consisted of a 50mm ID HDPE slotted tube set in a filter response zone of non-calcareous pea gravel. The installation was sealed above with a bentonite plug and accessed



via a valve assembly. As instructed by the Client, the installations were protected at the surface by a lockable stopcock cover set in concrete. Installation details are in Appendix A.

**GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING LIMITED** 

### EXPLORATORY HOLE LOCATION PLAN

CLIENT ALLSTONE SAND AND GRAVEL AGGREGATES TRADING COMPANY LTD

SITE BROMSBERROW SAND AND GRAVEL QUARRY





Plan provided by Consultant Scale unknown Approximate Exploratory hole location

CONTRACT	FIGURE
36646	1



APPENDIX A

INSTALLATION DETAILS







BH01







BH01A







**BH02** 







BH02A







**BH03** 













BH04A

GEO	TECH	NICA		SINEE	RING	LTD	on be	half of					Α	llsto	ne						Rotary	/ Drillir	na Loa					200	h
Depth of								1	Sample /	Hole / Te	est Detail:	S				Details			-	-		d Penetra					6	SAR	in .
Stratum Top (m)				er's Stra escriptic				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		1.20	35.00		0330	(,	100	red	()										1.20	Dry
1.20			comp	act typ1 into s	sand																								
35.00			weak	ly cemented s	sand																								
	Shift o	details						Dril	ling E	quipm	ent De	etails								G	round	Wate	r Reco	rd			Ba	ckfill (n	n)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	Bit	Туре	Casing		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
0600				C RO	150.00 120.00	0.00	1.20 35.00				P	CD	ç	3		Air	No	-											
Finish time	Hole	Water	Casing	NO	120.00	1.20	00.00					0.0				7.0	110												
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)																										
1800	35.00	27.32	1.20	-																									
Time	Duration			· · ·													Calibra	tion			Dura		-						
from	(hhmm)	Remark	s or details	s of any ad	ditional	testing inf	ormation,	Dayworl	s			SPT I.I	D. Numb	er			Date				Pro	ject 7	litle						
0600		CAT Scan	ed: Yes									SPT Ro	d Type				SPT En Ratio	ergy					Bro	mae	horr		Quarr	<b>`</b> \/	
0600		Permit Con	npleted: Yes									Drilling	Crew D	etails					CSC	S No			DIO	1103	Den	000	Juan	у	
		General; 06:00 to 08:00 loading up in yard and sort van											t Opera	tive							Weathe	er		Clo	oudy		Project No	366	646
		General; 08:00 to 08:33 travel to site											riller			Nick	Lewis-W	atkins	312	3223	Date			31/08	8/2021		Day	Tues	sday
		General; 0	3:33 to 09:00	) site walk ov	er with sf	and site indu	uction					Site cat	egory			1		Green			Rig typ	е					Borel	nole Num	nber
		General; 0	9:00 to 09:30	) clear bh pos	stion							Project	Engine	er				Allstone			Inclinat	tion		Orienta	ation			3H01	
		General; 0	9:30 to 11:30	) dig pit and s	set up rig a	and kit						Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e	ļ					Sheet		Į	1	of	3	Comp		Ν
		1			,							1	-	-			I							1					

GEO.	TECH	NICA		INEEF	RING	i LTD	on beł	nalf of					Α	llsto	ne						Rotary	v Drillir	na Loa					320	h
Depth of									Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details					Details						d Penetra					4	SAR	1
Stratum Top (m)				er's Strat escriptio				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
														()	(,			()											,
	01.10			1								4-11-																	
Start time	Shift C	details <sub>Water</sub>	Casing	Casing (C)	Dia.	From	То		Liner	QUIPM Core Dia	ent De				Bit serial			Time of	Depth	Casing	round		1			Depth		ckfill (n From	n) To
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)	Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	(mm)	(m)	(m)	Barrel	Туре	(mm)	Bit	Гуре	Casing	Туре	No	Flush	Polymer	strike	Struck (m)	(m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Sealed (m)	Туре	(m)	(m)
0600																													
Finish time	Hole	Water	Casing																										
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)																										
1800	35.00	27.32	1.20																										
Time	Duration	Demerily	datall		-1141 o m o l	ta atlu a lut	o monte a	Devenue					N. Niemali				Calibrat	ion			Dro	ia a 1 7	<b></b>						
from	(hhmm)	Remark	s or details	s of any add	unionai	testing ini	ormation,	Dayworr	.5				D. Numb	er			Date SPT En	oray			FIO	ject 7	nne						
		General; 11	:30 to 12:05	5 waitng on bit	t for com	pressor						SPT Ro	od Type				Ratio	ergy					Bro	nes	herr		Quarr	'V	
		General; 12	2:05 to 15:30	) drilling to 35.	.00m							Drilling	Crew D	etails		-			CSC	S No			BIO	nee	0011			y	
		General; 15	5:30 to 16:30	) pull rods tidy	/ site wait	for install ki						Suppor	t Operat	ive							Weathe	er		Clo	oudy		Project No	366	646
		General; 16	6:30 to 17:00	) unlaod instal	ll kit							Lead D	riller			Nick	Lewis-Wa	atkins	3123	3223	Date			31/08	/2021		Day	Tues	sday
												Site ca	tegory					Green			Rig typ	e					Boreł	nole Num	nber
												Project	Engine	ər			1	Allstone			Inclinat	tion		Orienta	ation		I	BH01	
												Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e						Sheet			2	of	3	Comp	leted	Ν
																	•							•			roducod		· · · ·

GEO	TECH		_ ENG	INEEF	RING	LTD	on bel	nalf of					Α	llsto	ne						Rotary	/ Drillir	ng Log					323	<u>ک</u>
Depth of									Sample /	Hole / To	est Details	5				Details						d Penetra					4	SHA	34
Stratum Top (m)				er's Strat escriptio				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
														· · · · ·															
	Shift o	details						Dril	ling E	quipm	ent De	tails					1		1	G	round	Water	r Reco	rd	1		Ba	ckfill (n	n)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	Bit	Гуре	Casing	ј Туре	Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
0630	35.00	27.88	1.20																										
Finish time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)																										
		()	(,																										
1100	0.00																												
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remarks	or details	s of any add	ditional	testing inf	ormation,	Daywork	s			SPT I.C	D. Numb	er	P3		Calibrat Date	tion			Pro	ject 7	<b>Title</b>						
		General; 06	:30 to 07:00	) load up insta	all kit							SPT Ro	d Type		NM	IY	SPT En	ergy					_		_		_		
				) travel to site									Crew D	etails			Ratio		CSC	S No			Bror	nes	berr	ow (	Quarr	У	
		General; 07	:40 to 08:00	) sign in set u	p							Suppor	t Operat	tive							Weathe	er		Fi	ne		Project No	366	646
		General; 08	:00 to 10:00	) flush bh for I	half hour	with air and i	nstall bh					Lead D	riller			Nick	Lewis-Wa	atkins	312	3223	Date			01/09	)/2021		Day	Wedn	esday
		General; 10	:00 to 11:00	) move rig and	d all kit to	next bh had	to get skips	moved to	access			Site cat	tegory					Green			Rig typ	е					Borel	nole Num	nber
												Project	Engine	er				Allstone			Inclinat	tion		Orienta	ation			3H01	
												Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e		1	Nick Lewis	r-Watkins		Sheet		·	3	of	3	Comp	leted	Ν
																											roducod		

GEO.	TECH	NICA		SINEE	RING	G LTD	on be	half of					Α	llsto	one						Rotary	/ Drillir	na Loa					120	h
Depth of								1	Sample /	Hole / Te	est Detail:	s				Details		1			Standar						6	SR	<u>`</u>
Stratum Top (m)				er's Stra escriptic				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		1.20	20.00		0100		100	red	()										1.20	Dry
20.00				red sand																									
																										-			
													-																
	Shift o	details					1	Dril	ling E	quipm	ent De	etails							Dough	G	round	Wate	r Reco	rd	1	Durath	Ba	ckfill (n	-
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm) 150.00	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	Bit	Туре	Casing	у Туре С	Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
1015				C RO	120.00		1.20 20.00				P	CD		>		Air	No												
Finish time	Hole	Water	Casing																										
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)																										
1230	0.00																												
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remark	s or detail	s of any ad	ditiona	I testing inf	ormation,	Dayworl	s			SPT I.	). Numb	er			Calibrat Date	tion			Pro	ject 1	<b>Fitle</b>						
1015		CAT Scanr	ned: Yes									SPT Ro	d Type				SPT En	ergy					_				~		
1015		Permit Con	npleted: Yes									Drilling	Crew D	etails		-	Ratio		cso	S No			Broi	mes	berr		Quarr	у	
		General; 10	):17 to 10:50	) pit and set u	qr							Suppor	t Operat	tive			•				Weathe	er		Su	nny		Project No	366	646
		General; 10:50 to 11:50 drilling											riller			Nick	Lewis-W	atkins	312	3223	Date			06/09	/2021		Day	Mon	iday
		General; 11	1:50 to 12:30	) installing bh	and load	ding van						Site ca	egory					Green			Rig typ	e					Borel	ole Num	nber
												Project	Engine	er				Allstone			Inclinat	tion		Orienta	ation		В	H01A	
												Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e						Sheet			1	of	1	Comp	leted	Ν
																										_			

Depth of String from         Driller's Stratum Description         Sample / Mol / Test. Deals         Description         Sample / Mol / Test. Deals         Description         Sample / Mol / Test. Deals	GEO.	TECH				RING		on be	half of					Α	llsto	ne						Rotar	v Drillir	ng Log	1				120	Ъ
bit cm/m       Description       No									1	Sample /	Hole / Te	est Detail	s				Details		1					0 0					8 2	S
1.20     mode grade site of and     160     1.20     5.00     1	Stratum								No	Туре				Dia	run time	core Recovery	Return		Weight Pen			Pen							Casing	Water/ flush level (m)
bit     bit <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>RO</td> <td></td> <td>1.20</td> <td>35.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100</td> <td>red</td> <td>()</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.20</td> <td>Dry</td>										RO		1.20	35.00				100	red	()										1.20	Dry
Image: Shift details     Image: Shift details <td>1.20</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>made g</td> <td>round into re</td> <td>d sand</td> <td></td>	1.20			made g	round into re	d sand																								
Image: Shift details     Image: Shift details <td></td>																														
Image: Shift details     Image: Shift details <td></td>																														
Hole (hmm)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Casing (m)         Casing Type (m)         Bit serial No         Fluith No         Fluith No         Poymer         Time of strike         Strike	35.00				red sand																									
Hole (hmm)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Casing (m)         Casing Type (m)         Bit serial No         Fluith No         Fluith No         Poymer         Time of strike         Strike																														
Hole (hmm)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Open (m)         Casing (m)         Function (m)         Fluich (m)         Fluich (																														
Hole (hmm)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Open (m)         Casing (m)         Function (m)         Fluich (m)         Fluich (																														
Burt Imm (hmm)         Hole (m)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Casing Type (m)         Bit serial No         Flush         Polymer         Time of serial         Serial Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Seria         Serial         Serial <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										-													-							
Hole (hmm)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Open (m)         Casing (m)         Function (m)         Fluich (m)         Fluich (																														
Hole (hmm)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Open (m)         Casing (m)         Function (m)         Fluich (m)         Fluich (																														
Burt Imm (hmm)         Hole (m)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Casing Type (m)         Bit serial No         Flush         Polymer         Time of serial         Serial Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Seria         Serial         Serial <td></td>																														
Burt Imm (hmm)         Hole (m)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Casing Type (m)         Bit serial No         Flush         Polymer         Time of serial         Serial Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Seria         Serial         Serial <td></td>																														
Hole (hmm)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Open (m)         Casing (m)         Function (m)         Fluich (m)         Fluich (																														
Hole (hmm)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Open (m)         Casing (m)         Function (m)         Fluich (m)         Fluich (																														
Burt Imm (hmm)         Hole (m)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Casing Type (m)         Bit serial No         Flush         Polymer         Time of serial         Serial Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Seria         Serial         Serial <td></td>																														
Burt Imm (hmm)         Hole (m)         Water (m)         Casing (m)         Casing Type (m)         Bit serial No         Flush         Polymer         Time of serial         Serial Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Serial         Time of Serial         Serial         Seria         Serial         Serial <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ĩ</td> <td></td>					Ĩ																									
Satisfield       Water       Casing Type       Part of the part of t		Shift o	1				1	-	Dril	-		nent De	etails			1				Danth	1	round	Wate	r Reco	ord		Donéh	Ba		
1100       NO       NO       12.00       1.20       35.00       PCD       Air       No       No <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)</td> <td>(m)</td> <td>Barrel</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Bit</td> <td>Туре</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Flush</td> <td>Polymer</td> <td></td> <td>Struck</td> <td></td> <td>Inflow</td> <td>5 min</td> <td>10 min</td> <td>15 min</td> <td>20 min</td> <td>Sealed</td> <td>Туре</td> <td></td> <td>To (m)</td>					Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	(m)	Barrel			Bit	Туре				Flush	Polymer		Struck		Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Sealed	Туре		To (m)		
(thum)       (m)       (m) <t< td=""><td>1100</td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="5">C 150.00 0.00 3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>P</td><td>CD</td><td>,</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>Air</td><td>No</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1100			C 150.00 0.00 3								P	CD	,	5		Air	No												
1815       0.00       Image: Constraint of the state of any additional testing information, Dayworks       SPT LD. Number       P33       Calibration Date       Project Title         1100       CAT Scanned: Yes       SPT Rod Type       NWY       SPT Energy Ratio       Bromesberrow Quarry         1100       CAT Scanned: Yes       SPT Rod Type       NWY       SPT Energy Ratio       Bromesberrow Quarry         1100       Carrat; 11:00 to 11:20 dig pit       Support Operative       CSCS No       Veather       Fine       Project Nick         General; 11:20 to 11:55 set rig and kit       General; 11:20 to 11:55 set rig and kit       Site category       Green       Rig type       Ot/09/2021       Day       Wednesdate																														
Time from       Duration (hhmm)       Remarks or details of any additional testing information, Dayworks       SPT I.D. Number       P33       Calibration Date       Project Title         1100       CAT Scanned: Yes       SPT Rod Type       NWY       SPT Energy Ratio       Bromesberrow Quarry         1100       Permit Completed: Yes       Sert and kit       Support Operative       CSCS No       Bromesberrow Quarry         1100       General; 11:20 to 11:55 set rig and kit       Support Operative       Image: Calibration Date       Nick Lewis-Watkins       3123223       Date       01/09/2021       Day       Wednesda         General; 11:20 to 11:55 set rig and kit       Site category       Green       Green       Rig type       Borehole Number	(nnmn)	(m)	(m)	(m)																										
Inform       Remarks or details of any additional testing information, Dayworks       SPT I.D. Number       P33       Date       Project Title         1100       CAT Scanned: Yes       SPT Rod Type       NWY       SPT Energy Ratio       Bromesberrow Quarry         1100       Permit Completed: Yes       Dilling Crew Details       CSCS No       Bromesberrow Quarry         General; 11:00 to 11:20 dig pit       Support Operative       Nick Lewis-Watkins       3123223       Date       01/09/2021       Day       Wednesdate         General; 11:20 to 11:55 set rig and kit       General; uater hit in bh 27.00m to 28.00m       Site category       Green       Green       Rig type       Borehole Number	1815	0.00																												
Integration     CAT ocalified. Its     Set Not Type     Not Type     Not Type     Bromesberrow Quarry       1100     Permit Completed: Yes     Drilling Crew Details     CSCS No     Bromesberrow Quarry       General; 11:00 to 11:20 dig pit     Support Operative     Image: Completed: Yes     Image: Completed: Yes     Image: Completed: Yes       General; 11:20 to 11:55 set rig and kit     General; 11:20 to 11:55 set rig and kit     Lead Driller     Nick Lewis-Watkins     3123223     Date     O1/09/2021     Day     Wednesdate       General; water hit in bh 27.00m to 28.00m     Site category     Green     Green     Rig type     Borehole Number			Remarks or details of any additional testing inform						Dayworl	s			SPT I.	). Numb	er	P3	3		tion			Pro	ject 7	<b>Fitle</b>						
1100       Permit Completed: Yes       Drilling Crew Details       CSCS No       DrillerSDETTOW Quality         General; 11:00 to 11:20 dig pit       Support Operative       Image: Completed: Yes       Meather       Fine       Project No       36646         General; 11:20 to 11:55 set rig and kit       Lead Driller       Nick Lewis-Watkins       3123223       Date       01/09/2021       Day       Wednesdate         General; water hit in bh 27.00m to 28.00m       Site category       Green       Green       Rig type       Borehole Number	1100		CAT Scanr	CAT Scanned: Yes									SPT Ro	d Type		NV	/Y		ergy					_				•		
General, 11:20 to 11:55 set rig and kit     Lead Driller     Nick Lewis-Watkins     3123223     Date     01/09/2021     Day     Wedner       General; water hit in bh 27.00m to 28.00m     Site category     Green     Rig type     Borehole Number	1100		Permit Con	Permit Completed: Yes									Drilling	Crew D	etails			Ratio		CSC	S No			Broi	mes	berr	.om (	Quarr	y	
General; water hit in bh 27.00m to 28.00m     Site category     Green     Rig type     Borehole Number			General; 1										Suppor	t Operat	tive			•				Weathe	er		Fi	ne			366	646
			General; 1	1:20 to 11:55	5 set rig and I	kit							Lead D	riller			Nick	Lewis-Wa	atkins	312	3223	Date			01/09	9/2021		Day	Wedn	esday
General; 12:00 to 14:30 drilling 14:30 to 15:15 flushing bh with air Project Engineer Allstone Orientation Orientation BH02			General; w	ater hit in bh	27.00m to 2	8.00m							Site cat	egory					Green			Rig typ	e					Borel	hole Num	nber
			General; 12:00 to 14:30 drilling 14:30 to 15:15 flushing bh with a										Project	Engine	er			,	Allstone			Inclina	tion		Orienta	ation			BH02	
General; 14:30 to 17:00 installing bh tidy site pack away Lead Driller's signature Nick Lewis - Watkins Sheet 1 of 1 Completed			General; 14:30 to 17:00 installing bh tidy site pack away										Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e		:	Nick Lewis	s-Watkins		Sheet			1	of	1	Comp	leted	Ν

GEO	TECH		_ ENG	SINEEF	RING	G LTD	on be	half of					Α	llsto	ne						Rotary	y Drillir	ng Log					320	Þ
Depth of									Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details	;				Details						d Penetra					4	SHA	3.4
Stratum Top (m)				er's Stra escriptic				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		1.20	20.00		0100		100	orange	()										1.20	Dry
20.00				red sand																									
	Shift	details						Dril	ling E	quipm	nent De	tails								G	round	Water	r Reco	rd			Ba	ckfill (n	n)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	Bit	Гуре	Casing		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)		
0600				C	150.00		1.20 20.00				P	CD	5	6		Air	No	_											
Finish time	Hole	RO         120.00         1.20         20.00           Water         Casing					20.00					50				7.11													
(hhmm)	(m)	Water Casing (m) (m)																											
1015	0.00																												
		I															Calibra	lion											
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remarks or details of any additional testing informati						Dayworl	s				). Numb	er			Date SPT En				Pro	ject 7	<b>Fitle</b>						
0600		CAT Scanned: Yes										SPT Ro	d Type				Ratio	ergy					Broi	nes	herr	<u>`</u> ``` (	Quarr	v	
0600		Permit Completed: Yes										Drilling	Crew D	etails					CSC	S No				1100	Son	011	guun	y	
		General; 06	:00 to 06:40	) loading up ir	n yard							Suppor	t Opera	tive			-				Weathe	ər		Su	nny		Project No	366	646
		General; 06	:40 to 07:03	3								Lead D	riller			Nick	Lewis-W	atkins	312	3223	Date			06/09	9/2021		Day	Mon	nday
		General; 07	:03 to 08:00	) dig pit and s	et up							Site cat	egory					Green			Rig typ	e					Borel	nole Num	nber
		General; 08	:00 to 08:14	1 setting up d	rilling kit							Project	Engine	er				Allstone			Inclina	tion		Orienta	ation		B	H02A	
		General; 08:14 to 09:10 drilling										Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e						Sheet			1	of	2	Comp	leted	Ν
		3																											

GEO	TECH	NICA	FNG	INEEF			on be	half of					Δ	llsto	ne						Rotary	/ Drillir						SCK.	Ъ
							011 50	-	Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details	5	A	1310		g Details		<u> </u>				d Penetra						SAR	1a
Depth of Stratum				er's Strat				-		Insitu	From	То	Liner	Core	Total	Flush	Flush	Self	75	150	Seating	75	150	225	300	Main Pen		Casing	Water/
Top (m)			De	escriptio	n			No	Туре	test	(m)	(m)	Dia (mm)	run time (hhmm)	core Recovery (m)	Return %	Colour	Weight Pen (mm)	mm	mm	Pen (mm)	mm	mm	225 mm	mm	(mm)	value	Depth (m)	flush level (m)
														(minin)	(11)			(1111)											(11)
	Shift o	details						Dril	ling E	quipm	nent De	etails								G	round	Water	Reco	ord			Ba	ckfill (n	n)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)		Туре	Casing	ј Туре	Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
	(,	(,	()	Coring (RC)	()	(,	()		.,,,-	()									(m)	(,						(m)		(,	()
0600																													
Finish time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)																										
1015	0.00																												
Time	Duration	Demon		(				Damard									Calibra	tion			Dres								
from	(hhmm)	Remark	s or details	s of any add	ditional	testing inf	ormation,	Daywori	s			SPT I.I	D. Numb	er			Date				Proj	ject T	itie						
		General; 09	0:10 to 10:00	) installing bh								SPT Ro	od Type				SPT En Ratio	ergy					Dra	~ ~ ~	horr			n /	
		General; 10	):00 to 10:17	' moving to ne	ext bh							Drilling	Crew D	etails					CSC	S No			DIU	nes	ben	Ow (	Quarr	у	
												Suppor	t Operat	tive							Weathe	er		Su	nny		Project	366	646
												Lead D	riller			Nick	Lewis-W	atkins	312	3223	Date			06/09	9/2021		No Day	Mon	nday
												Site ca	tegory					Green	1		Rig typ	e					Borel	nole Num	nber
												Project	Engine	er				Allstone			Inclinat	tion		Orienta	ation		В	H02A	
												Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e	•					Sheet		!	2	of	2	Comp		Ν
		1										8					1										Produced		· · ·

GFO	TFCH	NICA	FNG	INEEF	RING	<b>HTD</b>	on be	half of					Δ	llsto	ne						Rotar	v Drillir	ng Log					200	Ъ
Depth of								1	Sample /	Hole / Te	est Detail	s				Details						d Penetra					4	SR	S
Stratum Top (m)				er's Stra escriptio				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		1.20	35.50		0130	(,	100	red	()										1.20	Dry
35.00				red sand																									
																												<sup> </sup>	
																												<u> </u>	
																												ļ]	
																												┟────┤	
																												<sup> </sup>	
	Shift o	details	1		-			Dril	ling E	quipm	nent De	etails							Dawat	G	round	Wate	r Reco	ord	1	Depth	Ba	ckfill (n	n)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	(m)         (m)         Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)         (mm)         (m)         (m           C         150.00         0.00         1.2					To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	Bit	Туре	Casing	у Туре С	Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
0645		R			120.00		35.00				P	CD		>		Air	No												
Finish time	Hole	Water	Casing																										
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)																									<u> </u>	
1745	0.00																												
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remarks or details of any additional testing information					ormation,	Dayworl	s			SPT I.	). Numb	er	P3	3	Calibrat Date	ion			Pro	ject 1	<b>Fitle</b>						
0645		CAT Scanned: Yes										SPT Ro	d Type		NV	/Y	SPT En Ratio	ergy					_				~		
0645		Permit Completed: Yes										Drilling	Crew D	etails			Ratio		csc	S No			Broi	mes	berr	ow (	Quarr	У	
		General; 06	neral; 06:45 to 07:15 loading up in yard									Suppor	t Opera	tive			•				Weathe	er		Su	nny		Project No	366	646
		General; 07	eral; 07:15 to 08:50 travel out to site									Lead D	riller			Nick	Lewis-Wa	atkins	312	3223	Date			03/09	/2021		Day	Fric	day
		General;07	eral;07:50 to 08:00 get changed sign in									Site cat	egory					Green			Rig typ	e					Borel	nole Num	nber
		General; 08:00 to 08:30 dig pit										Project	Engine	er			/	Allstone	_		Inclina	tion		Orienta	ation			BH03	
		General; 08:30 to 10:30 move all kit and rig and set up on bh				bh					Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e			Nick U	Vatkins		Sheet			1	of	2	Comp	leted	Ν	
									-	-						-		-				-	-			_			

GEO.	TECH	NICA		INEEF	RING	i LTD	on beł	nalf of					Α	llsto	ne						Rotary	v Drillir	na Loa					320	Ъ
Depth of								1	Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details	5				Details						, d Penetra			-		4	SAR	à.
Stratum Top (m)				er's Strat escriptio				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
								<u> </u>																					
	Shift o	details						Dril	ling E	quipm	nent De	tails								G	round	Wate	r Reco	ord			Ba	ckfill (n	n)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	Bit	Гуре	Casing	Туре	Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
0645										-																			
Finish time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)																										
1745	0.00	. ,	. ,																										
1745	0.00																												
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remark	s or details	s of any add	ormation,	Daywork	s			SPT I.I	D. Numb	er	P3		Calibrat Date				Pro	ject 7	<b>Fitle</b>								
		General; 10	General; 10:30 to 12:00 drilling to 35.00m									SPT Ro	od Type		NV		SPT En Ratio	ergy					Bro	moe	horr	·~~~ (	Quarr	37	
		General; 12	eneral; 12:00 to 12:30 flush bh									Drilling	Crew D	etails					CSC	S No			וטום	1162	DEII		Juan	у	
		General; 12	2:30 to 13:00	) waiting on de	ecision o	n install						Suppor	t Operat	tive							Weathe	er		Su	nny		Project No	366	646
		General; 13	3:00 to 14:00	) waiting for in	nstall pipe	and gravel f	rom yard					Lead D	riller			Nick	Lewis-Wa	atkins	312	3223	Date			03/09	)/2021		Day	Fric	day
		General; 14	1:00 to 15:30	) installing bh	32.00m s	slotted and 3	.00m plain 5	0mm pipe				Site ca	tegory					Green			Rig typ	e					Borel	nole Num	nber
		General; 15:30 to 16:45 complete head works on bh move rig or						and kit up	to next b	h		Project	Engine	er				Allstone			Inclinat	tion		Orienta	ation			3H03	
												Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e			Nick U	latkins		Sheet			2	of	2	Comp	leted	Ν
																										5		here i Zare d	

GFO	IECH	NICA	FNG	INEE		i I TD	on be	half of					Δ	llsto	ne						Rotary	/ Drillir	na Loa					000	'n
		1110/1						1	Sample /	Hole / Te	est Detail:	s				Details		[					tion Test					SA	à.
Depth of Stratum Top (m)				er's Stra escriptio				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
																													, <u>,</u>
1.20			sof	t dark red sar	nd																								
																												<del> </del>	
	L																												
												-																	
																										┢────┤			
				1					<u> </u>	<u> </u>													Ļ						<u> </u>
	Shift c	1		Casing (C)	Dia.	From	-	Dril	-		ent De	etails				1	<u> </u>		Depth	1	round	Wate	r Reco	rd	1	Depth	Ba	ckfill (m	
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	Bit	Туре	Casing		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)		
0730				C RO	154.00 152.00		1.20 35.00				P	CD	ŝ	S		Air	No												
Finish time	Hole	Water	Casing	NO	132.00	1.20	00.00					00				741	110												
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)																										
1700																													
Time	Duration											Γ					Calibra	tion											
from	(hhmm)	Remarks	s or detail	s of any ad	formation,	Daywork	s			SPT I.	D. Numb	er	ES	53	Date		16/01	1/2018	Proj	ect 7	litle								
0730		CAT Scann	T Scanned: Yes									SPT Ro	od Type		1 ½ White	vorth SPT	SPT En Ratio	ergy		•			Bron	noc	horr	· • • • • • • •	Quarr	v	
0730		Permit Com	npleted: Yes						Drilling	Crew D	etails					CSC	S No			וטום	1163	Den	0,0,0	Juan	у				
0730	0025	onsite. char	nge into ppe						Suppo	rt Opera	tive		ke	earon bry	ant	589	0255	Weathe	er		Su	nny		Project No	366	646			
0755	0125	pack away	kit from prev	vious bh and t	track rig t	o bh04						Lead D	riller			C	Chris Jone	es	286	5277	Date			02/09	9/2021		Day	Thurs	sday
0925	0040	Inspection r	pit: Hand du	g 1.20m x 0.5	50m x 0.5	0m						Site ca	tegory					Yellow			Rig typ	е	(	Comma	chio 60	1	Borel	nole Num	nber
1005	0020	setup rig ar	nd work area	1								Project	Engine	er				Allstone			Inclinat	tion		Orienta	ation			3H04	
1025	0235	drill ops -rot	tary open ho	le using air								Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e						Sheet			1	of	2	Comp	leted	Y
		<u>ــــــ</u>													8							hu Kaula	· · ·						

AGS

GEO	TECH	INICA	L ENG	SINEEF	RING		on be	half of					Α	llsto	ne						Rotary	/ Drillir	na Loa					der.	Ъ
Depth of									Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details					Details						d Penetra					6	SAR	SA I
Stratum Top (m)				er's Strat escriptio				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
		details			r	1	1	Dril			ent De	tails			n	Î	1		Danth	-	round	Water	Reco	ord	1	Danith	Ba	ckfill (n	
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	Bit	уре	Casing	Туре	Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
0730																													
Finish time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)																										
1700																													
	-																												
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	(hhmm) (h										16/01	1/2018	Proj	ject 7	<b>Title</b>													
1300	0020	flush bh										SPT Ro	d Type		1 1/2 Whitw	orth SPT	SPT En Ratio	ergy		•			Bron	mae	horr		Quarr	<b>`</b> \	
1320	0140	install bh a	ind pack awa	iy								Drilling	Crew D	etails					CSC	S No			ыы	1103	ben		Juan	у	
		DREM (20	.00m - 35.00	m): water end	countered	at 20m						Suppor	t Operat	ive		ke	aron brya	ant	589	0255	Weathe	er		Su	nny		Project No	366	646
												Lead D	riller			C	hris Jone	es	286	5277	Date			02/09	/2021		Day	Thur	sday
												Site ca	egory					Yellow			Rig typ	e	(	Comma	chio 60	1	Borel	nole Num	nber
												Project	Engine	ər			/	Allstone			Inclinat	tion		Orienta	ation			BH04	
												Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e						Sheet			2	of	2	Comp	leted	Y
																										-	Producod	المعالم الم	ر م ما م

GEO	TECH		_ ENG	SINEEF	RING	LTD	on be	half of					Α	llsto	ne						Rotary	y Drillir	ng Log					320	Þ
Depth of									Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details	3				Details						d Penetra					4	SAG	à.
Stratum Top (m)				er's Strat escriptio				No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Liner Dia (mm)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		1.20	20.00		0100	(,	100	red	()										1.20	Dry
20.00				red sand																									
	Shift o	details		Casing (C) Open Hole (RO)				Dril	ling E	quipm	nent De	etails								G	round	Water	r Reco	ord			Ba	ckfill (n	n)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	Bit	Гуре	Casing		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)			
1230		C         150.00         0.00         1           RO         120.00         1.20         20									P	CD		3		Air	No	_											
Finish time	Hole	RO         120.00         1.20         20.0           Water         Casing   <					20.00				-					7													
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)																										
1800	0.00																												
																	Calibra	tion			1								
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remarks or details of any additional testing information						Dayworl	s			SPT I.C	). Numb	er			Date				Pro	ject 7	litle						
1230		CAT Scanned: Yes										SPT Ro	d Type				SPT En Ratio	ergy					Bro	moe	horr		Quarr	37	
1230		Permit Completed: Yes										Drilling	Crew D	etails					CSC	S No	]		וטום	1162	DGII		Juail	у	
		General; 12	:30 to 13:00	) move to last	t bh							Suppor	t Opera	tive							Weathe	er		Su	nny		Project No	366	646
		General; 13	:00 to 15:00	) diging 2 pits								Lead D	riller			Nick	Lewis-W	atkins	312	3223	Date			06/09	9/2021		Day	Mon	nday
		General; 15	:00 to 15:15	5 setting up								Site cat	egory					Green	•		Rig typ	e					Borel	nole Num	nber
		General; 15	:15 to 16:15	5 drilling								Project	Engine	er				Allstone			Inclina	tion		Orienta	ation		В	H04A	
		General; 16:15 to 16:50 installing bh										Lead D	riller's s	ignatur	e						Sheet		1	1	of	1	Comp	leted	Ν





#### Sample type

D Small disturbed sample B	
----------------------------	--

- LB Large bulk disturbed sample
- Х Dynamic sample
- U Undisturbed sample open drive
- UT Thin wall open drive tube sample

#### Test type

S	SPT - Split spoon sampler followed by SPT 'N' value
С	SPT - Solid cone followed by SPT 'N' value

- SPT Solid cone followed by SPT 'N' value
  - \*250 Where full test drive not completed, linearly extrapolated N value reported

W

С

- \*\* No effective penetration
- Hand vane direct reading in kPa not corrected for BS1377 (1990). Re\* denotes refusal. Н
- Mackintosh probe result number of blows to achieve 100mm penetration. Μ
- PΡ Pocket penetrometer result – direct reading in kg/sq.cm.
- Vo Headspace vapour readings, uncorrected peak values in ppm, using a PID (calibrated with Isobutylene, using a 10.6 eV bulb).

Bulk disturbed sample

Water sample

Core sample

#### Sample/core range/I<sub>f</sub>

 	Dynamic sample
	Undisturbed sample - open drive including thin wall. Symbol length reflects recovery
 x	X = Total Core Recovery (TCR) as percentage of core run.
У	Y = Solid Core Recovery (SCR) as percentage of core run. Note: assessment of solid core is based on full diameter.
	Z = Rock Quality Designation (RQD). The amount of solid core greater than 100mm expressed as percentage of core run.

Where SPT has been carried out at beginning of core run, disturbed section of core excluded from SCR and RQD assessment.

 $I_{f}$  - fracture spacing – the average fracture spacing (in millimetres) over the indicated length of core. Where spacing varies significantly, the minimum, average and maximum values are given. NI = non-intact core NA = not applicable

#### Instrumentation



- Contamination sample
- Piston sample

D\*

Р

Cs

Core subsample (prepared)



#### Logging

The logging of soils and rocks has been carried out in general accordance with BS 5930:1999 incorporating Amendment 1 (2006) & 2 (2010). Amendment 1 removes text superseded by BS EN ISO 14688-1:2002, BS EN ISO 14688-2:2004 and BS EN ISO 14689-1:2003, and makes reference to the relevant standard for each affected sub clause. Amendment 2 removes text superseded by BS EN 22475-1:2006 and makes reference to the relevant standard for each affected sub clause. Amendment 2 clause.

Chalk is logged in general accordance with Lord et al (2002) Ciria C574. Rock strength descriptors are based on Ciria C574 and do not comply with rock strength ranges quoted in BS 5930 (1999) Amendment No. 2. Where possible, dynamic samples in chalk have been logged in broad accordance with Ciria C574; descriptions and gradings should be treated with caution given the potential for sample disturbance.

For mixed soils the proportions of secondary constituents have been described using the following terms:

	sc	DIL NAME
Description before SOIL NAME	SAND or GRAVEL	CLAY or SILT
slightly *	< 5%	< 35%
*	5 – 20%	35 – 65%
very *	> 20%	> 65%

\* clayey, silty, sandy or gravelly as appropriate

For rocks the term fracture has been used to identify a mechanical break within the core. Where possible incipient and drilling induced fractures have been excluded from the assessment of the fracture state. Where doubt exists, a note has been made in the descriptions. All fractures are considered to be continuous unless otherwise reported.

#### **General Comments**

The process of drilling and sampling will inevitably lead to disturbance, mixing or loss of material in some soils and rocks.

Indicated water levels are those recorded during the progress of drilling in open or cased boreholes and may not represent standing water levels.

Legends are drawn in accordance with BS 5930:1999 incorporating Amendment No. 2

All depths are measured along the axis of the borehole and are related to ground level at the point of entry.

Made Ground is readily identifiable when, within the material make up, man made constituents are evident. Where the Made Ground appears to be reworked natural material the differentiation between in situ natural deposits and Made Ground is much more difficult to ascertain. The interpretation of Made Ground within the logs should therefore be treated with caution.

### **BOREHOLE LOG**

CLIENT TERRA FIRMA LLP

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

Start Date 12 October 2010

End Date 12 October 2010





**BH01** 

Scale 1 : 100

### **BOREHOLE LOG**

TERRA FIRMA LLP CLIENT

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

Start Date 12 October 2010

12 October 2010 End Date



### **BH01**

Sheet 2 of 2

Scale 1:100

Depth 30.00 m

### **BOREHOLE LOG**

CLIENT TERRA FIRMA LLP

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

Start Date 14 October 2010

End Date 14 October 2010





### BH01A

 Sheet
 1 of 1

 Scale
 1 : 100

Depth 15.10 m

### **BOREHOLE LOG**

CLIENT TERRA FIRMA LLP

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

Start Date 13 October 2010

End Date 13 October 2010

丐

Geotechnical Engineering Ltd, Tei. 01452 527743 24672.GPJ TRIALJH.GPJ GEOTECHV03.GLB 25/10/2010 12:55:28 JH

progress date/time water depth	sample no & type	depth from	n (m) to	casing depth (m)	test type & value	samp. /core range		instru -ment	description	depth (m)	reduced level (m)	l legend
13/10/10 0800hrs	type	rrom	to	(E) առուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստությունը առուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուղիստուրջուն	value	range			Open holed - Reworked reddish brown SAND grading to SANDSTONE	- 1.50	(m)	
CASING: 1 BACKFILL raised cove	Dynamic 40mm di : On com er 0.00-0.	sampleo am to 9.3 pletion, a 30m.	d (128r 30m. a slotte JLD BE	mm) 0.00- ed standpi READ IN CC	pe (50m	m) was	install	ed to 3	h airflush (125mm) 1.50-30.10m. 30.10m, granular response zone 0.80-30.10m, bentonite sea	10.30-0.8	1	crete ar



Sheet

Scale

### **BH02**

1 of 2

1:100
## **BOREHOLE LOG**

TERRA FIRMA LLP CLIENT

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

Start Date 13 October 2010

13 October 2010 End Date





**BH02** 

Sheet 2 of 2

Scale 1:100

30.10 m Depth

### **BOREHOLE LOG**

CLIENT TERRA FIRMA LLP

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

Start Date 14 October 2010

End Date 15 October 2010

특

Geotechnical Engineering Ltd, Tei. 01452 527743 24672.GPJ TRIALJH.GPJ GEOTECHV03.GLB 25/10/2010 12:55:29 JH

progress date/time water depth	sample no & type	depth from	n (m) to	casing depth (m)	test type & value	samp. /core range		instru -ment	description	depth (m)	reduced level (m)	legend
vater depth 14/10/10 1130hrs	type	from	to			range			Open holed - Reworked reddish brown SAND grading to SANDSTONE	(11)		
							3		Continued Next Page	{16.00}		
CASING: N	Dynamic lot used. On com er 0.00-0.	sampleo pletion, a 30m.	d (128r	nm) 0.00- d standpi	pe (50m	m) was	s install	ed to 4	h airflush (125mm) 1.50-43.10m. 43.10m, granular response zone 0.80-43.10m, bentonite sea	ıl 0.30-0.8	30m, con	crete and
EXPLORATOR water strike									AGS CONTI 246		CHE	CKED



Sheet

Scale

Depth

### **BH03**

1 of 3

1:100

43.10 m

## **BOREHOLE LOG**

TERRA FIRMA LLP CLIENT

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

Start Date 14 October 2010

15 October 2010 End Date





### **BH03**

Sheet 2 of 3

Scale 1:100

Depth 43.10 m

## **BOREHOLE LOG**

CLIENT **TERRA FIRMA LLP** 

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

Start Date 14 October 2010

15 October 2010 End Date





### **BH03**

Sheet 3 of 3

Scale 1:100

Depth 43.10 m

### **BOREHOLE LOG**

CLIENT **TERRA FIRMA LLP** 

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

15 October 2010 Start Date

End Date 18 October 2010





### BH04

Sheet 1 of 2

Scale 1:100

## **BOREHOLE LOG**

TERRA FIRMA LLP CLIENT

SITE RYDON RD, BROMESBERROW HEATH

Start Date 15 October 2010

18 October 2010 End Date

### **BH04**

2 of 2 Sheet

Scale 1:100

Depth 35.70 m



#### CLIENT TERRA FIRMA LLP

SITE

borehole /trial pit no.	date & time	barometric pressure (mb)	carbon dioxide (%)	methane (%)	oxygen (%)	LEL (%)	hydrogen sulphide (ppm)	gas flow (ltr/hr)	temperatur (°C)	water e level (m - bgl)
BH01	22/10/10 12:00	1014	1.8	0.0	19.4	0.0	0.0		16	
BH01	22/10/10 12:01		1.8	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0			
BH01	22/10/10 12:01		1.8	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0			
BH01	22/10/10 12:02		1.8	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0			
BH01	22/10/10 12:02		1.8	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0			
BH01	22/10/10 12:03		1.8	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0	$\searrow$		
BH01	22/10/10 12:03		1.8	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0			
BH01	22/10/10 12:04		1.8	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0			
BH01	22/10/10 12:04		1.8	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0			
BH01	22/10/10 12:05		1.8	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0			
general re # denotes	marks: result exceeding cap	acity of gas n	nonitoring eq	uipment						
								CONT 246	RACT	CHECKED



#### CLIENT TERRA FIRMA LLP

SITE

borehole /trial pit no.	date & time	barometric pressure (mb)	carbon dioxide (%)	methane (%)	oxygen (%)	LEL (%)	hydrogen sulphide (ppm)	gas flow (ltr/hr)	temperature (°C)	water level (m - bgl)
BH01A	22/10/10 12:10	1014	1.0	0.0	20.2	0.0	0.0		16	
BH01A	22/10/10 12:10		1.0	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.0			
BH01A	22/10/10 12:11		1.0	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.0			
BH01A	22/10/10 12:11		1.0	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.0			
BH01A	22/10/10 12:12		1.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0			
BH01A	22/10/10 12:12		1.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0			
BH01A	22/10/10 12:13		1.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0			
BH01A	22/10/10 12:13		1.0	0.0	20,0	0.0	0.0			
BH01A	22/10/10 12:14		1.1	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0			
BH01A	22/10/10 12:14		1.1	0.0	19.9	0.0	0.0			
BH01A	22/10/10 12:15									Dry
	marka									
general re # denotes	marks: result exceeding cap	pacity of gas n	nonitoring eq	uipment						
								CONT 246	RACT (	HECKED



#### CLIENT TERRA FIRMA LLP

SITE

borehole /trial pit no.	date & time	barometric pressure (mb)	carbon dioxide (%)	methane (%)	oxygen (%)	LEL (%)	hydrogen sulphide (ppm)	gas flow (ltr/hr)	temperatur (°C)	water e level (m - bgl)
	22/10/10 12:40 22/10/10 12:40 22/10/10 12:41 22/10/10 12:41 22/10/10 12:42 22/10/10 12:42 22/10/10 12:43 22/10/10 12:44 22/10/10 12:44 22/10/10 12:45									
general re # denotes	emarks: result exceeding cap	pacity of gas n	nonitoring eq	uipment					RACT <b>572</b>	CHECKED



#### CLIENT TERRA FIRMA LLP

SITE

borehole /trial pit no.	date & time	barometric pressure (mb)	carbon dioxide (%)	methane (%)	oxygen (%)	LEL (%)	hydrogen sulphide (ppm)	gas flow (ltr/hr)	temperatur (°C)	water level (m - bgl)
BH03	22/10/10 13:30	1010	0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0		13	
BH03	22/10/10 13:30		0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0			
BH03	22/10/10 13:31		0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0			
BH03	22/10/10 13:31		0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0			
BH03	22/10/10 13:32		0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0			
BH03	22/10/10 13:32		0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0	$\searrow$		
BH03	22/10/10 13:33		0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0			
BH03	22/10/10 13:33		0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0			
BH03	22/10/10 13:34		0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0			
BH03 BH03	22/10/10 13:34 22/10/10 13:35		0.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0			32.60
general re # denotes										
general re # denotes	emarks: result exceeding cap	acity of gas n	nonitoring eq	uipment						
				-				CONT 246	RACT	CHECKED



#### CLIENT TERRA FIRMA LLP

SITE

borehole /trial pit no.	date & time	barometric pressure (mb)	carbon dioxide (%)	methane (%)	oxygen (%)	LEL (%)	hydrogen sulphide (ppm)	gas flow (ltr/hr)	temperature (°C)	water level (m - bgl)
BH04	22/10/10 13:00	1010	0.5	0.0	20.5	0.0	0.0		14	
BH04	22/10/10 13:00		0.5	0.0	20.5	0.0	0.0			
BH04	22/10/10 13:01		0.5	0.0	20.5	0.0	0.0			
BH04	22/10/10 13:01		0.5	0.0	20.6	0.0	0.0			
BH04	22/10/10 13:02		0.5	0.0	20.6	0.0	0.0			
BH04	22/10/10 13:02		0.5	0.0	20.6	0.0	0.0			
BH04	22/10/10 13:03		0.5	0.0	20.6	0.0	0.0			
BH04	22/10/10 13:03		0.5	0.0	20,6	0.0	0.0			
BH04	22/10/10 13:04		0.5	0.0	20.7	0.0	0.0			
BH04	22/10/10 13:04		0.5	0.0	20.7	0.0	0.0			
BH04	22/10/10 13:05									33.50
general re # denotes	marks: result exceeding cap	pacity of gas n	nonitoring eq	uipment					RACT ( 672	CHECKED

# Appendix B Monitoring Procedures

Report Reference: 331201261R7 Report Status: Final



#### BACKGROUND

This method statement describes the procedures to be followed when monitoring or sub-sampling from installations. Monitoring is undertaken to record data and seasonal trends of water levels and / or gas concentrations. Samples will be obtained after wells are developed and / or purged and will be taken using various containers and bailers. Sub-samples will be removed from site for subsequent laboratory testing. The arrangements for the development, purging and sub-sampling will generally depend on the conditions encountered and the laboratory analysis likely to be undertaken.

Following completion of each respective borehole and associated installation, standpipes may be developed or commissioned before any water samples are taken. Prior to subsequent water sampling, each installation may be suitably purged (or micro-purged should contamination be noted). Development and purging will be carried out in accordance with the safe system of work detailed below.

The types of instrumentation to be monitored are:

• Open tube groundwater and / or gas standpipes.

Water samples are generally taken from open tube standpipes, but may also be obtained from:

- Standing water bodies, ponds, lagoons, streams, rivers, canals and lakes; or
- Manholes, drains, culverts, wells and other man-made catchments.

Confined spaces will not be accessed by site personnel. No sampling will be undertaken from open water bodies unless adequate, safe access, providing protection from falls from height (i.e., fixed handrails) are available.

Elements of this Method Statement shown in italics may only apply according to site specific and contractual arrangements. This Method Statement should be read in conjunction with the relevant Risk Assessment.

RESOURCES		
POSITION	RESPONSIBILITIES	TRAINING AND QUALIFICATIONS
Site engineer or	Undertake instrument	CSCS
technician	monitoring and sampling.	Asbestos Awareness
		Safe Driving Awareness
		Manual Handling.
		Emergency First Aid at Work
		Fire Awareness.
		Banksman Awareness

#### TASK SPECIFIC PLANT / VEHICLES / EQUIPMENT

The following vehicles and equipment will be utilised for instrument monitoring and sampling:

- Site plans and field notebook.
- Dipmeter.
- Hand tools and stopwatch.
- Gas analyser and flow pod, Photo Ionisation Detector (PID), multi-parameter meter
- Oil / Water Interface meter.
- In hole bailers
- Petrol operated submersible pump (for development, purging and sampling).
- Battery operated submersible (WaSP) pump (for development, purging and sampling).



- Peristaltic pump for shallow groundwater micro-purging and sampling where contamination is present.
- Sampling containers, cool boxes, and ice packs.
- Clean water (in 20ltr drums).
- Garden mesh trolley cart or wheelbarrow.

#### TASK SPECIFIC MATERIALS INCLUDING COSHH

The following task specific materials will be used during works:

• Petrol.

# TASK SPECIFIC PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE) / RESPIRATORY PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (RPE)

In addition to mandatory 5-point PPE (safety hard hat, light eye protection, high visibility clothing, safety boots and gloves), the following additional task specific PPE will be provided and worn by site staff as and when indicated.

Grade / type of PPE required		Task
Eye protection		
Gloves	Nitrile	Water sampling and for refuelling
RPE		
Ear protection		
Overalls		

The adequacy of PPE will be continually monitored, and works shall cease if the levels of protection are deemed inadequate by any member of the site teams.

#### SAFE SYSTEM OF WORK

This safe system of work will be undertaken sequentially in the order shown unless otherwise instructed / necessary:

- 1. All equipment will be inspected prior to use and the inspection will be documented, where applicable. The GEL site engineer / technician will ensure that the equipment is calibrated (where necessary) and that all equipment is suitable, fit for purpose, has sufficient power (full batteries or fully charged) to prevent any unnecessary journeys.
- 2. Access routes will be inspected to identify any hazards (poor underfoot conditions, rough terrain, waterlogged ground, obstructions, adverse cambers, slip, trip and fall hazards etc.) and the information will be noted. A dynamic risk assessment will be completed if the site conditions are different to those anticipated at the planning stage.
- 3. The GEL site engineer / technician will ensure all the correct equipment is available prior commencing a visit.
- 4. The visit will be undertaken in a considerate manner without compromise to site safety. All exploratory hole locations will be approached on foot unless access tracks or suitable routes exist for a vehicle. Any access gates, fencing and security barriers will be open and closed for the minimum duration. They will be left closed, irrespective of how they are found.
- 5. Where vehicle access is not possible, all equipment will be carried by hand, in suitable fitted rucksack or on a suitable cage trolley or wheelbarrow. Only essential equipment will be taken to the work location. Walking through thick vegetation or in any area where the underfoot conditions cannot be predicted will be avoided.
- 6. Detailed site plans and Information about the installation construction will be available on site and will be checked against any markings in the headworks.



- 7. Staff will visually inspect the work site for sharps. Sharps will not be touched. Where contact is made inadvertently, site staff will irrigate wounds with fresh potable water and seek urgent medical attention.
- 8. Monitoring will be undertaken in line with the Company training policy, the technical Specification and in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions for any equipment used. This typically includes:
  - a) Switch on gas box and select flow.
  - b) Ensure gas tap is in off position, remove any dust/dirt/water from the top connect gas box to gas tap.
  - c) Zero gas box, press sample and open gas tap.
  - d) Take flow readings each minute for 5mins. Also note atmospheric pressure, temperature and weather conditions.
  - e) Close gas tap. Select gas sampling menu on gas box.
  - f) Re-open gas tap and start gas sampling pump.
  - g) Take readings of various gases each minute for 10mins.
  - h) Close gas tap, remove gas box and purge for 30 seconds in fresh air.
  - i) Remove gas tap and determine water level using dipmeter.
  - j) Using a separate bailer for each borehole purge 3x well volume of water.
  - k) Prepare 2x 300ml samples bottles and 2x Vials.
  - I) Collect sample of water in all four above receptacles ensuring no air bubbles present.
  - m) Replace gas tap after ensuring there are no obstructions in the nozzle and that its closed.
- 9. While development or purging of sample locations is being undertaken, the GEL site engineer / technician will ensure that adequate precautions are taken to avoid the spread of contamination and the protection of other operatives.
- 10. All cables and tapes will be wound back on their reel on completion to prevent slips and trips between locations, or access and egress.
- 11. Where glass or jars are used, suitable and sufficient transportation materials (bubble wrap and a cool box) will be available. Latex gloves with good grip will be worn when handling glass containers.
- 12. On completion of sampling and monitoring, the area will be made safe by replacing and locking any covers and securing the work area.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

The following environmental protection arrangements will be in place:

- *Refueling will be undertaken off-site wherever possible. If equipment needs topping up it will be undertaken from suitable containers, using funnels and over a spill mat.*
- Any surplus water which is generated during the sampling process should be disposed of by:
  - Discharge to ground adjacent to the instrument where no gross contamination exists.
  - In certain circumstances, it may be acceptable to discharge development or purged water to a soakaway / drain or to a ditch at a distance from the borehole provided the appropriate permission is obtained from the operator / landowner. Activated carbon filters may be used for the purposes of removing moderate concentrations of hydrocarbon contaminants.
  - Collection and off-site disposal in full accordance with the appropriate legal requirements. Storage will be in 5-gallon containers. Purged or development water will be stored on site pending the results of the analyses which can then be utilised in the classification of the water as a waste for appropriate disposal.



#### HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL HOLD POINTS

A "Hold Point" is an event experienced by the site team whereby they will stop the works, undertake a dynamic risk assessment and if necessary, seek clarification on how to proceed from a senior or specialist member of staff before continuing. Hold points may include:

NUMBER	HOLD POINT DESCRIPTION	HOLD POINT DESCRIPTION ACTIONS REQUIRED BY WHOM					
1	Where a deviation from a standard	Cease work and complete an	GEL site engineer				
	working practice occurs.	onsite dynamic risk assessment.	/ technician				
2	Encountering grossly contaminated	Stop work and seek clarification	GEL site engineer				
	water within boreholes	from the GEL (or Client) site	/ technician				
	supervisor before proceeding.						
REFEREN	CE DOCUMENTS						
1	COSHH assessments						
2	GEL Risk Register						
3	GEL Dynamic Risk Assessment						
4	GEL Lone working procedure						