

**THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) REGULATIONS
2017 SCREENING MATRIX**

1. CASE DETAILS			
Case Reference	ZB25/01567/FUL	Brief description of the project / development	Demolition of existing poultry farm and construction of 2 No. linked poultry houses with associated feed bins, hard standings and drainage attenuation pond.
Appellant	Mr Adrian Potter		
LPA	North Yorkshire Council		
2. EIA DETAILS			
Is the project Schedule 1 development according to Schedule 1 of the EIA Regulations?		No.	
If YES, which description of development (THEN GO TO Q4)		N/A.	
Is the project Schedule 2 development under the EIA Regulations?		Yes.	
If YES, under which description of development in Column 1 and Column 2?		1. Agriculture and aquaculture (c) intensive livestock installations. The area of new floorspace exceeds 500sqm.	
Is the development within, partly within, or near a 'sensitive area' as defined by Regulation 2 of the EIA Regulations?		No. Nearest National Park, SSI, SAC and SPA are over 8km away.	
If YES, which area?		N/A.	
Are the applicable thresholds/criteria in Column 2 exceeded/met?		Yes.	
If yes, which applicable threshold/criteria?		The floorspace exceeds the applicable threshold and criteria.	
3. LPA/SOS SCREENING			
Has the LPA or SoS issued a Screening Opinion (SO) or Screening Direction (SD)? (In the case of Enforcement appeals, has a Regulation 37 notice been issued)		No.	
If yes, is a copy of the SO/SD on the file?		N/A.	
If yes, is the SO/SD positive?		N/A.	
4. ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT			
Has the appellant supplied an ES for the current or previous (if reserved matters or conditions) application?		No.	

WHEN COMPLETING THIS DOCUMENT IN RELATION TO AN ENFORCEMENT APPEAL, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICER HAS HAD REGARD TO THE PROJECT AS ALLEGED IN THE RELEVANT ENFORCEMENT NOTICE WHEN REFERRING TO THE PROJECT / DEVELOPMENT.

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons) Briefly explain reasons and, if applicable and/or known, include name of feature(s) and proximity to site(s)	C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable)) Is a significant effect likely, having regard particularly to the magnitude and spatial extent (including population size affected), nature, intensity and complexity, probability, expected onset, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact and the possibility to effectively reduce the impact? If the finding of no significant effect is reliant on specific features or measures of the project envisaged to avoid, or prevent what might otherwise have been, significant adverse effects on the environment these should be identified in bold .
5. NATURAL RESOURCES		
5.1 Will construction, operation or decommissioning of the project involve actions which will cause physical changes in the topography of the area?	No.	N/A.
5.2 Will construction or operation of the project use natural resources above or below ground such as land, soil, water, materials/minerals or energy which are non-renewable or in short supply?	No.	The proposed units which trigger the need for this screening assessment will be located over the footprint of existing poultry units. The resources required for the proposed development are not considered to be in short supply.
5.2 Are there any areas on/around the location which contain important, high quality or scarce resources which could be affected by the project, e.g. forestry, agriculture, water/coastal, fisheries, minerals?	No.	N/A.

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)	C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))
6. WASTE		
6.1 Will the project produce solid wastes during construction or operation or decommissioning?	Yes. Solid waste will be produced from the demolition of the existing units on site. There may also be construction-related waste. During the operational phase, there will be waste from chicken excrement.	No. No. A CEMP has been conditioned and is required to provide details regarding the handling, storage, and disposal of all waste materials arising from demolition activities, including any hazardous substances. Chicken excrement will be removed from the units via a manure belt and transferred to sealed trailers.
7. POLLUTION AND NUISANCES		
7.1 Will the project release pollutants or any hazardous, toxic or noxious substances to air?	Yes. Will release ammonia and nitrogen outputs.	No. The Ammonia Impact Assessment concludes that the process contribution to ammonia concentration and nitrogen deposition rate would be below the Environment Agency threshold percentages of the relevant Critical Level or Load and that the process contribution to ammonia concentrations and nitrogen deposition rates would be below 1% of the relevant Critical Level/Load at all statutory wildlife sites considered.
7.2 Will the project cause noise and vibration or release of light, heat, energy or electromagnetic radiation?	Yes. Risk of noise, dust, and vibration, primarily within the construction phase of the development. There is also a risk of noise during the operational phase.	No. Noise, dust, and vibration will be predominantly limited to the movements of construction vehicles travelling to, from and around the site during construction, but this will be temporary in nature. It is considered that the operational phase of the development would not generate a level of noise that would cause detrimental harm.
7.3 Will the project lead to risks of contamination of land or water from releases of pollutants onto the ground or into surface waters, groundwater, coastal or the sea?	No.	No. The concrete aprons proposed will contain the wash out from the sheds and surface water drainage. The dirty water from the wash out will be directed to a buried sealed tank, which shall be emptied by a tanker. Outside the cleaning operations, surface water will discharge to the attenuation basis.

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)		C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))	
7.4 Are there any areas on or around the location which are already subject to pollution or environmental damage, e.g. where existing legal environmental standards are exceeded, which could be affected by the project?	No.	Not aware of any such issue.	N/A.	
8. POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH				
8.1 Will there be any risk of major accidents (including those caused by climate change, in accordance with scientific knowledge) during construction, operation or decommissioning?	No.	Due to the nature and scale of the proposed development, there is no reason to anticipate any material risk of major accident.	N/A.	
8.2 Will the project present a risk to the population (having regard to population density) and their human health during construction, operation or decommissioning? (for example due to water contamination or air pollution)	No.		N/A.	
9. WATER RESOURCES				
9.1 Are there any water resources including surface waters, e.g. rivers, lakes/ponds, coastal or underground waters on or around the location which could be affected by the project, particularly in terms of their volume and flood risk?	Yes.	A water course runs to the south of the site.	No.	Dirty water will be drained into the existing sealed underground dirty water containment tank, which will be emptied by a tanker.
10. BIODIVERSITY (SPECIES AND HABITATS)				
10.1 Are there any protected areas which are designated or classified for their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, or any non-designated	Yes.	Parts of the North York Moors Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) are within 10km of the site. The SSSI is also	No.	The Ammonia Impact Assessment concludes that at all the wildlife sites considered, the process contribution to ammonia concentration and nitrogen deposition rate would be below the

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)		C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))	
/ non-classified areas which are important or sensitive for reasons of their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, located on or around the location and which could be affected by the project? (e.g. wetlands, watercourses or other water-bodies, the coastal zone, mountains, forests or woodlands, undesignated nature reserves or parks. (Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local))).		designated as a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and a Special Protection Area (SPA).		Environment Agency threshold percentages of the relevant Critical Level or Load and that the process contribution to ammonia concentrations and nitrogen deposition rates would be below 1% of the relevant Critical Level/Load at all statutory wildlife sites considered.
10.2 Could any protected, important or sensitive species of flora or fauna which use areas on or around the site, e.g. for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting, over-wintering, or migration, be affected by the project?	No.		N/A.	
11. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL				
11.1 Are there any areas or features on or around the location which are protected for their landscape and scenic value, and/or any non-designated / non-classified areas or features of high landscape or scenic value on or around the location which could be affected by the project? ¹ Where designated indicate	No.		N/A.	

¹ See question 8.1 for consideration of impacts on heritage designations and receptors, including on views to, within and from designated areas.

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)		C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))	
level of designation (international, national, regional or local).				
11.2 Is the project in a location where it is likely to be highly visible to many people? (If so, from where, what direction, and what distance?)	Yes.	Could be visible from the highway and nearby PRow.	No.	The site is well screened by intervening development and landscaping. The proposed units will be read as part of an established poultry farm.
12. CULTURAL HERITAGE/ARCHAEOLOGY				
12.1 Are there any areas or features which are protected for their cultural heritage or archaeological value, or any non-designated / classified areas and/or features of cultural heritage or archaeological importance on or around the location which could be affected by the project (including potential impacts on setting, and views to, from and within)? Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).	No.		N/A.	
13. TRANSPORT AND ACCESS				
13.1 Are there any routes on or around the location which are used by the public for access to recreation or other facilities, which could be affected by the project?	No.		N/A.	
13.2 Are there any transport routes on or around the location which are susceptible to congestion or which cause environmental problems, which could be affected by the project?	No.		N/A.	

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)	C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))
14. LAND USE		
14.1 Are there existing land uses or community facilities on or around the location which could be affected by the project? E.g. housing, densely populated areas, industry / commerce, farm/agricultural holdings, forestry, tourism, mining, quarrying, facilities relating to health, education, places of worship, leisure /sports / recreation.	Yes. There is a residential dwelling on the site.	No. The residential dwelling is in the control of the applicants and has an agricultural occupancy restriction.
14.2 Are there any plans for future land uses on or around the location which could be affected by the project?	No.	N/A.
15. LAND STABILITY AND CLIMATE		
15.1 Is the location susceptible to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, or extreme /adverse climatic conditions, e.g. temperature inversions, fogs, severe winds, which could cause the project to present environmental problems?	No.	N/A.
16. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS		
16.1 Could this project together with existing and/or approved development result in cumulation of impacts together during the construction/operation phase?	No.	N/A.

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)	C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))
17. TRANSBOUNDARY EFFECTS		
17.1 Is the project likely to lead to transboundary effects? ²	No.	N/A.

² The Regulations require consideration of the transboundary nature of the impact. Due to the England's geographical location the vast majority of TCPA cases are unlikely to result in transboundary impacts.

18. CONCLUSIONS – ACCORDING TO EIA REGULATIONS SCHEDULE 3

The development is not considered to be likely to have significant effects.

19. SCREENING DECISION

If a SO/SD has been provided do you agree with it?

N/A.

Is it necessary to issue a SD?

No.

Is an ES required?

No.

20. ASSESSMENT (EIA REGS SCHEDULE 2 DEVELOPMENT)**OUTCOME**

Is likely to have significant effects on the environment

ES required

Not likely to have significant effects on the environment

ES not required

Not required.

More information is required to inform direction

Request further info

21. REASON FOR SCREENING

The development meets Schedule 2 requirements.

NAME

Emily Hindle

DATE

24.03.2024